REPORTS OF INTERNATIONAL ARBITRAL AWARDS

RECUEIL DES SENTENCES ARBITRALES

Decision No. 9 (France) v. United Mexican States

3 August 1928

VOLUME V p. 325



NATIONS UNIES - UNITED NATIONS Copyright (c) 2006

Decisions

DECISION No. 4 (April 13, 1928.)

EXTENSION OF TIME-LIMITS TO DISCUSS CERTAIN PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS .---NO PERMANENT MODIFICATION OF RULES OF PROCEDURE. Time-limits set by article 37 of the Rules of Procedure 1 are extended by the Commission in order to enable the French Agent to reply to objections raised by Mexico. The nature of these objections is not specified in the decision. The Commission states, however, that this decision does not constitute a permanent modification of article 37 of its Rules of Procedure.

(Text of decision omitted.)

GEORGES PINSON (FRANCE) v. UNITED MEXICAN STATES

(Decision No. 6 of April 24, 1928.)

EVIDENCE.—WITNESSES TO BE EXAMINED BY AGENTS. The French Agent applied for the examination by the Commission of a number of witnesses. In the Commission's opinion, however, there is no necessity for the Commission to examine the witnesses since this may easily be done by the Agents themselves who had already agreed to do so. Consequently, the Agents are directed to examine the witnesses and to inform the Commission about the results thereof.

(Text of decision omitted.)

DECISION No. 9

(August 3, 1928.)

PROCEDURE.---ADMISSIBILITY OF CLAIMS.---HOMOLOGATION OF AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE PARTIES. The French Agent requested the Commission to admit twenty-nine claims. The Mexican Agent making no objection to this request, the Commission, in accordance with article 45 of its Rules of Procedure, 2 declares the claims admitted. The Commission's reasons, the decision says, will be disclosed later. 8

(Text of decision omitted.)

¹ For the text of article 37 see Feller, p. 438.

² For the text of article 45 see Feller, p. 439. ³ In the decisions which were available for publication, no such disclosure can be found.