REPORTS OF INTERNATIONAL ARBITRAL AWARDS

RECUEIL DES SENTENCES ARBITRALES

Juan Añorbes (Panama) v. U.S

27 June 1933

VOLUME VI pp. 344-345



NATIONS UNIES - UNITED NATIONS Copyright (c) 2006 to the prejudice of a Panaman citizen, who, as a result thereof, suffered the humiliation incident to a criminal proceeding. For this act of a police agent in the performance of his functions, the United States of America should be held liable.

The Commission considers, on the other hand, that Colunje's confinement was of short duration and that the judicial authorities of the Zone proceeded without delay in handling Colunje's case. It likewise considers that the latter should have known that he acted imprudently in publishing the advertisement in question and incorrectly in making use of the postal order which brought about his identification.

Decision

The Commission decides that the United States of America is obliged to pay to the Republic of Panama on behalf of Guillermo Colunje the sum of \$500.00 without interest.

JUAN AÑORBES (PANAMA) v. UNITED STATES

(June 27, 1933. Pages 762-764.)

LABOUR ACCIDENT, NEGLIGENCE OF EMPLOYER.—APPLICABLE LAW: CLAIMS CONVENTION.—COMPENSATION. Labour accident on October 23, 1911, to claimant while cleaning engine negligently allowed to be put into motion by superiors. Special Act of Congress of June 30, 1930, extending to him, from that date, benefits of 1916 Compensation Act. *Held* that United States responsible: reference made to Juan Manzo award, p. 314 supra; but that claim should be disallowed since claimant between 1911 and 1930 sufficiently employed.

Cross-reference: Comisión General de Reclamaciones entre Panamá y Estados Unidos de América, Reclamación de la República de Panamá en su propio nombre y en representación de Juan Añorbes, Registro No. 25. (Publicación Oficial. Panamá, 1934.)

Bibliography: Hunt, Report, p. 764; Borchard, "The United States-Panama Claims Arbitration", Am. J. Int. Law, vol. 29 (1935), p. 101.

This is a claim on behalf of Juan Añorbes for 25,000 balboas. The claimant is a Panamanian by birth.

On October 23, 1911, Añorbes, then a winchman employed by the Division of Dredges of the Panama Canal at a salary of \$50 per month, sustained a severe fracture of the right arm while cleaning an engine. This resulted in permanent partial disability. Under the compensation law then in force, he was given free hospital and medical treatment and a year's salary as compensation for his injury. Except for a few very brief interims he was employed by the United States Government from December, 1912, to April, 1915, and from January, 1924, to June, 1933, at rates of salary averaging substantially over \$50 per month. By special Act of Congress of June 30, 1930, there were extended to him, from that date, the benefits of the 1916 Compensation Act. He is thereby assured that if his earnings in future drop below \$50 per month the deficiency will be made good under the statute.

The Commission holds that the United States is responsible for the injury to the claimant. He was ordered by his superiors to clean an engine, and those superiors allowed the engine to be put in motion while he was cleaning it.

DECISIONS 345

This, unexplained, is sufficient evidence of negligence. The United States is answerable therefor (see the opinion of this Commission in the claim of Juan Manzo, Registry No. 21).

The compensation of a year's salary originally awarded to the claimant seems clearly inadequate, in view of the seriousness of his injury. It is equally clear, however, that if, from the time of his injury, he had been entitled to the benefits of the system of compensation established by the 1916 Act, which was made applicable to him by the special Act of 1930, he would have been justly treated.

The Commission finds that the claimant has not been prejudiced by the fact that he did not receive the protection of the 1916 compensation law until 1930. During the period from his injury until the passage of the Act of June 30, 1930, the Canal Zone authorities took pains to provide him with employment. The amounts received by him from the United States alone during this period total only slightly less than what he would have received if he had gotten a regular monthly compensation of \$50 during the entire time.

It is to be noted, moreover, that from 1915 to 1924 the claimant was not employed by the United States. The evidence shows that he was gainfully employed during at least part of that period, by the Government of Panama. And in that interval he was twice offered employment by the United States.

The Commission therefore feels that the facts show that the claimant, in net result, is as well off as he would have been if the present system of compensation had been available to him from the time of his injury. Since that present system is adequate and just, the claimant is not entitled to an award. In reaching this conclusion the Commission assumes that the claimant will in the future continue to enjoy the protection afforded by the 1916 act.

The Commission decides that the claim is disallowed.

JOSÉ AZAEL RUIZ (PANAMA) v. UNITED STATES

(June 28, 1933. Pages 636-637.)

JURISDICTION: CLAIMS ARISEN AFTER SIGNATURE OF CLAIMS CONVENTION.— INTERPRETATION OF TREATIES. *Held* that Commission has jurisdiction to entertain claims after signature of Claims Convention, July 28, 1926: reference to Walter A. Noyes award, p. 308 supra.

Responsibility for Acts Ashore of Sailors.—Evidence: Testimony of Witnesses, Certificate. Pecuniary loss caused in March, 1931, by sailors of United States navy who during maneuvres landed on Saboga Island. Evidence: testimony of witnesses. certificate issued by municipal authority. Held that United States liable: reference to J. M. Vásquez Díaz award, p. 341 supra. Damages allowed.

Cross-reference: Comisión General de Reclamaciones entre Panamá y Estados Unidos de América, Reclamación de la República de Panamá en su propio nombre y en representación de José Azael Ruiz, Registro No. 18. (Publicación Oficial, Panamá, 1934.)

Bibliography: Hunt, Report, p. 637, and "The United States-Panama General Claims Commission", Am. J. Int. Law, vol. 28 (1934), pp. 70-71; Borchard, "The United States-Panama Claims Arbitration", Am. J. Int. Law, vol. 29 (1935), p. 101; Friede, "Die Entscheidungen . . . ", Z.a.o.R.u.V., Band V (1935), pp. 453, 459.