REPORTS OF INTERNATIONAL
ARBITRAL AWARDS

RECUEIL DES SENTENCES
ARBITRALES

El Oro Mining and Railway Company (Ltd.) (Great Britain) v. United Mexican States

7 July 1931

VOLUME V p. 223

under consideration, the Calvo Clause has exactly the same wording as in the former case, they cannot but take the same attitude.

5. The Motion to Dismiss is allowed.

Dissenting opinion of the British Commissioner

Whilst appreciating that the Calvo Clause in this case is identical with that in the *Mexican Union Railway* Case (Decision No. 21), and that the alleged circumstances giving rise to the claim are similar to those in that case, it is, in my opinion, necessary that I should record my dissent from the decision in this case, as done already in the case of the *Interoceanic Railway Company* (Decision No. 53).

I do so for the same reasons, recording also my opinion that this is a yet stronger case of the inapplicability of the Calvo Clause to cases resting on revolutionary causes, and not relating to contracts containing a Calvo clause.

VENTANAS MINING AND EXPLORATION COMPANY (LIMITED) (GREAT BRITAIN) *v.* UNITED MEXICAN STATES

(Decision No. 73, July 7, 1931. Pages 211-212.)

DIRECT SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM BETWEEN AGENTS. Direct settlement of claim by agreement between British and Mexican Agents approved by tribunal.

(Text of decision omitted.)

THE SALINAS OF MEXICO (LIMITED) (GREAT BRITAIN) *v.* UNITED MEXICAN STATES

(Decision No. 74, July 7, 1931. Pages 212-213.)

DIRECT SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM BETWEEN AGENTS. Direct settlement of claim by agreement between British and Mexican Agents approved by tribunal.

(Text of decision omitted.)

EL ORO MINING AND RAILWAY COMPANY (LIMITED) (GREAT BRITAIN) *v.* UNITED MEXICAN STATES

(Decision No. 75, July 7, 1931. Page 214. See also decision No. 55.)

DIRECT SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM BETWEEN AGENTS. Direct settlement of claim by agreement between British and Mexican Agents approved by tribunal.

(Text of decision omitted.)