# REPORTS OF INTERNATIONAL ARBITRAL AWARDS

## RECUEIL DES SENTENCES ARBITRALES

Casimir Maurin (France) v. United Mexican States

19 September 1928

VOLUME V p. 326



NATIONS UNIES - UNITED NATIONS Copyright (c) 2006

### HÉLÈNE BIMAR (FRANCE) v. UNITED MEXICAN STATES

(Decision No. 10 of August 8, 1928.)

EVIDENCE.—FIXING OF DATE FOR EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES BY COMMISSION.—SUBMISSION OF QUESTIONNAIRES BY AGENTS. At the French Agent's request, with which the Mexican Agent agreed, the Commission fixes the date for the examination of two witnesses. The Agents are requested to submit questionnaires for the examination.

(Text of decision omitted.)

#### BARTOLOMÉ TURIN (FRANCE) v. UNITED MEXICAN STATES

(Decision No. 12 of August 20, 1928.)

EVIDENCE.—FIXING OF DATE FOR EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES BY COMMISSION.—SUBMISSION OF QUESTIONNAIRES. The Commission makes the same ruling as in Decision No. 10, except that in decision No. 12 no agreement of the Mexican Agent is mentioned.

(Text of decision omitted.)

#### THÉOPHILE GENDROP (FRANCE) v. UNITED MEXICAN STATES

(Decision No. 14 of September 13, 1928.)

EVIDENCE.—FIXING OF DATE FOR EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES BY COMMISSION.—SUBMISSION OF QUESTIONNAIRES. See Decision No. 12, with the same reservation to be made in connexion with Decision No. 14.

(Text of decision omitted.)

#### CASIMIR MAURIN (FRANCE) v. UNITED MEXICAN STATES

(Decision No. 15 of September 19, 1928.)

EVIDENCE.—FIXING OF DATE FOR EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES BY COMMISSION.—SUBMISSION OF QUESTIONNAIRES. See Decision No. 12, with the same reservation to be made in connexion with Decision No. 15.

(Text of decision omitted.)