## REPORTS OF INTERNATIONAL ARBITRAL AWARDS

## RECUEIL DES SENTENCES ARBITRALES

The Interoceanic Railway of Mexico (Acapulco to Vera Cruz) (Ltd.), The Mexican Eastern Railway Company (Ltd.) and the Mexican Southern Railway Company (Great Britain) v. United Mexican States

24 March 1931

VOLUME V p. 133



NATIONS UNIES - UNITED NATIONS Copyright (c) 2006

## Decisions

THE INTEROCEANIC RAILWAY OF MEXICO (ACAPULCO TO VERA CRUZ) (LIMITED), THE MEXICAN EASTERN RAILWAY COMPANY (LIMITED) AND THE MEXICAN SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY (GREAT BRITAIN) v. UNITED MEXICAN STATES

(Decision No. 22, March 24, 1931. Pages 11-12.1)

PROCEDURE, RIGHT TO AMEND. Leave to amend a motion to dismiss granted, despite opposition of adverse Agent on ground that no new facts were advanced justifying allowance of motion and that sufficient time had been had to plead.

Comments: Sir John H. Percival, "International Arbitral Tribunals and the Mexican Claims Commissions", Jour. Compar. Legis. and Int. Law, 3d ser., Vol. 19, 1937, p. 98 at 103.

(Text of decision omitted.)

CORALIE DAVIS HONEY, ON BEHALF OF THE ESTATE OF THE LATE RICHARD HONEY (GREAT BRITAIN) v. UNITED MEXICAN STATES

(Decision No. 23, March 26, 1931. Pages 13-14.)

DUAL NATIONALITY. Motion to dismiss granted when person suffering damage for which claim was made appeared to have dual nationality.

Comments: G. Godfrey Phillips, "The Anglo-Mexican Special Claims Commission", Law Q. Rev., Vol. 49, 1933, p. 226 at 231.

(Text of decision omitted.)

## JAMES HAMMET HOWARD (GREAT BRITAIN) v. UNITED MEXICAN STATES

(Decision No. 24, March 26, 1931. Pages 15-17.)

CONTRACT CLAIMS.—RESPONSIBILITY FOR ACTS OF FORCES.—FORCED OCCU-PANCY.—JURISDICTION. Motion to dismiss claim for rental value plus cost

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> References to page numbers herein are to the original report referred to on page 131.