

**United Nations Conference on the Representation of States  
in Their Relations with International Organizations**

Vienna, Austria  
4 February - 14 March 1975

Document:-  
**A/CONF.67/C.1/SR.48**

**48th meeting of the Committee of the Whole**

*Extract from Volume I of the Official Records of the United Nations Conference on the Representation of States in Their Relations with International Organizations (Summary records of the plenary meetings and of the meetings of the Committee of the Whole)*

be identical with the corresponding text in the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations.<sup>2</sup>

*Article 26 (Freedom of movement)*

*There were no observations on article 26.*

*Article 27 (Freedom of communication)*

54. The CHAIRMAN, in reply to a point raised by Mr. ESSY (Ivory Coast) regarding the French text of paragraph 3 of article 27, said that it would be better to use the wording of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations.

*Article 28 (Personal inviolability)*

*Article 29 (Inviolability of residence and property)*

*There were no observations on articles 28 and 29.*

*Article 30 (Immunity from jurisdiction)*

55. Mr. ESSY (Ivory Coast) said that in paragraph 1 (d) the phrase "y compris" in the French text had been wrongly used, because the word "véhicule" could not include either a ship or an aircraft.

56. Sir Vincent EVANS (United Kingdom) said that in English it would be better to follow the language of the United Kingdom amendment (A/CONF.67/C.1/L.61) and refer to "a vehicle, vessel or aircraft".

57. Mr. CALLE Y CALLE (Peru) said that as the Argentine representative had explained the derivation of the word "vehículo" to the Drafting Committee, the term "incluido" should be retained in the Spanish text.

58. The CHAIRMAN suggested that the English and

French texts should be altered to take account of the observations made by the representatives of the Ivory Coast and the United Kingdom.

*Article 31 (Waiver of immunity)*

*Article 32 (Exemption from social security legislation)*

*Article 33 (Exemption from dues and taxes)*

*Article 34 (Exemption from personal services)*

*Article 35 (Exemption from customs duties and inspection)*

*There were no comments on articles 31 to 35.*

*With the foregoing observations, the titles of parts I and II and the titles and texts of articles 2 to 35 adopted by the Drafting Committee (A/CONF.67/C.1/1/Rev.1) were adopted by the Committee of the Whole.*

*Article 36 (Privileges and immunities of other persons)*

59. Sir Vincent EVANS (United Kingdom) said that on reflection, he thought that in paragraph 3, the first sentence should be expanded to read: "shall enjoy the immunity specified in article 30 in respect of acts". Such an addition would bring the formulation in paragraph 3 into line with that used in paragraphs 1 and 2 of the article.

60. The CHAIRMAN suggested that the proposal of the United Kingdom representative should be referred to the Drafting Committee for its views.

<sup>2</sup> United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 500, No. 7310, p. 95.

*The meeting rose at 1.05 p.m.*

## 48th meeting

Monday, 10 March 1975, at 8.20 p.m.

Chairman: Mr. NETTEL (Austria).

**Consideration of the question of the representation of States in their relations with international organizations in accordance with resolutions 2966 (XXVII), 3072 (XXVIII) and 3247 (XXIX) adopted by the General Assembly on 14 December 1972, 30 November 1973 and 29 November 1974 (concluded)**

**CONSIDERATION OF THE TITLES AND TEXTS OF ARTICLES ADOPTED BY THE DRAFTING COMMITTEE (A/CONF.67/C.1/1/REV.1 AND ADD.1, A/CONF.67/C.1/2, 3 AND 4) (concluded)**

*Article 36 (Privileges and immunities of other persons) (concluded)*

1. Mr. SOGBETUN (Nigeria) Chairman of the Drafting Committee, referring to the suggestion made by the representative of the United Kingdom at the previous meeting concerning paragraph 3 of that article, said that there was no time to reopen a discussion and that the Drafting Committee had kept to the draft presented by the Committee of the Whole. Paragraph 3 should therefore be maintained in its present form.

*Article 37 (Nationals and permanent residents of the host State)*

2. Mr. SOGBETUN (Nigeria), Chairman of the Drafting Committee, pointed out that the Drafting Committee had adopted a simplified wording for the title of that article, namely: "nationals and permanent residents of the host State"; that title was similar to the title of the corresponding article of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations of 1963.<sup>1</sup>

3. So as to bring the wording of that article into line with the wording of paragraphs 3 and 4 of the previous article, the Drafting Committee had decided to insert, in paragraph 2 of article 37, after the first sentence, a new sentence reading as follows: "In all other respects, those members, and persons on the private staff who are nationals of or permanently resident in the host State, shall enjoy privileges and immunities only to the extent admitted by the host State". In addition, the word "official" had been inserted between the words "in respect of" and the word "acts" in the first sentence

<sup>1</sup> United Nations, *Treaty Series*, vol. 596, No. 8638, p. 261.

of paragraph 2, with a view to bringing it into harmony with the wording adopted for paragraph 1.

*Article 38 (Duration of privileges and immunities)*

4. Mr. SOGBETUN (Nigeria), Chairman of the Drafting Committee, said that the only change made by the Drafting Committee in that article consisted in replacing the word "country", in paragraphs 2, 3 and 4, by the word "territory", which was used in paragraph 1.

*Article 39 (Professional or commercial activity)*

5. Mr. SOGBETUN (Nigeria), Chairman of the Drafting Committee, said that the formulation of paragraph 2 of that article had been changed, particularly as regards the order of the phrases.

*Article 40 (End of functions)*

6. Mr. SOGBETUN (Nigeria), Chairman of the Drafting Committee, said that the Committee had simplified the title of the article by calling it "End of functions". The actual text of the article had not been changed.

*Article 41 (Protection of premises, property and archives)*

7. Mr. SOGBETUN (Nigeria), Chairman of the Drafting Committee, said that the text adopted by the Drafting Committee for that article was the one which had been drafted by the International Law Commission (ILC) and proposed by the Committee of the Whole, apart from minor changes in some language versions.

*With the foregoing observations, the titles and texts of articles 36 to 41 adopted by the Drafting Committee (A/CONF.67/C.1/1/Rev.1) were adopted.*

*Article 42 (Sending of delegations)*

*Article 43 (Appointment of the members of the delegation)*

*Article 44 (Credentials of delegates)*

*Article 45 (Composition of the delegation)*

*Article 46 (Size of the delegation)*

*Article 47 (Notifications)*

*Article 48 (Acting head of delegation)*

*Article 49 (Precedence)*

*There were no observations on articles 42 to 49.*

*Article 50 (Status of the Head of State and persons of high rank)*

8. Mr. SOGBETUN (Nigeria), Chairman of the Drafting Committee, said that, in paragraph 1, the Drafting Committee had decided to replace the words "The Head of the sending State" by the words "The Head of State".

9. With regard to paragraph 2, the words "when they take part in a delegation of the sending State" had been replaced by the words "when he leads or is a member of the delegation", as the Head of Government or the Minister for Foreign Affairs could in fact either be a member of a delegation or lead it. That alteration had the added advantage of bringing the wording of paragraph 2 into line with that of paragraph 1.

10. Mr. MARESCA (Italy) observed that mention was made in each of the paragraphs of article 50 of "the

facilities, privileges and immunities accorded by international law", whereas in international law it was as a general rule only a question of privileges and immunities, but not of facilities.

11. The CHAIRMAN said that on that point the text of article 50 was identical with the International Law Commission's text and it had been adopted in that form by the Committee of the Whole. There was therefore no need to go back to it.

*Article 51 (General facilities)*

12. Mrs. SLÁMOVÁ (Czechoslovakia) said that the wording of paragraph 1 of article 51 should be brought into line with that of article 20, which read: "The host State shall accord to the mission all necessary facilities for the performance of its functions".

13. Mr. ZEMANEK (Austria) observed that the word "tasks" had been used in article 51 instead of the word "functions" used in article 20.

14. Mr. SOGBETUN (Nigeria), Chairman of the Drafting Committee, said that two different terms had been used intentionally for the work of missions and that of delegations, as was, moreover, the case in the earlier conventions on international law.

*Article 52 (Premises and accommodation)*

15. Mr. SOGBETUN (Nigeria), Chairman of the Drafting Committee, said that the Drafting Committee had replaced the words "for the members of the delegation" at the end of the article by "for its members", in order to bring the wording of the article into line with that of article 21, paragraph 2.

*Article 53 (Assistance in respect of privileges and immunities)*

16. Sir Vincent EVANS (United Kingdom) observed that the Drafting Committee had forgotten to insert the words "its delegation and the members of its delegation" after the words "sending State" in paragraph 2 so as to bring the wording of that paragraph into line with that of article 22, paragraph 2.

17. Mr. SOGBETUN (Nigeria), Chairman of the Drafting Committee, said that the Drafting Committee had not considered it wise to alter appreciably the text for that article that had been referred to it by the Committee of the Whole.

18. Mr. SYSSOEV (Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) said that, while the Committee had adopted the International Law Commission's text for paragraph 1 of article 53, the same did not apply in the case of paragraph 2, in which there remained in fact a gap which should be filled.

19. The CHAIRMAN said that since the Soviet Union delegation supported the proposal of the United Kingdom representative, the Committee could adopt the proposed amendment to the text.

*Article 54 (Inviolability of premises)*

*Article 55 (Exemption of the premises from taxation)*

*Article 56 (Inviolability of archives and documents)*

*Article 57 (Freedom of movement)*

*There were no comments on articles 54 to 57.*

*Article 58 (Freedom of communication)*

20. Mr. SOGBETUN (Nigeria), Chairman of the Drafting Committee, explained that the Committee had inserted in paragraph 1 of article 58, the words “and observer delegations”, and that it had made minor drafting changes in the Spanish and French versions of the article.

*Article 59 (Personal inviolability)*

21. Mr. SOGBETUN (Nigeria), Chairman of the Drafting Committee, said, with regard to the note relating to that article (see A/CONF.67/C.1/2, p. 7), that in recommending the Committee of the Whole to delete the words “in particular” in the second sentence of article 59, the Drafting Committee was basing itself on the second sentence of article 28, in which those words did not appear.

22. Mr. ESSY (Ivory Coast) recalled that it had been his delegation which had submitted the oral amendment approved by the Committee of the Whole providing for the insertion of the words “in particular” in the second sentence of article 59. The Ivory Coast delegation did not agree with the arguments upon which the Drafting Committee was basing its recommendation to delete those words. In fact, a question of substance and not only of form was involved, since the former wording of article 59 gave the impression that arrest or detention were the only cases in which the principle of inviolability applied, whereas arrest or detention were only examples of possible cases.

23. To improve the drafting of the sentence while retaining the idea, the words “in particular” might be replaced by the words “*inter alia*”. It was undoubtedly commendable to want to establish a parallel wording for articles 28 and 59. But, seeing that the Committee of the Whole had adopted that oral amendment at its 31st meeting there could be no going back on a substantive decision for questions of pure form.

24. The CHAIRMAN recalled that the oral amendment by the Ivory Coast had been adopted by the Committee of the Whole at its 31st meeting by 34 votes to 3, with 21 abstentions. The words “in particular” should therefore be retained, unless a motion was proposed to the effect that the Committee should reconsider the article.

25. Mr. RAOELINA (Madagascar) said that his delegation would like the words “in particular” to be retained in the second sentence of article 59.

26. The CHAIRMAN said that since the members of the Committee did not object to the presence of the words “in particular”, they would be retained and the square brackets inserted by the Drafting Committee would be removed.

27. Sir Vincent EVANS (United Kingdom) said that the difficulty arose partly from the fact that there was no term in English which corresponded exactly to the French word “*notamment*”.

*Article 60 (Inviolability of private accommodation and property)*

*There were no comments on article 60.*

*Article 61 (Immunity from jurisdiction)*

28. Mr. BARAKAT (Yemen) recalled that the Committee had decided to delete the words “*y compris*” and “including” after the word “vehicle” in the French and English texts of article 30, paragraph 1 (*d*), and to keep the equivalent words in the Spanish and Russian texts.

29. The CHAIRMAN said that that decision applied likewise to article 61, paragraph 4.

*Article 62 (Waiver of immunity)**Article 63 (Exemption from social security legislation)**Article 64 (Exemption from dues and taxes)**Article 65 (Exemption from personal services)**Article 66 (Exemption from customs duties and inspection)*

*There were no comments on articles 62 to 66.*

*Article 67 (Privileges and immunities of other persons)*

30. Mr. SOGBETUN (Nigeria), Chairman of the Drafting Committee, recalled that when the Committee of the Whole had adopted article U of the annex and referred it to the Drafting Committee, it had requested the latter “to submit to it a solution whereby the situation referred to by the Austrian representative could be remedied” (see 35th meeting, para. 8). In fact, under the provisions of article 67, paragraph 1, as it had been adopted, the family of a member of the administrative and technical staff enjoyed more privileges than the members of those two categories of staff. The Drafting Committee had decided, in order to avoid such an anomaly, to delete all reference to the families of members of the administrative and technical staff of the delegation in paragraph 1, and to add a sentence at the end of paragraph 2 to read as follows: “Members of the family of a member of the administrative and technical staff who accompany him shall enjoy the privileges and immunities specified in articles 59, 61 and 65 and in paragraph 1 (*b*) of article 66 to the extent accorded to such a member of the staff”. Moreover, the Drafting Committee had considered that the words “if they are not nationals of or permanently resident in the host State” should be inserted in paragraph 2 of article 67 after the words “Members of the administrative and technical staff of the delegation shall” and “Members of the family of a member of the administrative and technical staff who accompany him shall”, so as to bring the text of paragraph 2 of article 67 into line with the text of paragraph 2 of article 36 (see A/CONF.67/C.1/2, p. 12, foot-note 3).

31. The CHAIRMAN said that in the absence of any objection, he would consider that the Committee decided to insert in paragraph 2 of article 67 the words suggested by the Drafting Committee in foot-note 3.

*It was so decided.*

32. Mr. MARESCA (Italy) said that in comparing article 67, paragraph 2, and article 61, paragraph 1,

which had undergone a significant change by comparison with the original text, he noted that the text of article 67, paragraph 2, had become superfluous. He therefore wondered whether the paragraph could be retained in its present form.

33. Sir Vincent EVANS (United Kingdom) reminded the Committee that he had already drawn its attention and the attention of the Drafting Committee to the point mentioned by the representative of Italy. The words "except that the immunity from civil and administrative jurisdiction of the host State specified in paragraph 1 of article 61 shall not extend to acts performed outside the course of their duties" at the end of the first sentence of article 67, paragraph 2, were entirely unnecessary, since the immunity enjoyed by the persons contemplated in article 61, paragraph 1, only applied to acts performed in the exercise of their official functions.

34. Mr. YÁÑEZ-BARNUEVO (Spain) pointed out that the second sentence of paragraph 2 also contained superfluous passages, with regard, in particular, to the privileges mentioned in article 66, paragraph 1 (b) concerning articles imported by the members of the administrative and technical staff in their personal baggage. Article 67, paragraph 2, might be considerably simplified if article 66 were added to the list of articles mentioned in the first sentence.

*Article 68* (Nationals and permanent residents of the host State)

*Article 69* (Duration of privileges and immunities)

*Article 70* (End of functions)

*Article 71* (Protection of premises, property and archives)

*There were no comments on articles 68 to 71.*

*With the foregoing observations, the title of part III and titles and texts of articles 42 to 71 adopted by the Drafting Committee (A/CONF.67/C.1/2) were adopted.*

35. Mr. SOGBETUN (Nigeria), Chairman of the Drafting Committee, announced that the Drafting Committee had decided, for the sake of convenience to maintain provisionally the letters of the articles of the former annex (see A/CONF.67/4), which would be numbered later.

*Article B* (Sending of observer delegations)

*Article C* (Appointment of the members of the observer delegation)

*Article D* (Letter of appointment of observer delegates)

*Article E* (Composition of the observer delegation)

*Article F* (Notifications)

*Article F bis* (Acting head of the observer delegation)

*Article G* (Precedence)

*Article H* (General facilities)

*Article H bis* (Premises and accommodation)

*There were no comments on articles B to H bis.*

*Article I* (Assistance in respect of privileges and immunities)

36. Sir Vincent EVANS (United Kingdom) reminded the Committee that the words "its observer delegation and the members of the observer delegation" should be added after the words "the sending State" in article I, paragraph 2, as had already been done in article 53, paragraph 2.

37. The CHAIRMAN said that paragraph 2 of article I would be brought into line with article 53, paragraph 2.

*Article J* (Inviolability of archives and documents)

*Article K* (Freedom of movement)

*Article L* (Freedom of communication)

*Article M* (Personal inviolability)

*Article N* (Inviolability of private accommodation and property)

*There were no comments on articles J to N.*

*Article O* (Immunity from Jurisdiction)

38. Sir Vincent EVANS (United Kingdom) drew the Committee's attention to the foot-note relating to article O (see A/CONF.67/C.1/3, p. 7, foot-note 2), in which the Drafting Committee expressed the opinion that the words in paragraph 4, "outside the performance of their functions" inserted by the Committee of the Whole as a result of the adoption of an oral amendment might not be necessary in the context of the article as a whole. He also pointed out that the words "y compris" and "including" in the French and English texts of paragraph 4 should be deleted.

39. The CHAIRMAN observed that the deletion of the words "outside the performance of their functions" would be tantamount to calling in question a decision taken by the Committee and could therefore only be decided by the Conference in plenary session. He reminded the Committee that the words "y compris" and "including" would be deleted in the French and English texts and that the equivalent words would be retained in the Spanish and Russian texts.

*Article P* (Waiver of immunity)

*Article Q* (Exemption from social security legislation)

*Article R* (Exemption from dues and taxes)

*Article S* (Exemption from personal services)

*Article T* (Exemption from customs duties and inspection)

*There were no observations on articles P to T.*

*Article U* (Privileges and immunities of other persons)

40. Mr. MARESCA (Italy) said he thought that paragraph 2 of article U was superfluous, for the reasons given in connexion with paragraph 2 of article 67.

*Article V* (Nationals and permanent residents of the host State)

*Article W* (Duration of privileges and immunities)

*Article X* (End of functions)

*There were no observations on articles V to X.*

*With the foregoing observations, the title of part IV (former annex) and the titles and texts of articles B to X adopted by the Drafting Committee (A/CONF.67/C.1/3) were adopted.*

*Article 1* (Use of terms)

41. Mr. SOGBETUN (Nigeria), Chairman of the Drafting Committee, said that, in conformity with the decision taken by the Committee of the Whole at its 46th meeting, the Drafting Committee had incorporated article A of the annex in article 1. Accordingly, subparagraphs (a), (b), (c) (d), (e) and (f) of article A had become, respectively, subparagraphs (13), (14), (12), (16), (24) and (25) of paragraph 1 of article 1. To take account of subparagraphs (g) and (i) of the former article A, the Drafting Committee had mentioned the observer delegation in subparagraphs (28) and (29) of paragraph 1 of article 1. With the necessary adjustments, subparagraph (26) corresponded to subparagraph (h) of the former article A. Following the adoption of article E of the annex, the Drafting Committee had mentioned the observer delegation in subparagraph (27) of paragraph 1 of article 1. In subparagraph (34), it had also included the definition of the term "rules of the Organization" and had replaced the words "constitutive documents", in the English version of that provision, by the words "constituent instruments", so as to conform with the terminology used in article 5 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties.<sup>2</sup>

*The meeting was suspended at 10.05 a.m. and resumed at 10.55 a.m.*

*The title and text of article 1 adopted by the Drafting Committee (A/CONF.67/C.1/1/Rev.1 and Add.1) were adopted.*

42. Mr. SOGBETUN (Nigeria), Chairman of the Drafting Committee, pointed out that the Drafting Committee had adopted, for part V, the title proposed by the ILC, namely, "General provisions". Also, when it had deemed it appropriate, the Drafting Committee had introduced into articles 72, 73, 74, 74 bis, 75, 75 bis, 76, 78 and 79 a reference to observer delegations.

*Article 72* (Nationality of the members of the mission, the delegation or the observer delegation)

43. Mr. SOGBETUN (Nigeria), Chairman of the Drafting Committee, said that the Drafting Committee had replaced the word "the" by the word "such" before the word "appointment" in the penultimate line of paragraph 3 of article 72, in order to clarify the meaning of that provision.

*Article 73* (Laws concerning acquisition of nationality)

*Article 74* (Privileges and immunities in case of multiple functions)

*There were no observations on articles 73 and 74.*

*Article 74 bis* (Co-operation between sending States and host States)

44. Mr. SOGBETUN (Nigeria), Chairman of the Drafting Committee, said that the Drafting Committee had added in that article a reference to article 23, because a provision which had originally been inserted in article 29 now appeared in paragraph 2 (b) of article 23.

45. Sir Vincent EVANS (United Kingdom) said that he did not understand why reference was made, in that article, to articles 60 and N, since those articles did not refer to investigation or prosecution.

46. Mr. SOGBETUN (Nigeria), Chairman of the Drafting Committee, said that, without a reference to those articles, article 74 bis might be construed as meaning that the convention did not insist on the co-operation to be established between the host State and the sending State. Moreover, the articles in question had been mentioned in article 74 bis by the Committee of the Whole, and the Drafting Committee was not competent to delete that reference.

47. Mr. FENNESSY (Australia) recalled that article 74 bis had been proposed by his delegation which, at the present stage of the work, did not regard the reference to articles 60 and N as absolutely necessary. At the time when his delegation had submitted that article to the Committee, the latter had had before it an amendment submitted by the delegation of the Ukrainian SSR and other delegations, providing for the introduction into articles 60 and N of certain terms which had already been introduced previously into articles 28 and 29. But since that amendment had been withdrawn, there was no need to retain the references to articles 60 and N, and he supported the suggestion of the United Kingdom representative that it should be deleted.

48. The CHAIRMAN reminded the Committee that it had a choice between two courses: either to delete the reference to articles 60 and N by consensus, or to leave it to the Conference in plenary session to settle the question. In the absence of objection, he said he took it that the members of the Committee were agreed to delete the reference to articles 60 and N.

*Article 75* (Respect for the laws and regulations of the host State)

49. Mr. SOGBETUN (Nigeria), Chairman of the Drafting Committee, said that the Drafting Committee, knowing that article 75 had been the subject of lengthy and difficult discussions in the Committee of the Whole, had decided not to make changes in it.

*Article 75 bis* (Insurance against third-party risks)

50. Mr. SOGBETUN (Nigeria), Chairman of the Drafting Committee, said that in article 75 bis the

<sup>2</sup> See United Nations Conference on the Law of Treaties, 1968 and 1969, *Official Records* (United Nations publication, Sales No. E.70.V.5), document A/CONF.39/27, p. 287.

Drafting Committee had replaced the phrase “for the use of any vehicle, boat or aircraft” by the phrase “for any vehicle, vessel or aircraft used or owned by them”, in order to bring the text of article 75 *bis* into line with that of articles 61 and O.

*Article 76* (Entry into the territory of the host State)

*Article 77* (Facilities for departure)

*Article 78* (Transit through the territory of a third State)

*Article 79* (Non-recognition of States or governments or absence of diplomatic or consular relations)

*Article 80* (Non-discrimination)

*There was no comments on articles 76 to 80.*

*Article 81* (Consultations)

51. Mr. SOGBETUN (Nigeria), Chairman of the Drafting Committee, said that the Drafting Committee had inserted the word “States” before the word “Parties” for the sake of greater clarity.

52. Mr. MAAS GEESTERANUS (Netherlands) said he thought that the words “to the present Convention” should be inserted after the words “more States Parties”, in order to bring the text of article 81 into line with that of paragraph 2 of article 82.

53. Sir Vincent EVANS (United Kingdom) thought that it was unnecessary to amend the text of article 81 on that point, as the words “the present Convention” already appeared a little further on in the same sentence. The words “to the present Convention”, at the beginning of the second sentence of paragraph 2 of article 82, were indispensable, for if they were omitted, it might be assumed that the “Party” in question was a party to the dispute.

*Article 82* (Conciliation)

54. Mr. SOGBETUN (Nigeria), Chairman of the Drafting Committee, said he thought that if three States were parties to a dispute, two of them would have identical views on the question and should consequently agree on the choice of the person to serve as a member of the conciliation commission. One of the members would therefore be designated by a State party to the dispute and the other by the other two States parties to that dispute. That was why the words “each of whom” had been used in paragraph 2 of article 82. He therefore hesitated to accept the suggestion of the United Kingdom representative.

55. Mr. MAAS GEESTERANUS (Netherlands) also considered that only two situations could arise: if there were several States parties to a dispute, either the various States concerned combined so as to form only two parties, or else there were several disputes. For that reason, he deemed the United Kingdom proposal acceptable.

56. The CHAIRMAN said that the proposed amendment could be laid before the Conference.

57. Mr. RAOELINA (Madagascar) drew the Committee’s attention to the fact that it had been his dele-

gation which had originated the amendment made in the text of paragraph 2 and that he was perfectly satisfied with the French version. He suggested that the English-speaking delegations should seek a joint solution for the English text.

*With the foregoing observations, the title of part V and titles and texts of articles 72 to 82 adopted by the Drafting Committee (A/CONF.67/C.1/4) were adopted.*

#### **Draft report of the Committee of the Whole (A/CONF. 67/C.1/L.143 and Add.1 and 2)**

58. Mr. KLAFKOWSKI (Poland), Rapporteur, introducing the draft report of the Committee of the Whole (A/CONF.67/C.1/L.143 and Add.1 and 2), said that there were inevitably some gaps in that document, as it had been prepared before the Committee of the Whole had considered the text of the articles adopted by the Drafting Committee and had adopted them itself. Thus, the sections entitled “Consideration of the report of the Drafting Committee” and “Text adopted by the Committee of the Whole” would have to be completed. The same applied to the passage in chapter I relating to the reports of the Drafting Committee, and to the whole of chapter III. The missing passages would be prepared in the light of the discussions at the 47th and 48th meetings of the Committee of the Whole and inserted in the report.

59. To take account of the discussions which, at the previous meeting, had turned on a foot-note to article 24 (A/CONF.67/C.1/1/Rev.1, p. 8), he explained that he would insert in the part of the report dealing with that article, under the heading (iii), entitled “Consideration of the report of the Drafting Committee”, a text stating the results of the discussion.

60. He was sure that he had spoken for all delegations by expressing, in paragraphs 3 to 5 of the introduction to his draft report the feelings of gratitude of the Committee of the Whole towards the Federal Government and the people of the Republic of Austria and also towards the ILC, the Drafting Committee and the Expert Consultant. He warmly thanked all those who had assisted him in his task.

61. The CHAIRMAN suggested that the Committee of the Whole should take note of its draft report (A/CONF.67/C.1/L.143 and Add.1 and 2).

*It was so decided.*

#### **Completion of the Committee’s work**

62. The CHAIRMAN expressed his thanks to the members of the Committee of the Whole, the members of the General Committee and the Chairman of the Drafting Committee. He was gratified that the Committee had managed to complete its task in so short a time.

63. Mr. DE ROSENZWEIG-DÍAZ (Mexico), Mr. PASZKOWSKI (Poland), Mr. RAOELINA (Madagascar), Mr. RAJU (India) and Mr. RITTER (Switzerland), speaking on behalf of their respective coun-

tries and regions, expressed their gratitude to the Chairman, who had directed the Committee's work with perfect impartiality and great efficiency. They paid tribute to the Expert Consultant, the Chairman of the Drafting Committee and the Rapporteur for their valu-

able assistance to the Conference, and thanked the secretariat for its contribution to the success of the task in hand.

*The meeting rose at 11.55 p.m.*