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The 1997 United Nations Convention on the Law of the Non-Navigational Uses of International Watercourses is the only treaty governing shared freshwater resources that is of universal applicability. It is a framework convention, in the sense that it provides a framework of principles and rules that may be applied and adjusted to suit the characteristics of particular international watercourses. This introductory note will describe the historical background of the convention and significant developments in its negotiating history. It will then summarize the key provisions of the convention and, finally, touch upon its influence on subsequent legal developments, including both treaties and jurisprudence.

Historical Background

The Convention was concluded on 21 May 1997, as an annex to General Assembly resolution 51/229. Its adoption brought to a close a process the General Assembly had initiated well over two decades earlier. On 8 December 1970, the General Assembly adopted resolution 2669 (XXV), entitled “Progressive Development and Codification of the Rules of International Law Relating to International Watercourses”. In that resolution, the Assembly recommended that the International Law Commission “take up the study of the law of the non-navigational uses of international watercourses with a view to its progressive development and codification.” In fact, the General Assembly had shown that it recognized the importance of this field over ten years earlier, when it adopted resolution 1401(XIV) on 21 November 1959. In that resolution, the Assembly had indicated that it was “desirable to initiate preliminary studies on the legal problems relating to the utilization and use of international rivers with a view to determining whether the subject is appropriate for codification”.

The International Law Commission began work on the international watercourses topic in 1974 pursuant to the General Assembly’s 1970 resolution. Over the course of the next twenty years, the Commission’s work was guided by a succession of five special rapporteurs: Richard Kearney, Stephen Schwebel, Jens Evensen, Stephen McCaffrey, and Robert Rosenstock. Following its usual practice, the Commission in 1974 circulated a questionnaire to United Nations Member States seeking their views on various issues related to the watercourses topic.

In 1976, the Commission decided that it was not necessary to determine the scope of the expression “international watercourse” at the outset of its work; in fact, the Commission did not define this expression until it adopted on first reading a full set of draft articles on the topic in 1991. The definition adopted in that year is substantially unchanged in the Convention. In 1994, the Commission concluded its work on international watercourses, adopting a complete set of 33 draft articles on second reading. The Commission also adopted a companion resolution on confined transboundary
groundwater, which recommended that States be guided by the principles contained in the
draft articles in regulating this form of groundwater. The Commission submitted its final
draft and the resolution to the General Assembly with a recommendation that a convention
be elaborated on the basis of the draft articles.

On the recommendation of the Sixth (Legal) Committee, the General Assembly
decided in 1994 to “convene a Working Group of the Whole . . . to elaborate a framework
convention on the law of the non-navigational uses of international watercourses on the
basis of the draft articles adopted by the International Law Commission.” The convention
was negotiated in the Sixth Committee, convening for this purpose as a “Working Group
of the Whole” as contemplated by the Assembly’s 1994 resolution. The Working Group
met for three weeks in October of 1996 and two weeks in March and April of 1997. As
already noted, the Convention was adopted on 21 May 1997.

Summary of the Convention’s Key Provisions

The Convention contains 37 articles arranged in seven parts: Part I. Introduction;
Part II. General principles; Part III. Planned measures; Part IV. Protection, preservation
and management; Part V. Harmful conditions and emergency situations; Part VI.
Miscellaneous provisions; and Part VII. Final clauses. An annex to the Convention sets
forth procedures to be followed in the event that States have agreed to submit a dispute to
arbitration.

While it is difficult to single out particular provisions of the Convention, based on
the preparatory work in the International Law Commission, the negotiations in the
Working Group and the significance of the principles involved, it may be said that the
Convention’s key provisions are contained in Parts I, II, III and IV. Part I contains the
definition of the expression “international watercourse,” which is obviously of central
importance. Article 2 defines the term “watercourse” broadly as “a system of surface
waters and groundwaters constituting by virtue of their physical relationship a unitary
whole and normally flowing into a common terminus”. It will be noted that, importantly,
this definition includes groundwater that is hydrologically connected with surface water,
which is in fact the case for much of the world’s groundwater. The expression
“international watercourse” is then defined as “a watercourse, parts of which are situated in
different States”.

Article 5, contained in Part II, reflects the principle that is widely regarded as the
cornerstone of the Convention, and indeed the law in the field: equitable and reasonable
utilization and participation. It requires that a State sharing an international watercourse
with other States utilize the watercourse, in its territory, in a manner that is equitable and
reasonable vis-à-vis the other States sharing it. In order to ensure that their utilization of an
international watercourse is equitable and reasonable, States are to take into account all
relevant factors and circumstances. An indicative list of factors and circumstances is
contained in article 6. Article 5 also sets forth, in paragraph 2, the principle of equitable
participation. According to this principle, States are to “participate in the use, development
and protection of an international watercourse in an equitable and reasonable manner”.
Thus, affirmative conduct may be required by this principle, which is a further elaboration
of the implications of equitable and reasonable utilization.
Another key provision of the Convention is article 7 (Obligation not to cause significant harm). This article requires that States “take all appropriate measures to prevent the causing of significant harm” to other States sharing an international watercourse. The emphasis on prevention is important, since it is often difficult to stop or modify an activity once it has begun, and it can be very complicated and expensive, if indeed it is possible, to remedy harm once caused. While there has been debate, both in the negotiation of the Convention and in the literature, about the relationship between the principles set forth in articles 5 and 7, the two are best seen as being complementary. The two articles work in tandem in the following way: if a State believes it has sustained significant harm due to a co-riparian State’s use of an international watercourse, it will ordinarily raise the issue with the second State. In the negotiations that follow, articles 5, 6 and 7 in effect provide that the objective is to reach a solution that is equitable and reasonable with regard to both States’ uses of the watercourse and the benefits they derive from it. The possibility that the solution may include the payment of compensation, to achieve an equitable balance of uses and benefits, is not excluded.

Part III of the Convention sets forth the principle of prior notification of planned measures and elaborates in some detail on the various aspects of that obligation. The essence of the principle is that if a project or other measures are planned in a State and those measures may have a significant adverse effect upon another State or States sharing an international watercourse, the State in which the measures are planned must provide timely notification to the other States of the plans. If the notified States believe the planned measures would be inconsistent with the requirements of articles 5 or 7, a process of consultations and, if necessary, negotiations follows which is intended to lead to an equitable resolution of the situation.

Part IV of the Convention deals with protection, preservation and management of international watercourses. It contains provisions on protection and preservation of watercourse ecosystems, prevention, reduction and control of pollution, and consultations concerning management of an international watercourse, among others. The importance of these provisions is perhaps obvious: watercourse ecosystems and watercourses themselves must be protected, preserved, and properly managed, if they are to support human and other forms of life.

The Influence of the Convention on Subsequent Legal Developments

The Convention and its preparatory work have had significant influence. Four months after it was concluded, the International Court of Justice referred to and quoted from the Convention in its judgment in the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Project case (I.C.J. Reports 1997, paragraph 85). In part because of its provenance, the Convention is widely viewed as a codification of customary international law with respect to at least three of the obligations it embodies, namely equitable and reasonable utilization, prevention of significant harm, and prior notification of planned measures. These and other provisions of the Convention have influenced the negotiation of treaties concerning international watercourses, as can readily be seen from even a cursory review of recent agreements, for example, the Revised Protocol on Shared Watercourses of the Southern African Development Community (SADC) of 7 August 2000.
Conclusion

The 1997 United Nations Convention on the Law of the Non-Navigational Uses of International Watercourses represents an important contribution to the strengthening of the rule of law in this increasingly critical field of international relations and to the protection and preservation of international watercourses. In an era of increasing water scarcity, it is to be hoped that the Convention’s influence will continue to grow.