ARTICLE 24

Table of Contents

				Parag	graphe
	Tex	to	of Article 24		
	Int	rod	ductory Note	1	- 6
I.	Gen	ere	al Survey	7	- 11
	A.	Αz	rticle 24 (1) and (2)	7	- 8
	В.	Az	rticle 24 (3)	9	- 11
II.	Ana	Lyt	cical Summary of Practice	12	- 22
	Α.	li	ne question whether the authority of the Security Council is mited to the exercise of the specific powers laid down in mapters VI, VII, VIII and XII	12	- 19
		2.	Decision of 23 April 1946 in connexion with the Iranian question	14	13 - 16
			Decision of 24 September 1946 in connexion with a proposal calling for information on Allied forces on non-enemy territory		17 18
		5.	Decision of 1 April 1948 in connexion with the Palestine question		19
	В.	Se	ne question of the bearing on the primary responsibility of the ecurity Council of proposals to refer a matter to the General seembly	20	- 22
			Decision of 18 June 1946 in connexion with the Spanish question		21 22
Anne:	x I.		Chronological list of questions considered by the Security Courunder its responsibility for the maintenance of international peace and security	ncil	
Anne	x II	•	Tabulation of various measures provided for in positive decision adopted by the Security Council in connexion with questions while it has considered under its responsibility for the maintenance international peace and security	Lch 🗀	

	<i>;</i> ·					
	•					
					,	
					·	
,						
•						

TEXT OF ARTICLE 24

- 1. In order to ensure prompt and effective action by the United Nations, its members confer on the Security Council primary responsibility for the maintenance of international peace and security, and agree that in carrying out its duties under this responsibility the Security Council acts on their behalf.
- 2. In discharging these duties the Security Council shall act in accordance with the Purposes and Principles of the United Nations. The specific powers granted to the Security Council for the discharge of these duties are laid down in Chapters VI, VII, VIII, and XII.
- 3. The Security Council shall submit annual and, when necessary, special reports to the General Assembly for its consideration.

INTRODUCTORY NOTE

- 1. The "primary" character of the responsibility for the maintenance of international peace and security conferred on the Security Council by Article 24 is reflected in the provisions of Article 11 (2) whereby "Any such question on which action is necessary shall be referred to the Security Council by the General Assembly either before or after discussion", and in the provisions of Article 12 which state that "While the Security Council is exercising in respect of any dispute or situation the functions assigned to it in the present Charter, the General Assembly shall not make any recommendation with regard to that dispute or situation unless the Security Council so requests". This latter limitation is also expressly referred to in Articles 10, 11 and 14.
- 2. In treating of the practice of the United Nations organs in respect of an Article of such broad scope as Article 24, it is necessary to state clearly at the outset the limits within which it is proposed to conduct the examination of such practice.
- 3. In the first place, the examination will be confined to matters which have in practice arisen in the proceedings of the Security Council only. The practice of the General Assembly in connexion with the maintenance of international peace and security, which is in some respects relevant to a better understanding of the subject as a whole, and to an appreciation of the conditions under which, and the extent to which, the authority of the United Nations has been exercised or invoked in matters concerning the maintenance of international peace and security, is dealt with under the relevant Articles 1/ on the functions and powers of the General Assembly.
- 4. In the second place, not all decisions so far taken by the Security Council in the discharge of its primary responsibility for the maintenance of international peace

^{1/} See in this Repertory under Articles 10, 12 and 14, and particularly Article 11.

and security will be analysed in this study. The provisions of Article 24 define the boundaries of the general field of responsibility of the Security Council for the maintenance of international peace and security. Other Articles of the Charter, as indicated in Article 24 (2), lay down the specific functions and powers granted to the Council for the discharge of that responsibility, and it is under those Articles that most of the decisions of the Security Council connected with the maintenance of international peace and security are dealt with in the Repertory.

- 5. The Analytical Summary of Practice in this study is therefore confined to decisions bearing upon the main questions which have arisen in the proceedings of the Security Council in connexion with its general responsibility for the maintenance of international peace and security, as distinct from its specific powers defined in other relevant Articles. These questions are two in number: the first relates to whether Article 24 may be interpreted as a source of general or "reserve" powers over and above the specific powers conferred on the Council elsewhere in the Charter; the second relates to whether the referral by the Security Council to the General Assembly of a question concerning the maintenance of international peace and security may have consequences incompatible with the rights and duties of the Council under Article 24.
- 6. A General Survey restricted to the small number of decisions reviewed in the Analytical Summary would be unduly narrow, and would fail to provide a synoptic view of the range and type of action of the Security Council bearing upon Article 24. On the other hand, to cover in detail all decisions of the Council in the exercise of its specific powers for the maintenance of international peace and security would duplicate material found in other studies in the Repertory covering the Articles of Chapters VI, VII, VIII and XII. Consequently, an intermediate method has been adopted, and two annexes have been appended, the contents of which are described in the General Survey.

I. GENERAL SURVEY

A. Article 24 (1) and (2)

- 7. In a certain sense, all decisions of the Security Council taken in connexion with the maintenance of international peace and security may be said to constitute, directly or indirectly, action bearing on Article 24. In that sense, the practice of the Council would be equivalent to the sum total of these decisions taken by the Council since its first meeting on 17 January 1946, and a survey of such action should properly present a summary of all the material in question. However, for the reasons stated in the preceding paragraph, such a presentation has been considered inappropriate for the purpose of this General Survey.
- 8. As an alternative, two annexes have been appended, containing first, a chronological list of questions which the Council, as stated in its reports to the General Assembly, has considered "under its responsibility for the maintenance of international peace and security", and second, a tabulation of the various measures provided for in positive decisions adopted by the Council on matters in connexion with these questions. Broadly, the range of questions included in the list covers those which may be deemed to fall under Chapters VI, VII and VIII. The headings in the tabulation have been devised for convenience of presentation, and no constitutional significance is to be attached to the inclusion of particular decisions under any given heading. It is considered, nevertheless, that this tabulation will be adequate to give the reader within a reasonable compass a conspectus of the range and type of measures provided for in the above-mentioned decisions.

B. Article 24 (3)

- 9. Considered in conjunction with Article 15 (1), Article 24 (3) places upon the Security Council the obligation to render from time to time to the General Assembly, in which all Members of the United Nations are represented, an account of how it has discharged the responsibility conferred upon it by the Members for the maintenance of international peace and security. Both annual and special reports have been submitted by the Security Council to the General Assembly. The special reports have all concerned the admission of new Members. 2/
- 10. The accepted procedure with regard to the preparation of annual reports, which are factual only, includes the following stages:
 - a. Formulation of the first draft by the Secretariat;
- b. Circulation of this draft as a confidential document among the members of the Council:
- c. Discussion of proposals for changes and submission of observations at a closed meeting of the Council;
- d. Full approval at a closed meeting of the Council, following which the annual report of the Security Council is published as a General Assembly document and submitted for the consideration of the Assembly.
- 11. Consideration of the annual report of the Security Council by the General Assembly has become a formality. The General Assembly has not debated the substance of the report, but has merely adopted resolutions 3/ taking note of it.

II. ANALYTICAL SUMMARY OF PRACTICE

- A. The question whether the authority of the Security Council is limited to the exercise of the specific powers laid down in Chapters VI, VII, VIII and XII
- agreement, could not have been taken by the Security Council which, by general agreement, could not have been taken under the specific powers laid down in Chapters VI, VII, VIII and XII. The position appears to have been adopted by the Security Council in connexion with the Trieste question that it could rely on Article 24, without reference to its specific powers, as the legal basis for a decision to assume certain important responsibilities in regard to the administration of the Free Territory. Article 24, interpreted in this sense, becomes a residuary source of authority which can be drawn upon to meet situations which are not covered by the more detailed provisions in the succeeding Articles. Accordingly, instances in the practice of the Security Council illustrative of this application of Article 24 are analysed in this section.

1. Decision of 23 April 1946 in connexion with the Iranian question

13. During the discussion of this question, certain representatives suggested that, since the Security Council had been seized of the dispute by virtue of the complaint $\frac{1}{4}$

^{2/} See also in this Repertory under Article 4.

^{3/} G A resolutions 115 (II), 269 (III), 298 (IV), 397 (V), 514 (VI), 695 (VII) and 803 (VIII).

^{4/} S C, 1st yr., 1st Series, Suppl. No. 1, pp. 16 and 17, annex 2A.

submitted by Iran under Article 35 (1), the withdrawal 5/ of that complaint meant that the dispute no longer existed, and consequently that the necessary conditions for action by the Council were not present. It was recognized that the Council still had the right to vote an investigation under Article 34 or to invoke Article 36 (1) after deciding that a dispute existed under Article 33 or that there was a situation of like nature; but unless the Council proceeded to exercise its powers under these Articles, it could not remain seized of the dispute after the complaint had been withdrawn. This view, as contained in an opinion 6/ of the Secretary-General, was examined by the Security Council on the basis of a report 7/ of its Committee of Experts. The majority of the Committee observed that the opinion of the Secretary-General had put the problem on "too narrow a basis since it referred only to a dispute and since it treated such a dispute merely as a law suit between two parties". The report then noted that the problem should not be considered from a legalistic point of view since "The Charter has in fact invested the Security Council, especially under Article 24, with certain political functions of primary importance by conferring on it the primary responsibility for the maintenance of international peace and security". Consequently, the Security Council might hold that, even after agreement had been reached between the parties, circumstances might continue to exist which "might still leave room for fears regarding the maintenance of peace" and hence justify retaining the item on the agenda. 8/

Decision

At its 36th meeting on 23 April 1946, the Security Council rejected 9/ a draft resolution which would take note of the letter from the representative of Iran of 15 April 1946 withdrawing the complaint. There were 3 votes in favour and 8 against.

2. Decision of 10 January 1947 in connexion with the question of the Statute of the Free Territory of Trieste

14. Under the arrangements for a Free Territory of Trieste, which had been agreed upon by the Council of Foreign Ministers, the Security Council was to assume important responsibilities in regard to the Free Territory; in particular, it was to: (a) ensure the integrity and independence of the territory, (b) appoint the Governor who would be responsible to the Council and subject to its instructions, (c) determine when the Permanent Statute should enter into force; and (d) have the right to amend the Permanent Statute. The Council of Foreign Ministers requested 10/ the Security Council to approve the instruments relating to Trieste and to accept the responsibilities which would devolve upon it under them.

^{5/} S C, 1st yr., 1st Series, No. 2, 32nd mtg., pp. 122 and 123.

6/ S C, 1st yr., 1st Series, No. 2, 33rd mtg., pp. 143-145, S/39.

7/ S C, 1st yr., 1st Series, Suppl. No. 2, p. 47, annex 2g (S/42).

8/ For texts of relevant statements see S C, 1st yr., 1st Series, No. 2; 32nd mtg.:

Australia, pp. 131 and 132; Brazil, pp. 132 and 133; France, pp. 135 and 136;

Egypt, p. 139; Netherlands, pp. 127 and 128; Poland, pp. 137-139; USSR,

pp. 123-126; United Kingdom, pp. 128-130; United States, pp. 126 and 127; 33rd mtg.:

France, p. 149; Netherlands, pp. 147 and 148; Poland, p. 153; 36th mtg.: France,

p. 206; Mexico, pp. 210 and 211; Netherlands, p. 212; Poland, pp. 209 and 210;

USSR, pp. 201-203; United Kingdom, pp. 207 and 208; United States, p. 203. 9/ S C, lst yr., lst Series, No. 2, 36th mtg., p. 213. 10/ S C, 2nd yr., Suppl. No. 1, annex 2 (S/224).

- 15. The question was raised as to the authority of the Council to accept these responsibilities. It was not doubted that the question of Trieste related to peace and security, but it was contended that the responsibility of the Council could only be exercised through the specific powers granted for that purpose in Chapters VI, VII, VIII and XII. These specific powers did not confer on the Council sufficient authority under the circumstances to enable it to exercise the governmental functions stipulated in the Trieste documents.
- 16. The contrary view was put forward, and supported by a statement of the Secretary-General, that the Security Council was not limited to the specific powers laid down in the Chapters mentioned; the Council had a power to maintain peace and security, conferred upon it by Article 24, which was wide enough to enable it to assume the responsibilities arising from the agreements relating to Trieste. It was a basic conception of the Charter that the Members of the United Nations had conferred upon the Security Council powers commensurate with its responsibility for the maintenance of peace and security. The only limitations were those imposed by the stipulations contained in the fundamental Purposes and Principles to be found in Chapter I. 11/

Decision

At its 91st meeting on 10 January 1947, the Security Council recorded its approval 12/ of the three annexes to the draft Peace Treaty with Italy and "its acceptance of the responsibilities devolving upon it under the same". There were 10 votes in favour, none against and 1 abstention.

- 3. Decision of 24 September 1946 in connexion with a proposal calling for information on Allied forces on non-enemy territory
- 17. It was proposed, in view of the obligation placed on the Council under Chapter VII, to require Member States to submit information regarding the presence of Allied troops on non-enemy territory. The representative sponsoring the proposal stated that the question raised was a situation within the scope of Articles 34 and 35. It was objected that under Article 24 specific powers had been granted to the Security Council for action with regard to specific matters; the situation brought to the attention of the Council was a world situation with which the Council would find it difficult to deal. The existence of friction or the possibility of a dispute had not been established. In support of the proposal, it was urged that the Security Council had every right and reason to demand the information, in view of the duties and obligations of the Council under Chapter VII and Article 24. 13/

^{11/} For texts of relevant statements, see S C, 2nd yr., No. 1, 89th mtg.: President (Australia), pp. 5-8; China, pp. 17-18; Colombia, pp. 18 and 19; France, pp. 15 and 16; Poland, pp. 14 and 15; Syria, pp. 8 and 9; USSR, p. 9; United Kingdom, pp. 9-11; United States, pp. 11 and 12; 91st mtg.: President (Australia), pp. 56-58; France, pp. 58 and 59; Secretary-General, pp. 44 at 45.

^{12/} S C, 2nd yr., No. 3, 91st mtg., p. 61.

13/ For texts of relevant statements, see S C, 1st yr., 2nd Series, No. 18, 72nd mtg.: President (USSR), pp. 457-459; Australia, pp. 453-456; France, pp. 444-458; Mexico, p. 448; Poland, pp. 450 and 451; United States, p. 452.

Decision

At its 72nd meeting on 24 September 1946, the Security Council rejected 14/ the proposal to include the item in the agenda. There were 2 votes in favour, 7 against and 2 abstentions.

4. Decision of 29 July 1947 in connexion with the Greek frontier incidents question

18. Objection was raised to a proposal 15/ "framed in the spirit of Chapter VI", to set up a commission of good offices and investigation in connexion with the Greek frontier incidents question; the grounds for the objection were that under Chapter VI the Security Council was only called upon to make recommendations, and that the proposal would involve more than a recommendation for its enforcement, since it was not acceptable to all parties concerned. It was urged in reply that the powers of the Security Council under Article 24 were not restricted to the specific grants of authority contained in Chapters VI, VII, VIII and XII. Grave reservations about these "so-called wide reserve powers" were expressed, but it was emphasized that once the Security Council had determined that there was danger to international peace and security, it had full authority to take the proposed action. 16/

Decision

At the 170th meeting on 29 July 1947 the draft resolution as a whole was not adopted 17. There were 9 votes in favour and 2 against (1 vote against being that of a permanent member).

5. Decision of 1 April 1948 in connexion with the Palestine question

19. The Security Council was requested by General Assembly resolution 181 (II) to assume certain responsibilities in connexion with the implementation of the Plan of

S C, 1st yr., 2nd Series, No. 18, 72nd mtg., p. 460. S C, 2nd yr., No. 51, 147th mtg., pp. 1124-1126, S/391. For texts of relevant statements, see S C, 2nd yr., No. 51, 147th mtg.: Greece, pp. 1126 and 1127; United States, p. 1124; No. 54, 151st mtg.: Brazil, pp. 1209-1211; No. 57, 156th mtg.: Bulgaria, p. 1280; United States, pp. 1290-1292; No. 59, 159th mtg.: Yugoslavia, pp. 1371 and 1372; No. 59, 160th mtg.: USSR, p. 1379; No. 61, 162nd mtg.: Australia, pp. 1418-1420; Brazil, p. 1422; France, p. 1416; United States, pp. 1422 and 1423; No. 61, 163rd mtg.: Syria, pp. 1429 and 1430; Yugoslavia, pp. 1432 and 1433; No. 63, 166th mtg.: United States, pp. 1523, 1526 and 1527; No. 64, 167th mtg.: President (Poland), p. 1547; No. 65, 168th mtg.: United Kingdom, pp. 1556-1558; No. 66, 170th mtg.: President (Poland), p. 1611. 17/ S C, 2nd yr., No. 66, 170th mtg., p. 1612.

Partition with Economic Union 18/ for Palestine. At the 222nd meeting 19/ on 9 December 1947, the Council included the matter in the agenda, and after discussion decided to postpone further consideration. At the close of February 1948, the content of the first special report 20/ of the United Nations Palestine Commission on the disorders in Palestine and the inability of the Commission to implement the plan without the assistance of an effective international force gave rise to discussion regarding the authority of the Council to comply with the request of the General Assembly, and notably concerning the circumstances and the purposes of the exercise of the powers of Chapter VII. The view was expressed that the authority of the Security Council did not extend to the enforcement of a political settlement, regardless of whether it was pursuant to a recommendation of the General Assembly or of the Security Council itself. At the 263rd meeting, the Council rejected a proposal "To accept, subject to the authority of the Security Council under the Charter, the requests addressed to it by the General Assembly in paragraphs (a), (b) and (c) of Section A of the General Assembly resolution of 29 November 1947". The Council limited its immediate action to calling on the permanent members for recommendations regarding the implementation of the General Assembly resolution. 21/

The Plan of Partition with Economic Union, approved by the General Assembly as part of a plan for the "future government of Palestine", provided for the establishment in Palestine, upon termination of the Mandate of the United Kingdom but not later than 1 October 1948, of independent Arab and Jewish States and of a special international régime for the City of Jerusalem. A commission consisting of five Member States was set up to implement the plan and to take over the administration of Palestine during the transitional period between 29 November 1947 and 1 October 1948 under the instructions of the Security Council. The Commission requested an opinion from the Secretary-General on the powers of the Security Council to accept the responsibilities assigned to it by the General Assembly with respect to the implementation of the Plan of Partition. In his opinion the Secretary-General recognized that there were no specific provisions of the Charter which authorized the Council to accept responsibilities such as those involved in the carrying out of the partition plan. But he drew attention to his earlier opinion on the Trieste question and concluded that by its decision in that case the Council had "recognized the principle that it has sufficient power, under the terms of Article 24 of the Charter, to assume new responsibilities, on condition that they relate directly, or even indirectly, to the maintenance of international peace and security, and that in discharging these duties, the Security Council acts in accordance with the Purposes and Principles of the Charter".

S C, 2nd yr., Suppl. No. 20, p. 172, annex 48 (S/614). S C, 3rd yr., Special Suppl. No. 2, p. 10, S/676.

For texts of relevant statements, see S C, 3rd yr.;

No. 16-35, 253rd mtg.: United Kingdom, p. 273; United States, pp. 264-269; 254th mtg.: Syria, pp. 275, 276, 281, 282, 291 and 292; 258th mtg.: Belgium, pp. 356-358; Syria, p. 365; 260th mtg.: Syria, pp. 395-398; United Kingdom, p. 405; United States, pp. 398-401;

No. 36-51, 261st mtg.: President (China), p. 6; Canada, pp. 2 and 3; 262nd mtg.: France, pp. 22 and 23; 263rd mtg.: USSR, pp. 36 and 37; 270th mtg.: USSR, pp. 146-148; United States, pp. 141-143; 271st mtg.: China, pp. 170 and 171; USSR, pp. 171 and 172; United States, pp. 166-168; 275th mtg.: USSR, pp. 249-253; United States, pp. 246-248;

No. 52, 277th mtg.: United States, pp. 31 and 32.

Decision

At its 277th meeting on 1 April 1948, having received the above-mentioned recommendations, the Council, in the exercise of its primary responsibility for the maintenance of peace and security, unanimously approved 22/ a draft resolution asking the Jewish Agency for Palestine and the Arab Higher Committee to make representatives available to the Security Council in order to arrange a truce, and called upon armed groups to cease acts of violence.

At the same meeting, the Security Council decided 23/ to request the Secretary-General to convoke a special session of the General Assembly. There were 9 votes in favour, none against and two abstentions.

B. The question of the bearing on the primary responsibility of the Security Council of proposals to refer a matter to the General Assembly

20. Article 24 describes the responsibility of the Security Council, in matters relating to international peace and security, as a primary responsibility. 24/ The Security Council has considered the bearing on its primary responsibility under the Charter of proposals to refer a matter to the General Assembly. Two instances illustrative of the practice of the Council on this point during consideration of the Spanish question are analysed in this section.

1. Decision of 18 June 1946 in connexion with the Spanish question

21. Objection was raised on the basis of Article 24 to a draft resolution, 25/ based upon the recommendation of the Sub-Committee on the Spanish question, which would refer the question to the General Assembly. It was urged that the Security Council had the right to act in the matter and had good reason for taking action; it should discharge that responsibility. In favour of the recommendation, it was contended during the discussion that it represented no diminution of the powers of the Security Council, but constituted an exercise of the powers of the Council to recommend methods of adjustment or suitable procedures. 26/

Decision

At the 47th meeting on 18 June 1946, the draft resolution was not adopted. 27/ There were 9 votes in favour, 1 against (the vote against being that of a permanent member) and 1 abstention.

^{22/} S C, 3rd yr., No. 52, 277th mtg., p. 34.

S C, 3rd yr., No. 52, 277th mtg., p. 35.

To the general question of the responsibilities of the General Assembly in these matters in view of the "primary responsibility" of the Security Council. See in this Repertory under Article 11, paras. 53-109.

^{25/} S C, 1st yr., 1st Series, No. 2, 45th mtg., p. 326.

26/ For texts of relevant statements, see S C, 1st yr., 1st Series, No. 2; 45th mtg.:
Australia, pp. 325-327; Netherlands, p. 359; USSR, pp. 337 and 338; 47th mtg.:
Poland, p. 373; USSR, pp. 367 and 368.
In Part V of its report, the Sub-Committee had stated that the situation in Spain

was "a situation the continuance of which is in fact likely to endanger the maintenance of international peace and security".

^{27/} S C, 1st yr., 1st Series, No. 2, 47th mtg., p. 379.

2. Decision of 4 November 1946 in connexion with the Spanish question

22. Before putting to the vote a draft resolution 28/ which could remove the Spanish question from the list of matters of which the Council was seized and would put all records and documents of the case at the disposal of the General Assembly, the President asked the Council to confirm the understanding that "it would be possible for any member, with a valid reason, to put the question back on the Security Council's agenda". There would be no prejudice to the rights and privileges of the Security Council. 29/

Decision

At its 79th meeting on 4 November 1946, the Security Council adopted 30/ unanimously the draft resolution on that understanding.

^{28/} S C, lst yr., 2nd Series, No. 21, 79th mtg., p. 492.

29/ For texts of relevant statements, see <u>ibid</u>.: President (United Kingdom), p. 498;
Poland, p. 492.

^{30/} Tbid., p. 498.

ANNEX I

Chronological list of questions considered by the Security Council under its responsibility for the maintenance of international peace and security a/

Question b/	Submitted by c/
The Iranian question (I)	Iran, 19 January 1946
The Greek question	USSR, 21 January 1946
The Indonesian question (I)	Ukrainian SSR, 21 January 1946
The Syrian and Lebanese question	Syria and Lebanon, 4 February 1946
The Iranian question (II)	Iran, 18 March 1946
*The Spanish question	Poland, 9 April 1946
The Ukrainian complaint against Greece	Ukrainian SSR, 24 August 1946
*The Greek frontier incidents question	Greece, 3 December 1946
Question of the Statute of the Free Territory of Trieste	Council of Foreign Ministers, 12 December 1946
The Corfu Channel question	United Kingdom, 10 January 1947
Appointment of a governor for the Free Territory of Trieste	United Kingdom, 13 June 1947
The Egyptian question	Egypt, 8 July 1947
The Indonesian question (II)	India, 30 July 1947 Australia, 30 July 1947
*The Palestine question	General Assembly resolution 181 (II), 29 November 1947
The India-Pakistan question	India, 1 January 1948 Pakistan, 15 January 1948
The Czechoslovak question	Chile, 12 March 1948
Question of the Free Territory of Trieste	Yugoslavia, 28 July 1948
The Hyderabad question	Hyderabad, 21 August 1948

a/ See para. 8 above. b/ The asterisk denotes questions in connexion with which certain matters were also considered by the General Assembly.

The date of submission is that of the original communication submitting the question to the Security Council,

Question

Identic notifications dated 29 September 1948

*Complaint of aggression upon the Republic of Korea

*Complaint of armed invasion of Taiwan (Formosa)

*Complaint of bombing by air forces of the territory of China

The Anglo-Iranian Oil Company case

Request for investigation of alleged bacterial warfare

Letter from the Acting Permanent Representative of Thailand (S/3220)

Cablegram from the Minister for External Relations of Guatemala (S/3232)

Letter from the Permanent Representative of the United States of America (S/3287)

Submitted by

France, United Kingdom, United States, 29 September 1948

United States, 25 June 1950

People's Republic of China, 20 August 1950

People's Republic of China, 27 August 1950

United Kingdom, 28 September 1951

United States, 20 June 1952

Thailand, 29 May 1954

Guatemala, 19 June 1954

United States, 8 September 1954

ANNEX II

Tabulation of various measures provided for in positive decisions adopted by the Security Council in connexion with questions which it has considered under its responsibility for the maintenance of international peace and security $\underline{\mathbf{d}}$

I. Preliminary measures for the elucidation of fact

- A. Appointment of a sub-committee to examine evidence and to conduct an inquiry
 - (i) Spanish question: Decision of 29 April 1946
 - (ii) Corfu Channel question: Decision of 27 February 1947
- B. Establishment of a commission of investigation
 - (i) Greek frontier incidents question: Decision of 19 December 1946
 - Decision of 18 April 1947
 - (ii) India-Pakistan question: Decision of 20 January 1948

d See para. 8 above.

II. Determination of the nature of the question

A. Determination of the existence of a dispute or situation the continuance of which is likely to endanger the maintenance of international peace and security

India-Pakistan question: Decision of 21 April 1948, fifth paragraph

- B. Determination of the existence of a threat to the peace, breach of the peace, or act of aggression
 - (i) Palestine question: Decision of 15 July 1948, second paragraph
 - (ii) Complaint of aggression upon the Republic of Korea: Decision of 25 June 1950, fourth paragraph
- C. Determination of a violation of cease-fire provisions of a Security Council resolution

Palestine question: Decision of 24 November 1953, part A, first paragraph

III. Injunctions to Governments and authorities involved in hostilities

- A. Precautionary action
 - (i) Palestine question: Decision of 5 March 1948, third paragraph
 - (ii) India-Pakistan question:
 Request of the President of 6 January 1948
 Decision of 17 January 1948, fourth paragraph
- B. Cessation of hostilities
 - (1) Indonesian question (II):
 Decision of 1 August 1947, second paragraph (a)
 Decision of 26 August 1947, paragraph 2
 Decision of 1 November 1947, third paragraph
 Decision of 24 December 1948, third paragraph (a)
 Decision of 28 January 1949, paragraph 1
 - (ii) Palestine question:
 Decision of 1 April 1948 (S/714.I), fourth paragraph
 Decision of 16 April 1948, paragraph 1 (a)
 Decision of 22 May 1948, second paragraph
 Decision of 29 May 1948, first to fifth paragraphs
 Decision of 15 July 1948, third and sixth paragraphs
 Decision of 29 December 1948, second paragraph (i)
 Decision of 11 August 1949, fourth paragraph
 Decision of 8 May 1951, paragraph 3
 - (iii) India-Pakistan question: Decision of 21 April 1948, ninth paragraph and part A
 - (iv) Complaint of aggression upon the Republic of Korea: Decision of 25 June 1950, paragraph I
 - (v) Cablegram dated 19 June 1954 from the Minister for External Relations of Guatemala: Decision of 20 June 1954, second paragraph
- C. Arrangement, maintenance or prolongation of truce
 - (i) Indonesian question (II): Decision of 29 July 1948, second paragraph

(ii) Palestine question:

Decision of 1 April 1948 (S/714.I), third paragraph

Decision of 7 July 1948, second paragraph

Decision of 15 July 1948, ninth paragraph

D. Establishment of an armistice

Palestine question:

Decision of 16 November 1948, fourth and fifth paragraphs
Decision of 11 August 1949, first, second and third paragraphs

Decision of 11 August 1949, first, second and third paragraphs

E. Effective measures to prevent violations of cease-fire provisions of a Security Council resolution

Palestine question: Decision of 24 November 1953, part A, second paragraph and part B

- IV. Measures in connexion with injunctions to be taken by the Governments and authorities directly involved in hostilities
- A. Withdrawal of fighting personnel
 - (i) Indonesian question (II):
 Decision of 1 November 1947, sixth paragraph
 Decision of 28 December 1948 (S/1165)
 - (ii) Palestine question:
 Decision of 19 October 1948 (S/1045), sixth paragraph (a-f)
 Decision of 4 November 1948, fifth paragraph (1)
 Decision of 16 November 1948, fifth paragraph (b)
 - (iii) India-Pakistan question: Decision of 21 April 1948, part A, paragraphs 1 and 2
- B. Demilitarization of an area
 - (i) Palestine question:

Decision of 15 July 1948, seventh paragraph

Decision of 4 November 1948, fifth paragraph (2)

Decision of 25 October 1949

Decision of 18 May 1951, third, fourth, tenth, eleventh and twelfth paragraphs

(ii) India-Pakistan question:

Decision of 14 March 1950, paragraphs 1 and 2

Decision of 30 March 1951, seventh paragraph (a) and paragraphs 3-5

Decision of 10 November 1951, paragraph 2

Decision of 23 December 1952, sixth and seventh paragraphs

C. Delineation of demarcation lines

Palestine question: Decision of 16 November 1948, fifth paragraph (a)

- D. Restriction on the introduction of new fighting personnel into the area of hostilities
 - (i) Palestine question:
 Decision of 16 April 1948, paragraph 1 (b)
 Decision of 29 May 1948, third paragraph
 - (ii) India-Pakistan question: Decision of 21 April 1948, part A, paragraph 1 (a)

- E. Restriction on the importation or furnishing of war materials
 - (i) Palestine question:

Decision of 16 April 1948, paragraph 1 (c) Decision of 29 May 1948, fifth paragraph

(ii) India-Pakistan question: Decision of 21 April 1948, part A, paragraph 1 (a)

F. Restriction on the mobilization of men of military age

Palestine question: Decision of 29 May 1948, fourth paragraph

G. Release of political prisoners

Indonesian question (II):

Decision of 24 December 1948, third paragraph (b)

Decision of 28 December 1948 (S/1164), second paragraph

Decision of 28 January 1949, paragraph 2

H. Protection of Holy Places

Palestine question:

Decision of 16 April 1948, paragraph 1 (f)

Decision of 29 May 1948, sixth paragraph

Decision of 15 July 1948, seventh paragraph

I. Protection of life and property

Indonesian question (II): Decision of 1 November 1947, third paragraph

- J. Freedom of movement and safe conduct of supervision personnel
 - (i) Indonesian question (II): Decision of 25 August 1947 (S/525.I) paragraph 6

(ii) Palestine question:

Decision of 19 October 1948, first and sixth paragraphs

Decision of 29 December 1948, second paragraph (iii)

Decision of 18 May 1951, thirteenth and fourteenth paragraphs

K. Prevention and punishment of breaches of the truce

Palestine question:

Decision of 1 April 1948 (S/714.I), third paragraph

Decision of 15 July 1948, eighth paragraph

Decision of 19 August 1948 (S/983), third paragraph (b), (c) and (d)

Decision of 19 October 1948, sixth paragraph (c)

L. Termination of the exercise of the right of visit, search and seizure

Palestine question: Decision of 1 September 1951, paragraphs 5 and 10

M. Suspension of work in demilitarized zone during examination of question by Security Council

Palestine question: Decision of 27 October 1953, second and fourth paragraphs

N. Obligation to co-operate with subsidiary organ

Palestine question: Decision of 24 November 1953, part C, second paragraph

V. Measures in connexion with injunctions to be taken by other Governments and authorities

A. Prevention of the introduction of fighting personnel

Palestine question:

Decision of 16 April 1948, paragraph 3

Decision of 29 May 1948, third and thirteenth paragraphs

B. Prevention of the importation of war materials

Palestine question:

Decision of 16 April 1948, paragraph 3

Decision of 29 May 1948, fifth and thirteenth paragraphs

- C. Restriction on assistance by Members to the Governments or authorities involved
 - (i) Complaint of aggression upon the Republic of Korea: Decision of 25 June 1950, part III
 - (ii) Cablegram dated 19 June from the Minister for External Relations of Guatemala:
 Decision of 20 June 1954, second paragraph
- D. Provision of assistance by Members in circumstances of a breach of the peace
 - 1. Relief and support of civilian population Complaint of aggression upon the Republic of Korea: Decision of 31 July 1950
 - Provision of assistance to repel an armed attack Complaint of aggression upon the Republic of Korea: Decision of 27 June 1950, sixth paragraph
 - 3. Availability of military forces for a Unified Command
 Complaint of aggression upon the Republic of Korea: Decision of 7 July 1950,
 paragraph 3
 - 4. Designation of the Commander of the Unified Command Complaint of aggression upon the Republic of Korea: Decision of 7 July 1950, paragraph 4

VI. Measures for settlement

- A. Compliance with Purposes and Principles of the Charter
 - (i) Palestine question:

Decision of 17 November 1950, tenth paragraph

Decision of 8 May 1951, paragraph 3

Decision of 18 May 1951, eleventh and fourteenth paragraphs

- (ii) India-Pakistan question: Request of the President of 6 January 1948
- B. Procedures of pacific settlement noted, advised or recommended
 - 1. Direct negotiations
 - (i) Iranian question (I): Decision of 30 January 1946, third and fourth paragraphs
 - (ii) Iranian question (II): Decision of 4 April 1946, second paragraph (iii) Indonesian question (II):
 - (iii) Indonesian question (II):
 Decision of 1 November 1947, third paragraph
 Decision of 28 January 1949, paragraph 3
 Decision of 23 March 1949

(iv) Palestine question: Decision of 19 October 1948 (adopted on report S/1042). first paragraph (c) Decision of 4 November 1948, fifth paragraph (2) Decision of 16 November 1948, fifth paragraph Decision of 11 August 1949, second paragraph

(v) India-Pakistan question: Decision of 17 January 1948 Decision of 17 December 1949

2. Good offices, mediation or conciliation

(i) Indonesian question (II): Decision of 25 August 1947 (S/525.II) Decision of 28 January 1949, paragraph 4

(ii) Palestine question: Decision of 29 May 1948, eighth paragraph Decision of 15 July 1948, tenth paragraph Decision of 16 November 1948, fifth paragraph

India-Pakistan question: Decision of 20 January 1948, part C (2) Decision of 21 April 1948, eighth paragraph Decision of 14 March 1950, paragraph 2 (6) (c)

Arbitration

(1) Indonesian question (II): Decision of 1 August 1947

(ii) India-Pakistan question: Decision of 30 March 1951, paragraph 6

4. Judicial settlement Corfu Channel question: Decision of 9 April 1947, paragraph 2

- C. Provisions bearing on issues of substance, including terms of settlement
 - 1. Determination of accession of territory by plebiscite India-Pakistan question: Decision of 21 April 1948, part B Decision of 14 March 1950, third paragraph Decision of 30 March 1951, second and fourth paragraphs Decision of 29 May 1951

2. Establishment of a governmental authority Indonesian question (II): Decision of 28 January 1949, paragraph 3 (a)

- 3. Transfer of sovereignty Indonesian question (II): Decision of 28 January 1949, paragraph 3 (c)
- 4. Evacuation of foreign troops Iranian question (II): Decision of 4 April 1946, fifth and sixth paragraphs 5. Election of a constituent assembly
 - (i) Indonesian question (II): Decision of 28 January 1949, paragraph 3 (b) (ii) India-Pakistan question: Decision of 30 March 1951, paragraphs 2-5
- 6. Protection of civil liberties in connexion with elections
 - (i) Indonesian question (II): Decision of 28 January 1949, paragraph 4 (e)
 (ii) India-Pakistan question: Decision of 28 April 1948, paragraphs 12 and 14
- 7. Repatriation
 - (i) Palestine question: Decision of 17 November 1950, fifth, sixth and seventh paragraphs Decision of 18 May 1951, twelfth paragraph
- (ii) India-Pakistan question: Decision of 21 April 1948, paragraph 14 8. Interim administration of territory in dispute
 - (i) Indonesian question (II): Decision of 28 January 1949, paragraph 2 (ii) Palestine question: Decision of 18 May 1951, tenth paragraph
 - (iii) India-Pakistan question: Decision of 21 April 1948, part B

- 9. Release of political prisoners
 - (i) Indonesian question (II):

Decision of 24 December 1948, third paragraph (b)

Decision of 28 December 1948 (8/1164), second paragraph

Decision of 28 January 1949, paragraph 2

- (ii) India-Pakistan question: Decision of 21 April 1948, paragraph 14
 10. Compliance with treaties and agreements setting forth the basis for a political settlement
 - (i) Indonesian question (II):

Decision of 25 August 1947 (S/525.I), paragraph 2

Decision of 29 July 1948, second paragraph

Decision of 28 January 1949, paragraph 3

(ii) India-Pakistan question:

Decision of 30 March 1951, paragraphs 5 and 6

Decision of 29 May 1951

- D. Reference to the General Assembly
 - (i) Spanish question: Decision of 4 November 1946
 - (ii) Greek frontier incidents question: Decision of 15 September 1947
 - (iii) Palestine question: Decision of 1 April 1948
 - VII. Measures to promote the implementation of resolutions of the Security Council
- A. Notice of possible action under Chapter VII of the Charter

Palestine question:

Decision of 29 May 1948, twelfth paragraph

Decision of 15 July 1948, fourth paragraph

Decision of 4 November 1948, sixth paragraph

- B. Establishment or employment of subsidiary organs
 - 1. For observation or supervision in connexion with the ending of hostilities
 - (i) Indonesian question (II):

Decision of 25 August 1947 (S/525.I), paragraph 5 (Consular Commission

at Batavia)

Decision of 25 August 1947 (S/525.II) (Committee of Good Offices)

Decision of 28 January 1949, paragraph 4 (United Nations Commission for Indonesia)

(ii) Palestine question:

Decision of 23 April 1948 (Truce Commission)

Decision of 22 May 1948, third paragraph (Truce Commission)

Decision of 29 May 1948, seventh paragraph (Mediator and Truce

Commission)

Decision of 15 July 1948, eighth paragraph (Mediator)

Decision of 16 November 1948, fifth paragraph (Acting Mediator)

Decision of 11 August 1949, eighth paragraph (Chief of Staff of the

Truce Supervision Organization)

(iii) India-Pakistan question:

Decision of 21 April 1948, paragraph 17 (United Nations Commission

for India and Pakistan)

Decision of 14 March 1950, paragraph 2 (b) (United Nations Commission

for India and Pakistan)

Decision of 30 Merch 1951, paragraphs 3 and 7 (United Nations

Representative for India and Pakistan)

- (iv) Complaint of aggression upon the Republic of Korea
 Decision of 25 June 1950, part II, paragraph b (United Nations
 Commission on Korea)
- 2. For good offices, mediation or conciliation
 - (i) Indonesian question (II):
 Decision of 25 August 1947 (S/525.II) (Committee of Good Offices)
 Decision of 28 January 1949, paragraph 4 (United Nations Commission for Indonesia)
 - (ii) Palestine question:
 Decision of 29 May 1948, eighth paragraph (Mediator)
 Decision of 15 July 1948, tenth paragraph (Mediator)
 Decision of 16 November 1948, fifth paragraph (Acting Mediator)
 - (iii) India-Pakistan question:
 Decision of 20 January 1948, part C (2) (United Nations Commission for India and Pakistan)
 Decision of 21 April 1948, eighth paragraph (United Nations Commission for India and Pakistan)
 Decision of 3 June 1948 (United Nations Commission for India and Pakistan)
 Decision of 14 March 1950, paragraph 2 (b) and (c) (United Nations Representatives for India and Pakistan)
- For the organization of a plebiscite India-Pakistan question: Decision of 21 April 1948, part B (Plebiscite Administrator)
- C. Intercession by the President
 - (i) Palestine question: Report of the President of 15 April 1948
 - (ii) India-Pakistan question:
 Decision of 17 January 1948
 Decision of 17 December 1949
 - (iii) Identic notifications dated 29 September 1948: Action of the President on 30 November 1948
- D. Endorsement of decisions of subsidiary organs
 - (i) Palestine question:
 Decision of 15 June 1948
 Decision of 19 October 1948
 Decision of 29 December 1948, second paragraph (ii)
 Decision of 18 May 1951, fifth and tenth paragraphs
 Decision of 1 September 1951, paragraph 3
 - (ii) India-Pakistan question:
 Decision of 25 November 1948
 Decision of 10 November 1951, second paragraph
- E. Time-limits fixed for compliance
 - (i) Iranian question (II): Decision of 8 May 1946, third paragraph
 - (ii) Indonesian question (II):
 Decision of 28 December 1948 (S/1164), second paragraph
 Decision of 28 January 1949, paragraph 3

(iii) Palestine question:

Decision of 22 May 1948, second paragraph

Decision of 24 May 1948

Decision of 29 May 1948, eleventh paragraph

Decision of 2 June 1948

Decision of 15 July 1948, third paragraph

(iv) India-Pakistan question: Decision of 14 March 1950, paragraph 1

F. Reaffirmation of previous decisions

(i) Indonesian question (II): Decision of 26 August 1947

(ii) Palestine question:

Decision of 19 October 1948 (S/1045), fourth paragraph

Decision of 16 November 1948, first paragraph

Decision of 11 August 1949, fourth paragraph

Decision of 8 May 1951, first and third paragraphs

Decision of 18 May 1951, first paragraph

Decision of 1 September 1951, paragraphs 1 and 2

Decision of 24 November 1953, first paragraph

(iii) India-Pakistan question:

Decision of 21 April 1948, sixth paragraph

Decision of 3 June 1948, second paragraph

Decision of 30 March 1951, fourth paragraph

Decision of 23 December 1952, first paragraph

VIII. Measures to ensure further consideration and to ascertain compliance

A. Request for information on the progress of settlement

1. From the parties

(i) Iranian question (I): Decision of 30 January 1946, fourth paragraph

(ii) Iranian question (II):

Decision of 4 April 1946, fifth paragraph

Decision of 8 May 1946, third paragraph

(iii) Palestine question:

Decision of 18 May 1948

Decision of 8 July 1948

(iv) India-Pakistan question: Decision of 17 January 1948, fifth paragraph

2. From the Secretary-General

Iranian question (II): Decision of 29 March 1946

3. From subsidiary organs

(i) Indonesian question (II):

Decision of 28 February 1948 (8/678), fifth paragraph

Decision of 28 February 1948 (\$/689)

Decision of 6 July 1948

Decision of 24 December 1948, fourth paragraph

Decision of 28 January 1949, paragraph 4 (g)

(ii) Palestine question:

Decision of 23 April 1948, third paragraph

Decision of 22 May 1948, fourth paragraph

Decision of 29 May 1948, tenth paragraph

Decision of 8 July 1948

Decision of 15 July 1948, eighth paragraph

Decision of 4 November 1948, sixth paragraph

Decision of 29 December 1948, third paragraph

Decision of 11 August 1949, eighth paragraph

Decision of 17 November 1950, eleventh paragraph Decision of 18 May 1951, sixteenth paragraph Decision of 27 October 1953, fifth paragraph

Decision of 24 November 1953, part C, fourth paragraph

(iii) India-Pakistan question:
Decision of 20 January 1948, part C (2)
Decision of 21 April 1948, eighth paragraph
Decision of 3 June 1948, third paragraph
Decision of 14 March 1950, paragraph 2 (e)
Decision of 10 November 1951, paragraph 4

Decision of 31 January 1952

- (iv) Complaint of aggression upon the Republic of Korea: Decision of 25 June 1950, part II
- B. Retention of the question by express decision on the list of matters of which the Security Council is seized

Spanish question: Decision of 26 June 1946

- C. Provision by express decision to consider the matter further
 - (i) Iranian question (II): Decision of 4 April 1946, sixth paragraph
 (ii) Indonesian question (II): Decision of 25 August 1947 (8/525.I), paragraph 7