## REPORTS OF INTERNATIONAL ARBITRAL AWARDS

## RECUEIL DES SENTENCES ARBITRALES

Francis J. Acosta (United States.) v. United Mexican States

18 October 1928

VOLUME IV p. 411



NATIONS UNIES - UNITED NATIONS Copyright (c) 2006

## FRANCIS J. ACOSTA (U.S.A.) v. UNITED MEXICAN STATES

(October 18, 1928, concurring opinion by American Commissioner, October 18, 1928. Pages 121-123.)

- OWNERSHIP OF CLAIM, PROOF OF.—IDENTITY OF CLAIMANT. Claim by Francis J. Acosta for non-payment of money orders issued to A. A. Acosta *allowed* in view of proof claimant had carried on business under trade name of A. A. Acosta.
- APPLICATION OF DOMESTIC STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS. Domestic law requiring presentation of money orders within two years *held* inapplicable when such orders were not being paid by the Government when presented.
- RESPONSIBILITY FOR ACTS OF *de facto* GOVERNMENT.—*Stare Decisis*. Claim for non-payment of money orders issued by Huerta regime *allowed* pursuant to prior rulings of tribunal.
- CONTRACT CLAIMS.—NON-PAYMENT OF MONEY ORDERS.—COMPUTATION OF AWARD.—EFFECT OF DOMESTIC LAW GOVERNING PAYMENTS.—RATES OF EXCHANGE. Mexican law of payments of April 13, 1918, *held* inapplicable in computing the award. Award in claim for non-payment of money orders computed on basis of rate of exchange prevailing at time of their purchase.

(Text of decision omitted.)

## SINGER SEWING MACHINE CO. (U.S.A.) v. UNITED MEXICAN STATES

(October 18, 1928, dissenting opinion (dissenting in part) by American Commissioner. October 18, 1928. Pages 123-126.)

**RESPONSIBILITY FOR ACTS OF** *de facto* GOVERNMENT.—*Stare decisis.* Claim for non-payment of money orders *allowed* pursuant to prior rulings of tribunal.

CONTRACT CLAIMS.—NON-PAYMENT OF MONEY ORDERS.—COMPUTATION OF AWARD.—RATES OF EXCHANGE. Award in claim for non-payment of money orders computed on basis of rate of exchange prevailing at time of their issuance.

(Text of decision omitted.)

\_\_\_\_