

*Extrait de :*

# NATIONS UNIES ANNUAIRE JURIDIQUE

2016

Quatrième partie. Bibliographie



Copyright (c) Nations Unies

a)	Situation en Ouganda.....	452
b)	Situation en République démocratique du Congo .....	452
c)	Situation au Darfour (Soudan) .....	453
d)	Situation en République centrafricaine.....	453
e)	Situation au Kenya .....	453
f)	Situation en Libye .....	454
g)	Situation en Côte d'Ivoire .....	454
h)	Situation au Mali.....	454
D.	TRIBUNAL PÉNAL INTERNATIONAL POUR L'EX-YOUGOSLAVIE .....	454
1.	Arrêt rendu par la Chambre d'appel.....	454
2.	Jugements rendus par les Chambres de première instance .....	455
3.	Affaires pendantes et procédures consultatives au 31 décembre 2016..	455
E.	MÉCANISME INTERNATIONAL APPELÉ À EXERCER LES FONCTIONS RÉSIDUELLES DES TRIBUNAUX PÉNAUX .....	455
	Affaires pendantes et procédures consultatives au 31 décembre 2016....	455
F.	CHAMBRES EXTRAORDINAIRES AU SEIN DES TRIBUNAUX CAMBODGIENS ....	456
1.	Arrêt rendu par la Chambre de la Cour suprême.....	456
2.	Affaires pendantes et procédures consultatives au 31 décembre 2016..	456
G.	TRIBUNAL SPÉCIAL POUR LE LIBAN.....	456
1.	Jugements rendus dans les affaires d'outrage.....	457
2.	Affaires pendantes et procédures consultatives au 31 décembre 2016..	457
H.	TRIBUNAL SPÉCIAL RÉSIDUEL POUR LA SIERRA LEONE .....	457
<b>CHAPITRE VIII. DÉCISIONS DES TRIBUNAUX NATIONAUX</b>		
A.	AUTRICHE	
	Cour constitutionnelle fédérale autrichienne, ordonnance du 25 février 2016, SV 2/2015-18.....	459
B.	CANADA	
	<i>Groupe de la Banque mondiale c. Wallace, Cour suprême du Canada</i> , arrêt du 29 avril 2016, 2016 CSC 15.....	460

#### **Quatrième partie. Bibliographie**

A.	ORGANISATIONS INTERNATIONALES EN GÉNÉRAL	
1.	Ouvrages généraux.....	487
2.	Ouvrages concernant des questions particulières.....	488
3.	Responsabilité des organisations internationales .....	488
B.	ORGANISATION DES NATIONS UNIES	
1.	Ouvrages généraux .....	489
2.	Principaux organes et organes subsidiaires .....	490
	Cour internationale de Justice .....	490
	Secrétariat .....	491
	Conseil de sécurité.....	491

C.	ORGANISATIONS INTERGOUVERNEMENTALES RELIÉES À L'ORGANISATION DES NATIONS UNIES	
1.	Centre international pour le règlement des différends relatifs aux investissements .....	493
2.	Organisation de l'aviation civile internationale .....	493
3.	Organisation internationale du Travail .....	493
4.	Organisation maritime internationale .....	494
5.	Fonds monétaire international .....	494
6.	Union internationale des télécommunications.....	494
7.	Organisation des Nations Unies pour l'éducation, la science et la culture .....	494
8.	Groupe de la Banque mondiale .....	494
9.	Organisation mondiale de la Santé .....	494
10.	Organisation mondiale du commerce .....	495
D.	AUTRES QUESTIONS JURIDIQUES	
1.	Agression .....	495
2.	Droit aérien .....	496
3.	Sécurité collective .....	496
4.	Arbitrage commercial .....	496
5.	Protection diplomatique .....	497
6.	Relations diplomatiques .....	497
7.	Désarmement .....	497
8.	Questions relatives à l'environnement .....	498
9.	Relations d'amitié et de coopération entre les États .....	503
10.	Droits humains .....	503
11.	Droit administratif international .....	508
12.	Droit international des affaires .....	508
13.	Droit pénal international .....	509
14.	Droit international économique .....	514
15.	Terrorisme international .....	515
16.	Droit commercial international .....	516
17.	Tribunaux internationaux .....	516
18.	Cours d'eau internationaux.....	523
19.	Intervention et assistance humanitaire .....	523
20.	Compétence .....	526
21.	Droit des conflits armés .....	526
22.	Droit de la mer .....	528
23.	Droit des traités .....	532
24.	Adhésion et représentation .....	533
25.	Clause de la nation la plus favorisée .....	533
26.	Ressources naturelles .....	533

27. Organisations non gouvernementales .....	534
28. Territoires non autonomes.....	534
29. Droit de l'espace .....	534
30. Règlement pacifique des différends .....	534
31. Maintien de la paix et activités connexes .....	535
32. Piraterie .....	536
33. Questions politiques et de sécurité .....	536
34. Développement progressif et codification du droit international .....	537
35. Reconnaissance de l'État .....	540
36. Réfugiés et déplacés .....	540
37. Droit d'asile .....	541
38. État de droit .....	542
39. Légitime défense .....	544
40. Autodétermination .....	544
41. Immunité de l'État .....	545
42. Responsabilité de l'État .....	545
43. Souveraineté de l'État .....	546
44. Succession d'États .....	547
45. Justice transitionnelle .....	547
46. Emploi de la force .....	548
LE SYSTÈME DES NATIONS UNIES .....	550

**Quatrième partie**

**BIBLIOGRAPHIE**

## A. ORGANISATIONS INTERNATIONALES EN GÉNÉRAL

## 1. Ouvrages généraux

- Alvarez, José E., « The Impact of International Organizations on International Law », Xiamen Academy of International Law (dir.), *Collected Courses of the Xiamen Academy of International Law*, vol. 7 (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 479 pages.
- Cheng, C. (dir.), *A New International Legal Order: In Commemoration of the Tenth Anniversary of the Xiamen Academy of International Law* (Boston, Brill, 2016), 345 pages.
- Besson, S., « State Consent and Disagreement in International Law-Making: Dissolving the Paradox », *Leiden Journal of International Law*, vol. 29 (2016), p. 289–316.
- Blokker, N., « On the Nature and Future of Partnerships in the Practice of International Organizations », *International Organizations Law Review*, vol. 13 (2016), p. 21–36.
- Blome, K., et al. (dir.), *Contested Regime Collisions: Norm Fragmentation in World Society* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2016), 385 pages.
- Carozza, P. G., « The Problematic Applicability of Subsidiarity to International Law and Institutions », *The American Journal of Jurisprudence*, vol. 61 (2016), p. 51–67.
- Chesterman, S., « Asia's Ambivalence about International Law and Institutions: Past, Present and Futures », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 945–978.
- Cogan, J. K., Hurd, I., et Johnstone, I. (dir.), *The Oxford Handbook of International Organizations* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016). 1 100 pages.
- Daugirdas, K., « How and Why International Law Binds International Organizations », *Harvard International Law Journal*, vol. 57 (2016), p. 325–381.
- Douhan, A. F., « United Nations and Regional Organizations: Complementarity v. Subsidiarity », *Max Planck Yearbook of United Nations Law*, vol. 19 (2016), p. 241–277.
- Ginsburg, T., « The Interaction between Domestic and International Law », in Kontorovich, E., et Parisi, F. (dir.), *Economic Analysis of International Law* (Cambridge et Northampton (MA), Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016), p. 204–218.
- Grütters, D. M., « NATO, International Organizations and Functional Immunity », *International Organizations Law Review*, vol. 13 (2016), p. 211–254.
- Kulesza, J., *Due Diligence in International Law* (Leyde et Boston, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 315 pages.
- Martineau, A., *Le débat sur la fragmentation du droit international : une analyse critique* (Bruxelles, Bruylant, 2016), 584 pages.
- Morton, K., « The Arctic Ocean: Can the Arctic Council be a Competent International Organization? », *Ocean Yearbook*, vol. 30 (2016), p. 282–303.
- Ranganathan, S., « Global Commons », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 693–717.
- Ryngaert, C., et al. (dir.), *Judicial Decisions on the Law of International Organizations* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 480 pages.
- Sarooshi, D., « Legal Capacity and Powers », in Cogan, J. K., Hurd, I., et Johnstone, I. (dir.), *The Oxford Handbook of International Organizations* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), p. 985–1005.
- Zidar, A., et Gauci, J. (dir.), *The Role of Legal Advisers in International Law* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 390 pages.

## 2. Ouvrages concernant des questions particulières

- Acconci P., et al. (dir.), *International Law and the Protection of Humanity: Essays in Honor of Flavia Lattanzi* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 564 pages.
- Feinberg, M., *Sovereignty in the Age of Global Terrorism: The Role of International Organizations* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 206 pages.
- Kleinlein, T., « *Jus Cogens as the Highest Law?* Peremptory Norms and Legal Hierarchies », *Netherlands Yearbook of International Law*, vol. 46 (2016), p. 173–210.
- Wouters, J., et Odermatt, J., « Assessing the Legality of Decisions », in Cogan, J. K., Hurd, I., et Johnstone, I. (dir.), *The Oxford Handbook of International Organizations* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), p. 1006–1025.

## 3. Responsabilité des organisations internationales

- Blau, L. G., « Victimizing those They were Sent to Protect: Enhancing Accountability for Children Born of Sexual Abuse and Exploitation by UN Peacekeepers », *Syracuse Journal of International Law and Commerce*, vol. 44 (2016), p. 121–148.
- Bode, T. G., « Cholera in Haiti: United Nations Immunity and Accountability », *Georgetown Journal of International Law*, vol. 47 (2016), p. 759–791.
- Boisson de Chazournes, L., et Nollkaemper, A., « Partnerships between International Institutions and Issues of (Shared) Responsibility Introductory Notes », *International Organizations Law Review*, vol. 13 (2016), p. 1–20.
- Choudhury, F., « The United Nations Immunity Regime: Seeking a Balance between Unfettered Protection and Accountability », *Georgetown Law Journal*, vol. 104 (2016), p. 725–743.
- Deen-Racsmany, Z., « The Relevance of Disciplinary Authority and Criminal Jurisdiction to Locating Effective Control under the ARIIO », *International Organizations Law Review*, vol. 13 (2016), p. 341–378.
- Feo Valero, J., « La respuesta del sistema de Naciones Unidas ante la situación de crisis prolongada en Haití = The Response of the United Nation System to the Protracted Crisis in Haití », *Anuario español de derecho internacional*, vol. 32 (2016), p. 297–336.
- Kryvoi, Y., « Procedural Fairness as a Precondition for Immunity of International Organizations », *International Organizations Law Review*, vol. 13 (2016), p. 255–272.
- Lanovoy, V., *Complicity and its Limits in the Law of International Responsibility* (Oxford et Portland (OR), Hart Publishing, 2016), 383 pages.
- Odello, M., et Burke, R., « Between Immunity and Impunity: Peacekeeping and Sexual Abuses and Violence », *The International Journal of Human Rights*, vol. 20 (2016), p. 839–853.
- Oswald, B., « Sexual Exploitation and Abuse in UN Peace Operations: Challenges and Developments », *Journal of International Peacekeeping*, vol. 20 (2016), p. 142–170.
- Palchetti, P., « Applying the Rules of Attribution in Complex Scenarios », *International Organizations Law Review*, vol. 13 (2016), p. 37–54.
- Papa, M. I., « The Mothers of Srebrenica Case before the European Court of Human Rights: United Nations Immunity versus Right of Access to a Court », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 893–907.

- Reinisch, A., *The Conventions on the Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations and its Specialized Agencies: A Commentary* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016). 1 026 pages.
- . « Privileges and Immunities », in Cogan, J. K., Hurd, I., et Johnstone, I. (dir.), *The Oxford Handbook of International Organizations* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), p. 1048–1068.
- Reiz, N., et O’Lear S., « Spaces of Violence and (in) Justice in Haiti: A Critical Legal Geography Perspective on Rape, UN Peacekeeping, and the United Nations Status of Forces Agreement », *Territory, Politics, Governance*, vol. 4 (2016), p. 453–471.
- Simić, O., « Policing the Peacekeepers: Disrupting UN Responses to “Crises” Over Sexual Offence Allegations », *Journal of International Peacekeeping*, vol. 20 (2016), p. 69–85.
- Spijkers, O., « Questions of Legal Responsibility for Srebrenica before the Dutch Courts », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 819–843.
- Whelan, C. J., « The United Nations on Trial: Is it a Mission Impossible? *Journal of Global Justice and Public Policy* », vol. 3 (2016), p. 33–89.
- Zwanenburg, M., « What’s in a Word? “Partnerships” between NATO and Other International Institutions and some Issues of Shared Responsibility », *International Organizations Law Review*, vol. 13 (2016), p. 100–125.

## B. ORGANISATION DES NATIONS UNIES

### 1. Ouvrages généraux

- Boon, K. E., « The United Nations as Good Samaritan: Immunity and Responsibility », *Chicago Journal of International Law*, vol. 20 (2016), p. 341–385.
- Castillo, M., « Recent Developments in the United Nations: Shifting from Ideals and Principles to Action and Enforcement », *Temple International and Comparative Law Journal*, vol. 30 (2016), p. 259–288.
- Feinäugle, C. A., *The Rule of Law and its Application to the United Nations* (Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2016), 378 pages.
- Frattoni, F., « International Law at the United Nations: Does it Matter? », *Uniform Law Review*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 161–162.
- Higgins, R., « The United Nations at 70 Years: The Impact upon International Law », *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, vol. 65 (2016), p. 1–19.
- Hilpold, P., « The Applicability of Article 51 UN Charter to Asymmetric Wars », in Heintze, H., et Thielbörger, P. (dir.), *From Cold War to Cyber War: The Evolution of the International Law of Peace and Armed Conflict Over the Last 25 Years* (Cham, Springer, 2016), p. 127–135.
- Hovell, D., « Due Process in the United Nations », *American Journal of International Law*, vol. 110 (2016), p. 1–48.
- Petrič, E., « Principles of the Charter of the United Nations — *Jus Cogens* », *Czech Yearbook of Public and Private International Law*, vol. 7 (2016), p. 3–17.
- Rajarathinam, K., « The United Nations: Pursuing Peace in the 21st Century », *Georgia Journal of International and Comparative Law*, vol. 44 (2016), p. 483–497.



- Röder, T. J., et Spohr, M., « Key Legal and Political Developments at the United Nations in 2015 », *Max Planck Yearbook of United Nations Law*, vol. 19 (2016), p. 505–580.
- Scheinin, M., « United Nations: Substantive Constitutionalism through Rights versus Formal Hierarchy through Article 103 of the Charter », in Fabbrini, F., et Jackson, V. C. (dir.), *Constitutionalism across Borders in the Struggle against Terrorism* (Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016), p. 15–34.
- de Serpa Soares, M., « Practising International Law at the United Nations », *Uniform Law Review*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 163–170.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « UN70: Contributions of the United Nations to the Development of International Law », *The Fletcher Forum of World Affairs*, vol. 40 (2016), p. 99–112.
- Türk, D., « Three Concepts of UN Reform », in Cheng, C. (dir.), *A New International Legal Order: In Commemoration of the Tenth Anniversary of the Xiamen Academy of International Law* (Leyde et Boston, Brill, 2016), p. 43–72.
- Zuxue, G., « The Changes of the United Nations and the Structure of International Law in Modern Times: Celebrating the 70th Anniversary of the United Nations », *China Legal Science*, vol. 4 (2016), p. 3–29.

## 2. Principaux organes et organes subsidiaires

### Cour internationale de Justice

- Abraham, J. R., « Présentation des dix dernières années d'activité de la Cour internationale de Justice », *Journal of International Dispute Settlement*, vol. 7 (2016), p. 297–307.
- Akande, D., « Selection of the International Court of Justice as a Forum for Contentious and Advisory Proceedings (Including Jurisdiction) », *Journal of International Dispute Settlement*, vol. 7 (2016), p. 320–344.
- Boisson de Chazournes, L., et Angelini, A., « Regard sur la mise en œuvre des décisions de la Cour internationale de Justice », *L'Observateur des Nations Unies*, vol. 40 (2016), p. 63–81.
- Bula-Bula, S., « L'ambivalence dans les avis consultatifs du 9 avril 1949 et du 22 juillet 2010 », *L'Observateur des Nations Unies*, vol. 40 (2016), p. 171–216.
- d'Aspremont, J., « The International Court of Justice, the Whales, and the Blurring of the Lines between Sources and Interpretation », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 1027–1041.
- Devaney, J. G., *Fact-Finding before the International Court of Justice* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2016), 287 pages.
- Gaja, G., « Assessing Expert Evidence in the ICJ », *The Law and Practice of International Courts and Tribunals*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 409–418.
- Giorgetti, C., « Between Legitimacy and Control: Challenges and Recusals of Judges and Arbitrators in International Courts and Tribunals », *The George Washington International Law Review*, vol. 49 (2016), p. 205–258.
- Kaczorowska, A., « The International Court of Justice's Vision of Jus Cogens », *L'Observateur des Nations Unies*, vol. 40 (2016), p. 83–110.

- Kamto, M., et Metou, B. M., « Les avis consultatifs de la Cour internationale de Justice : entre juris dictio et pragmatisme politique », *L'Observateur des Nations Unies*, vol. 40 (2016), p. 133–169.
- Keene, A., « Outcome Paper for the Seminar on the International Court of Justice at 70: In Retrospect and in Prospect », *Journal of International Dispute Settlement*, vol. 7 (2016), p. 238–265.
- Krzan, B., « “Fiat Iustitia ...”: Professor Krzysztof Skubiszewski and His Vision of the Relations between the International Court of Justice and the Security Council », *International Community Law Review*, vol. 18 (2016), p. 129–150.
- Lima, L. C., « Expert Advisor or Non-Voting Adjudicator? The Potential Function of Assessors in the Procedure of the International Court of Justice », *Rivista di diritto internazionale*, vol. 99 (2016), p. 1123–1146.
- Malintoppi, L., « Fact Finding and Evidence before the International Court of Justice (Notably in Scientific-Related Disputes) », *Journal of International Dispute Settlement*, vol. 7 (2016), p. 421–444.
- Mayr, T. F., et Mayr-Singer, J., « Keep the Wheels Spinning: The Contributions of Advisory Opinions of the International Court of Justice to the Development of International Law », *Zeitschrift für ausländisches öffentliches Recht und Völkerrecht*, vol. 76 (2016), p. 424–449.
- Mbengue, M. M., « Scientific Fact-Finding at the International Court of Justice: An Appraisal in the Aftermath of the Whaling Case », *Leiden Journal of International Law*, vol. 29 (2016), p. 529–550.
- Miron, A., « Working Methods of the Court », *Journal of International Dispute Settlement*, vol. 7 (2016), p. 371–394.
- Rosenne, S., et Shaw, M. N. (dir.), *Rosenne's Law and Practice of the International Court, 1920–2015* (5<sup>e</sup> éd.) (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 1 976 pages.
- Thirlway, H., « The International Court of Justice: Cruising Ahead at 70 », *Leiden Journal of International Law*, vol. 29 (2016), p. 1103–1119.
- Weisburd, A. M., *Failings of the International Court of Justice* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 432 pages.
- Yee, S., « Article 38 of the ICJ Statute and Applicable Law: Selected Issues in Recent Cases », *Journal of International Dispute Settlement*, vol. 7 (2016), p. 472–498.

### Secrétariat

- Bulla, M., « The Law of International Civil Service: Time for Harmonisation? », *Czech Yearbook of International Law*, vol. 7 (2016), p. 91–106.

### Conseil de sécurité

- Arcari, M., « A Vetoed International Criminal Justice? Cursory Remarks on the Current Relationship between the UN Security Council and International Criminal Courts and Tribunals », *Diritti umani e diritto internazionale*, vol. 10 (2016), p. 363–374.
- Barbé Izuel, E., « Contestación normativa y Consejo de Seguridad: la agenda de mujeres, paz y seguridad o de la resolución 1325 a la resolución 2242 », *Revista española de derecho internacional*, vol. 68 (2016), p. 103–131.

- Barrow, A., « Operationalizing Security Council Resolution 1325: The Role of National Action Plans », *Journal of Conflict and Security Law*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 247–275.
- Blix, H., « UN Security Council v. Weapons of Mass Destruction », *Nordic Journal of International Law*, vol. 85 (2016), p. 147–161.
- Boon, K. E., « U.N. Sanctions as Regulation », *Chinese Journal of International Law*, vol. 53 (2016), p. 543–577.
- Domesticci-Met, M., « Le Conseil de sécurité et la protection des civils en Syrie : le rôle pivot de l'action humanitaire », in Doumbé-Billé, S., et Thouvenin, J. (dir.), *Ombres et lumières du droit international : Mélanges en l'honneur du Professeur Habib Slim* (Paris, Pedone, 2016), p. 253–272.
- Garvey, J. I., « Targeted Sanctions: Resolving the International Due Process Dilemma », *Texas International Law Journal*, vol. 50 (2016), p. 551–601.
- Grangé, M., « Le Conseil de sécurité des Nations Unies, acteur du développement du droit international humanitaire et des droits de l'homme ? », in Novosseloff, A. (dir.), *Le Conseil de sécurité des Nations Unies* (Paris, CNRS éditions, 2016), p. 225–257.
- Habib, B., « The Enforcement Problem in Resolution 2094 and the United Nations Security Council Sanctions Regime: Sanctioning North Korea », *Australian Journal of International Affairs*, vol. 70 (2016), p. 50–68.
- Hehir, A., et Lang Jr., A. F., « The Impact of the Security Council on the Efficacy of the International Criminal Court and the Responsibility to Protect », in Heins, V. M., Koddenbrock, K., et Unrau, C. (dir.), *Humanitarianism and Challenges of Cooperation* (New York, Routledge, 2016), p. 199.
- Hovell, D., « Kadi: King-Slayer or King-Maker? The Shifting Allocation of Decision-Making Power between the UN Security Council and Courts », *Modern Law Review*, vol. 79 (2016), p. 147–166.
- \_\_\_\_\_. *The Power of Process: The Value of Due Process in Security Council Sanctions Decision-Making* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 193 pages.
- Johnstone, I., « The Security Council and International Law », in von Einsiedel, S., Malone, S. M., et Ugarte, B. S. (dir.), *The UN Security Council in the Twenty-First Century* (Boulder, Lynne Rienner Publishers, 2016), p. 771–791.
- Klabbers, J., « The Power of Process: The Value of due Process in Security Council Sanctions Decision-Making », par Devika Hovell, *International Organizations Law Review*, vol. 13 (2016), p. 385–390.
- Lee, K., « The United Nations Security Council and Internal Armed Conflict », in Caracciolo, I., et Montuoro, U. (dir.), *Conflitti armati interni e regionalizzazione delle guerre civili* (Torino, G. Giappichelli Editore, 2016), p. 67–83.
- Nadin, P., *UN Security Council Reform* (Londres et New York, Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group, 2016), 154 pages.
- Roele, I., « Sidelining Subsidiarity: United Nations Security Council “Legislation” and its Infra-Law », *Law and Contemporary Problems*, vol. 79 (2016), p. 189–214.
- Scheffer, D., « The United Nations Security Council and International Criminal Justice », in Schabas, W. A. (dir.), *The Cambridge Companion to International Criminal Law* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2016), p. 178–195.

- Shesterinina, A., et Job, B. L., « Particularized Protection: UNSC Mandates and the Protection of Civilians in Armed Conflict », *International Peacekeeping*, vol. 23 (2016), p. 240–273.
- Stern, J., « The U.N. Security Council’s Arria-Formula Meeting on Vulnerable Groups in Conflict: ISIL’s Targeting of LGBTI Individuals », *New York University Journal of International Law and Politics*, vol. 48 (2016), p. 1191–1198.
- Urs, P., « The Role of the Security Council in the Use of Force Against the “Islamic State” », *Max Planck Yearbook of United Nations Law*, vol. 19 (2016), p. 65–99.
- Vanyó Vicedo, R., et Ramón Chornet, C., *El horizonte 1325 en derecho internacional: cartografía del posconflicto con perspectiva de género* (Navarra, Thomson Reuters Aranzadi, 2016), 403 pages.

## C. ORGANISATIONS INTERGOUVERNEMENTALES RELIÉES À L’ORGANISATION DES NATIONS UNIES

### 1. Centre international pour le règlement des différends relatifs aux investissements

- Crawford, J., et Mertenskötter, P., « The use of the ILC’s Attribution Rules in Investment Arbitration », in Kinnear, M., *et al.* (dir.), *Building International Investment Law: The First 50 Years of ICSID* (Alphen-sur-le-Rhin, Kluwer Law International, 2016), p. 27–42.
- Dimitropoulos, G., « Constructing the Independence of International Investment Arbitrators: Past, Present and Future », *Northwestern Journal of International Law and Business*, vol. 36 (2016), p. 371–434.
- Kinnear, M. N., *et al.*, *Building International Investment Law: The First 50 Years of ICSID* (Alphen-sur-le-Rhin, Pays-Bas, Kluwer Law International, 2016), 723 pages.
- Parlett, K., « Claims under Customary International Law in ICSID Arbitration », *ICSID Review*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 434–456.
- Schreuer, C., « The Development of International Law by ICSID Tribunals », *ICSID Review*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 728–739.

### 2. Organisation de l’aviation civile internationale

- Abeyratne, R., « Aviation Cyber Security: A Constructive Look at the Work of ICAO », *Air & Space Law*, vol. 41 (2016), p. 25–39.

### 3. Organisation internationale du Travail

- Andrees, B., « Defending Rights, Securing Justice: The International Labour Organization’s Work on Forced Labour », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 343–362.

#### 4. Organisation maritime internationale

Jessen, H., et Zhu L., « From a Voluntary Self-Assessment to a Mandatory Audit Scheme: Monitoring the Implementation of IMO Instruments », *Lloyd's Maritime and Commercial Law Quarterly* (2016), p. 389–411.

#### 5. Fonds monétaire international

Clegg, L., « Contesting Sovereignty: Informal Governance and the Battle over Military Expenditure at the IMF », *Global Governance: A Review of Multilateralism and International Organizations*, vol. 22 (2016), p. 117–134.

Koivisto, I., « The IMF and the “Transparency Turn” », *Minnesota Journal of International Law*, vol. 25 (2016), p. 381–420.

#### 6. Union internationale des télécommunications

Gerson, J., « A Grand Bargain among the International Telecommunication Union's Skeptics and Proponents: Building a Third Way toward Internet Freedom », *Georgetown Journal of International Law*, vol. 47 (2016), p. 1459–1496.

#### 7. Organisation des Nations Unies pour l'éducation, la science et la culture

Baker, L., « Controlling the Market: An Analysis of the 1970 UNESCO Rule on Acquisition and the Market for Unprovenanced Antiquities », *Stanford Journal of International Law*, vol. 52 (2016), p. 321–340.

#### 8. Groupe de la Banque mondiale

Bugalski, N., « The Demise of Accountability at the World Bank? », *American University International Law Review*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 1–56.

Søreide, T., Gröning, L., et Wandall, R., « An Efficient Anticorruption Sanctions Regime? The Case of the World Bank », *Chicago Journal of International Law*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 523–553.

#### 9. Organisation mondiale de la Santé

Meisterhans, N., « The World Health Organization in Crisis — Lessons to be Learned Beyond the Ebola Outbreak », *The Chinese Journal of Global Governance*, vol. 2 (2016), p. 1–29.

Nicholson, T., *et al.*, « Double Standards in Global Health: Medicine, Human Rights Law, and Multidrug-Resistant TB Treatment Policy », *Health and Human Rights Journal*, vol. 18 (2016), p. 85–102.

Villarreal, P. A., « Pandemic Declarations of the World Health Organization as an Exercise of International Public Authority: The Possible Legal Answers to Frictions between Legitimacies », *Goettingen Journal of International Law*, vol. 7 (2016), p. 95–129.

## 10. Organisation mondiale du commerce

- Brolin, M. J., « Procedural Agreements in WTO Disputes: Addressing the Sequencing Problem », *Nordic Journal of International Law*, vol. 85 (2016), p. 65–88.
- Hoekman, B. M., « The World Trade Order: Global Governance by Judiciary? », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 1083–1093.
- Howse, R., « The World Trade Organization 20 Years on: Global Governance by Judiciary », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 9–77.
- . « The WTO 20 Years on: A Reply to the Responses », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 1127–1129.
- Lang, A., « The Judicial Sensibility of the WTO Appellate Body », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 1095–1105.
- Mavroidis, P. C., « The Gang that Couldn't Shoot Straight: The Not So Magnificent Seven of the WTO Appellate Body », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 1107–1118.
- Messenger, G., *The Development of World Trade Organization Law: Examining Change in International Law* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 240 pages.
- Nguyen, N. H., « La démocratisation de la procédure de règlement des différends de l'OMC : une vraie ouverture pour les acteurs privés ? », *Revue internationale de droit économique*, vol. 30 (2016), p. 339–362.
- Pauwelyn, J., « The WTO 20 Years on: “Global Governance by Judiciary” or, Rather, Member-driven Settlement of (Some) Trade Disputes between (Some) WTO Members? », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 1119–1126.
- Ruiz Fabri, H., « The WTO Appellate Body or Judicial Power Unleashed: Sketches from the Procedural Side of the Story », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 1075–1081.
- Sacerdoti, G., « La contribution de l'Organe d'appel de l'OMC à la construction du droit international économique : système commercial multilatéral, accords régionaux, droit de l'investissement », *Revue générale de droit international public*, vol. 120 (2016), p. 721–744.

### D. AUTRES QUESTIONS JURIDIQUES

#### 1. Agression

- Kestenbaum, J. G., « Closing Impunity Gaps for the Crime of Aggression », *Chicago Journal of International Law*, vol. 17 (2016), p. 51–79.
- McGinness, E., « Consequences of Kampala: Assessing the Impact of an International Criminal Court Finding of Aggression », *Australian International Law Journal*, vol. 22 (2015–2016), p. 113–133.
- Pezzano, L., « El umbral de gravedad en el crimen de agresión: ¿una nueva categoría en los usos ilícitos de la fuerza? », *Anuario iberoamericano de derecho internacional penal*, vol. 4 (2016), p. 86–104.
- Ruys, T., « The Impact of the Kampala Definition of Aggression on the Law on the Use of Force », *Journal on the Use of Force and International Law*, vol. 3 (2016), p. 187–193.

- Van Landingham, R. E., « Criminally Disproportionate Warfare: Aggression as a Contextual War Crime », *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, vol. 48 (2016), p. 215–271.
- Veroff, J., « Reconciling the Crime of Aggression and Complementarity: Unaddressed Tensions and a Way Forward », *Yale Law Journal*, vol. 125 (2016), p. 730–772.
- Wong, M. S., « Ratifying the Amendments to the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court on the Crime of Aggression », *Max Planck Yearbook of United Nations Law*, vol. 19 (2016), p. 176–215.
- Zimmermann, A., « Finally ... Or would rather Less have been More? The Recent Amendment on the Deletion of Article 124 of the Rome Statute and the Continued Quest for the Universality of the International Criminal Court », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 433 (2016), p. 505–517.

## 2. Droit aérien

- Ahmad, T., *Climate Change Governance in International Civil Aviation: Toward Regulating Emissions Relevant to Climate Change and Global Warming* (La Haye, Pays-Bas, Eleven International Publishing, 2016), 365 pages.
- Li, Y., « Standards to Identify and Decide the Legality of State Aviation Behaviors Performed in the South China Sea Region », *China Oceans Law Review* (2016), p. 183–225.

## 3. Sécurité collective

- Biersteker, T. J., Eckert, S. E., et Tourinho, M. (dir.), *Targeted Sanctions: The Impacts and Effectiveness of United Nations Action* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2016), 422 pages.
- Couston, M., *Droit de la sécurité internationale* (Bruxelles, Éditions Larcier, 2016), 345 pages.
- Footer, M. E., et al. (dir.), *Security and International Law* (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 2016), 398 pages.

## 4. Arbitrage commercial

- Ballan, S. J., « Investment Treaty Arbitration and Institutional Backgrounds: An Empirical Study », *Wisconsin International Law Journal*, vol. 34 (2016), p. 31–91.
- Brekoulakis, S., Lew, J. D. M., et Mistelis, L. (dir.), *The Evolution and Future of International Arbitration* (Alphen-sur-le-Rhin, Wolters Kluwer, 2016), 510 pages.
- Calliess, G., et Buchmann, I., « Global Commercial Law between Unity, Pluralism, and Competition: The Case of the CISG », *Uniform Law Review*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 1–22.
- Carbone, S. M., « Rule of Law and Non-State Actors in the International Community: Are Uniform Law Conventions Still a Useful Tool in International Commercial Law? », *Uniform Law Review*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 177–183.
- Dumberry, P., « State of Confusion: The Doctrine of “Clean Hands” in Investment Arbitration After the Yukos Award », *Journal of World Investment and Trade*, vol. 17 (2016), p. 229–259.

- Feldman, M., « State-Owned Enterprises as Claimants in International Investment Arbitration », *ICSID Review*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 24–35.
- Fry, J. D., « Towards a New World for Investor-State Arbitration through Transparency », *New York University Journal of International Law and Politics*, vol. 48 (2016), p. 795–865.
- Gallus, N., « Article 28 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties and Investment Treaty Decisions », *ICSID Review*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 290–313.
- González Napolitano, S. S., « Medidas provisionales en la solución de controversias de inversión », *ICSID Review: Foreign Investment Law Journal*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 508–533.
- Happ, R., et Wuschka, S., « *Horror Vacui*: Or Why Investment Treaties Should Apply to Illegally Annexed Territories », *Journal of International Arbitration*, vol. 33 (2016), p. 245–268.
- Kulick, A., « Investment Arbitration, Investment Treaty Interpretation, and Democracy », *Cambridge Journal of International and Comparative Law*, vol. 4 (2016), p. 441–460.
- Mayer, P., « L'autorité de chose jugée des sentences entre les parties », *Revue de l'arbitrage*, vol. 1 (2016), p. 91–105.
- Mbengue, M. M., « The Settlement of Trade Disputes: Is there a Monopoly for the WTO? », *The Law & Practice of International Courts and Tribunals*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 207–248.
- Poulsen, L. N. S., « States as Foreign Investors: Diplomatic Disputes and Legal Fictions », *ICSID Review*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 12–23.
- Trakman, L. E., et Musayelyan, D., « The Repudiation of Investor–State Arbitration and Subsequent Treaty Practice: The Resurgence of Qualified Investor–State Arbitration », *ICSID Review*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 194–218.

## 5. Protection diplomatique

- Mullally, S., et Murphy, C., « Double Jeopardy: Domestic Workers in Diplomatic Households and Jurisdictional Immunities », *American Journal of Comparative Law*, vol. 64 (2016), p. 677–719.
- Russo, D., « The Injured Individual's Right to Compensation in the Law on Diplomatic Protection », *Rivista di diritto internazionale*, vol. 99 (2016), p. 725–748.

## 6. Relations diplomatiques

- Jeangène Vilmer, J., « La compétence universelle à l'épreuve des crises diplomatiques », *Revue de science criminelle et de droit pénal comparé* (2016), p. 701–724.

## 7. Désarmement

- Asada, M., « A Path to a Comprehensive Prohibition of the Use of Chemical Weapons under International Law: From The Hague to Damascus », *Journal of Conflict and Security Law*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 153–207.



- Balga, J., « The New International Law of Arms Trade: A Critical Analysis of the Arms Trade Treaty from the Human Rights Perspective », *Indonesian Journal of International & Comparative Law*, vol. 3 (2016), p. 583–650.
- Casey-Maslen, S., *et al.* (dir.), *The Arms Trade Treaty: A Commentary* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 502 pages.
- Dupont, P., « Interpretation of Nuclear Safeguards Commitments: The Role of Subsequent Agreements and Practice », in Black-Branch, J. L., et Fleck, D. (dir.), *Nuclear Non-Proliferation in International Law* (La Haye et Berlin, Asser Press; Springer, 2016), p. 23–56.
- Joyner, D., *Iran's Nuclear Program and International Law: From Confrontation to Accord* (Oxford, Oxford University Press 2016), 280 pages.
- Koplow, D. A., « You're Gonna Need a Bigger Boat: Alternatives to the UN Security Council for Enforcing Nuclear Disarmament and Human Rights », *Harvard Human Rights Journal*, vol. 29 (2016), p. 135–201.
- Pedrazzi, M., « The Role of the Security Council in the Framework of International Efforts to Fight Proliferation by Non-State Actors », in Caracciolo, I., et Pedrazzi, M. (dir.), *Nuclear Weapons: Strengthening the International Legal Regime* (La Haye, Eleven International Publishing, 2016), p. 179–190.
- Roos, M., « Nuclear Non-Proliferation and Disarmament », *African Yearbook on International Humanitarian Law*, vol. 2016 (2016), p. 151–162.
- Tuzmukhamedov, B., « Legal Dimensions of Arms Control Agreements: An Introductory Overview », *Collected Courses of The Hague Academy of International Law*, vol. 377 (2016), p. 319–468.

## 8. Questions relatives à l'environnement

- Aakre, S., « The Political Feasibility of Potent Enforcement in a Post-Kyoto Climate Agreement », *International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, vol. (2016), p. 145–159.
- Atapattu, S. A., *Human Rights Approaches to Climate Change: Challenges and Opportunities* (New York, Routledge, 2016), 324 pages.
- Bakker, C., « The Paris Agreement on Climate Change: Balancing “Legal Force” and “Geographical Scope” », *The Italian Yearbook of International Law*, vol. 25 (2016), p. 299–309.
- Bodansky, D., « The Legal Character of the Paris Agreement », *Review of European, Comparative and International Environmental Law*, vol. 25 (2016), p. 142–150.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « The Paris Climate Change Agreement: A New Hope? », *American Journal of International Law*, vol. 110 (2016), p. 288–319.
- Boulet, R., Barros-Platiau, A. F., et Mazzega, P., « 35 Years of Multilateral Environmental Agreements Ratifications: A Network Analysis », *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, vol. 24 (2016), p. 133–148.
- Bounfour, T., « International Water Law and the Sustainable Development Goals », *Environmental Policy and Law*, vol. 46 (2016), p. 380–385.
- Burkett, M. A., « Reading between the Red Lines: Loss and Damage and the Paris Outcome », *Climate Law*, vol. 6 (2016), p. 118–129.

- Burns, W., « Loss and Damage and the 21st Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change », *ILSA Journal of International and Comparative Law*, vol. 22 (2016), p. 415–433.
- Carlarne, C. P., Gray, K. R., et Tarasofsky, R. (dir.), *The Oxford Handbook of International Climate Change Law* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 800 pages.
- Carlarne, C. P., « International Treaty Fragmentation and Climate Change », in Faure, M. (dir.), *Elgar Encyclopedia of Environmental Law* (Cheltenham et Northampton (MA), Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016), p. 261–272.
- Christiansen, S. M., *Climate Conflicts — A Case of International Environmental and Humanitarian Law* (Springer, 2016), 245 pages.
- Cooreman, B., « Addressing Environmental Concerns through Trade: A Case for Extraterritoriality? », *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, vol. 65 (2016), p. 229–248.
- Corendea, C., « Hybrid Legal Approaches towards Climate Change: Concepts », Mechanisms and Implementation, *Annual Survey of International and Comparative Law*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 29–42.
- Delimatsis, P. (dir.), *Research Handbook on Climate Change and Trade Law* (Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016), 560 pages.
- Díaz Barrado, C. M., « Los objetivos de desarrollo sostenible: un principio de naturaleza incierta y varias dimensiones fragmentadas = Sustainable Development Goals: An Unpredictable and Fragmented Principle », *Anuario español de derecho internacional*, vol. 32 (2016), p. 9–48.
- Dimitrov, R. S., « The Paris Agreement on Climate Change: Behind Closed Doors », *Global Environmental Politics*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 1–11.
- Doelle, M., « The Paris Agreement: Historic Breakthrough or High Stakes Experiment? », *Climate Law*, vol. 6 (2016), p. 1–20.
- Fernández Liesa, C. R., « Transformaciones del Derecho internacional por los objetivos de desarrollo sostenible = Sustainable Development Goals and Changes of International Law », *Anuario español de derecho internacional*, vol. 32 (2016), p. 49–81.
- Fisher, D. (dir.), *Research Handbook on Fundamental Concepts of Environmental Law* (Cheltenham et Northampton (MA), Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016), 560 pages.
- Ford, J., et al., « Adaptation and Indigenous Peoples in the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change », *Climatic Change*, vol. 139 (2016), p. 429–443.
- Gampfer, R., « Minilateralism or the UNFCCC? the Political Feasibility of Climate Clubs », *Global Environmental Politics*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 62–88.
- Hadjiargyrou, Z., « A Conceptual and Practical Evaluation of Intergenerational Equity in International Environmental Law », *International Community Law Review*, vol. 18 (2016), p. 248–277.
- Harpet, C., Billet, P., et Pierron, J., *Justice et injustices environnementales* (Paris, L'Harmattan, 2016), 228 pages.
- Johnston, R., « Lacking Rights and Justice in a Burning World: The Case for Granting Standing to Future Generations in Climate Change Litigation », *Tilburg Law Review*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 31–51.
- Kass, S. L., « Climate Adaptation After the Paris Agreement », *New York Law Journal* (January 2016).

- Kim, R. E., « The Nexus between International Law and the Sustainable Development Goals », *Review of European, Comparative & International Environmental Law*, vol. 25 (2016), p. 15–26.
- Koester, V., « The Convention on Biological Diversity and the Concept of Sustainable Development: The Extent and Manner of the Convention's Application of Components of the Concept », in Bowman, M., Davies, P., et Goodwin, E. (dir.), *Research Handbook on Biodiversity and Law* (Cheltenham et Northampton (MA), Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016), p. 273–296.
- Kugler, N. R., et Moraga Sariago, P., « “Climate Change Damages”, Conceptualization of a Legal Notion with Regard to Reparation under International Law », *Climate Risk Management*, vol. 13 (2016), p. 103–111.
- Kuokkanen, T., et al. (dir.), *International Environmental Law-Making and Diplomacy: Insights and Overviews* (New York, Routledge, 2016), 251 pages.
- de Lassus Saint-Geniès, G., « À la recherche d'un droit transnational des changements climatiques », *Revue juridique de l'environnement*, vol. 41 (2016), p. 80–98.
- Lavallée, S., et Maljean-Dubois, S., « L'Accord de Paris : fin de la crise du multilatéralisme climatique ou évolution en clair-obscur ? », *Revue juridique de l'environnement*, vol. 41 (2016), p. 19–36.
- Lemoine-Schonne, M., « La flexibilité de l'Accord de Paris sur les changements climatiques », *Revue juridique de l'environnement*, vol. 41 (2016), p. 37–55.
- Lode, B., Schönberger, P., et Toussaint, P., « Clean Air for all by 2030? Air Quality in 2030 Agenda and in International Law », *Review of European, Comparative and International Environmental Law*, vol. 25 (2016), p. 27–38.
- Lumumba Nyaberi, J. P., « Historical Analysis: Does International Environmental Law Provide Effective Environmental Protection? », *Environmental Policy and Law*, vol. 46 (2016), p. 408–419.
- Mace, M. J., « Mitigation Commitments under the Paris Agreement and the Way Forward », *Climate Law*, vol. 6 (2016), p. 21–39.
- Maljean-Dubois, S., « The Paris Agreement: A New Step in the Gradual Evolution of Differential Treatment in the Climate Regime », *Review of European, Comparative and International Environmental Law*, vol. 25 (2016), p. 151–160.
- Mascher, S., « Climate Change Justice and Corporate Responsibility: Commentary on the International Bar Association Recommendations », *Journal of Energy & Natural Resources Law*, vol. 34 (2016), p. 57–69.
- Mayer, B., *The Concept of Climate Migration: Advocacy and its Prospects* (Cheltenham et Northampton (MA), Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016), 374 pages.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « Human Rights in the Paris Agreement », *Climate Law*, vol. 6 (2016), p. 109–117.
- McGee, J., et Steffek, J., « The Copenhagen Turn in Global Climate Governance and the Contentious History of Differentiation in International Law », *Journal of Environmental Law*, vol. 28 (2016), p. 37–63.
- Nasiritousi, N., Hjerpe, M., et Linnér, B., « The Roles of Non-State Actors in Climate Change Governance: Understanding Agency through Governance Profiles », *International Environmental Agreements*, vol. 161 (2016), p. 109–126.

- Nasiritousi, N., et Linnér, B., « Open or Closed Meetings? Explaining Nonstate Actor Involvement in the International Climate Change Negotiations », *International Environmental Agreements*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 127–144.
- Oberthür, S., et Bodle, R., « Legal Form and Nature of the Paris Outcome », *Climate Law*, vol. 6 (2016), p. 40–57.
- Ohdedar, B., « Loss and Damage from the Impacts of Climate Change: A Framework for Implementation », *Nordic Journal of International Law*, vol. 85 (2016), p. 1–36.
- Park, D. (dir.), *Legal Issues on Climate Change and International Trade Law* (Cham, Springer, 2016), 218 pages.
- Paddock, L., Glicksman, R. L., et Bryner N. (dir.), *Decision Making in Environmental Law* (Cheltenham et Northampton (MA), Edward Elgar, 2016), 512 pages.
- Pathak, P., « International Environmental Crime: A Growing Concern of International Environmental Governance », *US-China Law Review*, vol. 13 (2016), p. 382–398.
- Quirk, G., et Hanich, Q., « Ocean Diplomacy: The Pacific Island Countries' Campaign to the UN for an Ocean Sustainable Development Goal », *Asia-Pacific Journal of Ocean Law and Policy*, vol. 1 (2016), p. 68–95.
- Rajamani, L., « The 2015 Paris Agreement: Interplay between Hard, Soft and Non-Obligations », *Journal of Environmental Law*, vol. 28 (2016), p. 337–358.
- . « Ambition and Differentiation in the 2015 Paris Agreement: Interpretative Possibilities and Underlying Politics », *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, vol. 65 (2016), p. 493–514.
- Sánchez Castillo-Winckels, N., « Why “Common Concern of Human Kind” should return to the Work of the International Law Commission on the Atmosphere », *The Georgetown Environmental Law Review*, vol. 29 (2016), p. 131–151.
- Sands, P., « Climate Change and the Rule of Law: Adjudicating the Future in International Law », *Journal of Environmental Law*, vol. 28 (2016), p. 19–35.
- Savaresi, A., « The Paris Agreement: A New Beginning? », *Journal of Energy & Natural Resources Law*, vol. 34 (2016), p. 16–26.
- Sinkondo, M., « Daech est-il un État ? Retour critique sur la théorie néopositiviste des éléments constitutifs de l'État à l'épreuve de l'actualité internationale », *Revue de droit international et de droit comparé*, vol. 93 (2016), p. 239–258.
- Sjöstedt, B., « The Reconciliatory Approach: How Multilateral Environmental Agreements can Harmonise International Legal Obligations », in Jakubowski, A., et Wierczyńska, K. (dir.), *Fragmentation v the Constitutionalisation of International Law: A Practical Inquiry* (Londres et New York, Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group, 2016), p. 265–287.
- Soininen, N., « The Structure, Form and Language of International Environmental Norms: From Absolute to Relative Normativity », in Fisher, D. (dir.), *Research Handbook on Fundamental Concepts of Environmental Law* (Cheltenham et Northampton (MA), Edward Elgar, 2016), p. 248–275.
- Spijkers, O., « The Cross-Fertilization between the Sustainable Development Goals and International Water Law », *Review of European, Comparative and International Environmental Law*, vol. 25 (2016), p. 39–49.
- Springer, A. L., *Cases of Conflict: Transboundary Disputes and the Development of International Environmental Law* (Toronto, University of Toronto Press, 2016), 260 pages.

- Staal, T., « Exercising or Evading International Public Authority? The Many Faces of Environmental Post-Treaty Rules », *Goettingen Journal of International Law*, vol. 7 (2016), p. 9–48.
- Stephens, T., « The Development of International Environmental Law by the International Court of Justice », in Fisher, D. (dir.), *Research Handbook on Fundamental Concepts of Environmental Law* (Cheltenham et Northampton (MA), Edward Elgar, 2016), p. 221–247.
- Streck, C., Keenlyside, P., et von Unger, M., « The Paris Agreement: A New Beginning », *Journal for European Environmental and Planning Law*, vol. 13 (2016), p. 3–29.
- Sweet, W., *Climate Diplomacy from Rio to Paris: The Effort to Contain Global Warming* (New Haven et Londres, Yale University Press, 2016), 256 pages.
- Tabau, A., « Évaluation de l'Accord de Paris sur le climat à l'aune d'une norme globale de transparence », *Revue juridique de l'environnement*, vol. 41 (2016), p. 56–70.
- Tanaka, Y., « Four Models on Interaction between Global and Regional Legal Frameworks on Environmental Protection against Marine Pollution: The Case of the Marine Arctic », *Ocean Yearbook*, vol. 30 (2016), p. 345–376.
- Tarlock, A. D., « Toward a More Robust International Water Law of Cooperation to Address Droughts and Ecosystem Conservation », *Georgetown International Environmental Law Review*, vol. 28 (2016), p. 261–290.
- Telesetsky, A., « Overlapping International Disaster Law Approaches with International Environmental Law Regimes to Address Latent Ecological Disaster », *Stanford Journal of International Law*, vol. 52 (2016), p. 179–209.
- van Aaken, A., « Is International Law Conducive to Preventing Looming Disasters? », *Global Policy*, vol. 7, Special Issue 1 (2016), p. 81–96.
- van Asselt, H., « The Role of Non-State Actors in Reviewing Ambition, Implementation, and Compliance Under the Paris Agreement », *Climate Law*, vol. 6 (2016), p. 91–108.
- Voigt, C. (dir.), *Research Handbook on REDD-Plus and International Law* (Cheltenham, Edward Elgar, 2016), 512 pages.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « The Compliance and Implementation Mechanism of the Paris Agreement », *Review of European, Comparative and International Environmental Law*, vol. 25 (2016), p. 161–173.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « The Paris Agreement: What is the Standard of Conduct for Parties? », *Questions of International Law, Zoom Out*, (2016), p. 17–28.
- Voigt, C. et Ferreira, F., « Differentiation in the Paris Agreement », *Climate Law*, vol. 6 (2016), p. 58–74.
- Wewerinke-Singh, M., et Doebbler, C., « The Paris Agreement: Some Critical Reflections on Process and Substance », *The University of New South Wales Law Journal*, vol. 39 (2016), p. 1486–1517.
- Woong, K. S., « How did They Become Law?: A Jurisprudential Inquiry about the Outcome Principles of Historic United Nations Environmental Conferences », *Georgia Journal of International and Comparative Law*, vol. 45 (2016), p. 53–97.
- Zahar, A., « The Paris Agreement and the Gradual Development of a Law on Climate Finance », *Climate Law*, vol. 6 (2016), p. 75–90.

## 9. Relations d'amitié et de coopération entre les États

Sluiter, G., « State Cooperation in the Enforcement of Sentences », in Mulgrew, R., et Abels, D. (dir.), *Research Handbook on the International Penal System* (Northampton (MA), Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016), p. 229–249.

## 10. Droits humains

Abebe, D., « Does International Human Rights Law in African Courts make a Difference? », *Virginia Journal of International Law*, vol. 56 (2016), p. 527–584.

Åhrén, M., *Indigenous Peoples' Status in the International Legal System* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 288 pages.

Andela, J. J., « Peut-on parler aujourd'hui de l'émergence d'un droit international des jeunes ? », *Revue belge de droit international*, vol. 49 (2016), p. 354–376.

Arts, K., et Tamo, A., « Revitalizing the Right to Development in International Law », in Arts, C. J. M., Tamo, A., et De Feyter, K. (dir.), *UN Declaration on the Rights to Development 1986–2016: Ways to Promote Further Progress in Practice* (La Haye, Asser Press, 2016), p. 1–32.

Augenstein, D., « To Whom it may Concern: International Human Rights Law and Global Public Goods », *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies*, vol. 23 (2016), p. 225–248.

Barelli, M., *Seeking Justice in International Law: The Significance and Implications of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples* (New York, Routledge, 2016), 193 pages.

Bhuta, N. (dir.), *The Frontiers of Human Rights* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 233 pages.

Bielefeldt, H., Ghanea, N., et Wiener, M. (dir.), *Freedom of Religion Or Belief: An International Law Commentary* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 576 pages.

Bonet Pérez, J., et Alija Fernández, R. A. (dir.), *La exigibilidad de los derechos económicos, sociales y culturales en la sociedad internacional del siglo XXI: una aproximación jurídica desde el Derecho internacional* (Madrid, Barcelone, Buenos Aires et São Paulo, Marcial Pons, 2016), 431 pages.

Bossuyt, M., « Categorical Rights and Vulnerable Groups: Moving Away from the Universal Human Being », *The George Washington International Law Review*, vol. 48 (2016), p. 717–742.

Buckley, C. M., Donald, A., et Leach, P. (dir.), *Towards Convergence in International Human Rights Law: Approaches of Regional and International Systems* (Brill Nijhoff 2016), 645 pages.

Buyse, A., « Echoes of Strasbourg in Geneva: The Influence of ECHR Anti-Torture Jurisprudence on the United Nations Human Rights Committee », *Japanese Yearbook of International Law*, vol. 59 (2016), p. 81–98.

Byrnes, A., « Whose International Law is it? Some Reflections on the Contributions of Non-State Actors to the Development and Implementation of International Human Rights Law », *Japanese Yearbook of International Law*, vol. 59 (2016), p. 14–50.

- Campbell, M., « Women's Rights and the Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination against Women: Unlocking the Potential of the Optional Protocol », *Nordic Journal of Human Rights*, vol. 34 (2016), p. 247–271.
- Campbell, M., et Swenson, G., « Legal Pluralism and Women's Rights after Conflict: The Role of CEDAW », *Columbia Human Rights Law Review*, vol. 48 (2016), p. 112–146.
- Cançado Trindade, A. A., « Le droit international contemporain et la personne humaine », *Revue générale de droit international public*, vol. 120 (2016), p. 497–514.
- Chané, A., et Sharma, A., « Universal Human Rights? Exploring Contestation and Consensus in the UN Human Rights Council », *Human Rights & International Legal Discourse*, vol. 10 (2016), p. 219–247.
- Chechi, A., « Migrants' Cultural Rights at the Confluence of International Human Rights Law and International Cultural Heritage Law », *International Human Rights Law Review*, vol. 5 (2016), p. 26–59.
- Chilton, A. S., et Posner, E. A., « The Influence of History on States' Compliance with Human Rights Obligations », *Virginia Journal of International Law*, vol. 56 (2016), p. 211–263.
- Chow, P. Y. S., « Has Intersectionality Reached its Limits? Intersectionality in the UN Human Rights Treaty Body Practice and the Issue of Ambivalence », *Human Rights Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 453–481.
- Criddle, E. J., *Human Rights in Emergencies* (New York, Cambridge University Press, 2016), 287 pages.
- Cvejić-Jančić, O. (dir.), *The Rights of the Child in a Changing World 25 Years after the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child* (Cham, Springer, 2016), 410 pages.
- Davis, J., « Equality of Arms: Complying with International Human Rights Law in Cases against Alleged Terrorists », *Journal of Conflict and Security Law*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 69–89.
- Decaux, E., « La perspective internationale au regard des organes de traités sur les droits de l'homme des Nations Unies », in Andriantsimbazovina, J., Burgorgue-Larsen, L., et Touzé, S. (dir.), *La protection des droits de l'homme par les cours supranationales : colloque des 8 et 9 octobre 2015* (Paris, Éditions A. Pedone, 2016), p. 215–230.
- Dolinger, J., « The Failure of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights », *University of Miami Inter-American Law Review*, vol. 47 (2016), p. 164–199.
- Elver, H., « The Challenges and Developments of the Right to Food in the 21st Century: Reflections of the Untied Nations Special Rapporteur on the Right to Food », *UCLA Journal of International Law and Foreign Affairs*, vol. 20 (2016), p. 1–44.
- Engström, V., « Deference and the Human Rights Committee », *Nordic Journal of Human Rights*, vol. 34 (2016), p. 73–88.
- Estrada Tanck, D., *Human Security and Human Rights Under International Law: The Protections Offered to Persons Confronting Structural Vulnerability* (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 2016), 360 pages.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « Seguridad humana y derecho internacional público = Human Security and Public International Law », *Anuario español de derecho internacional*, vol. 32 (2016), p. 373–404.
- Fellmeth, A. X., *Paradigms of International Human Rights Law* (New York, Oxford University Press, 2016), 292 pages.

- Freedman, R., et Mchangama, J., « Expanding Or Diluting Human Rights?: The Proliferation of United Nations Special Procedures Mandates », *Human Rights Quarterly*, vol. 38 (2016), p. 164–193.
- Gallen, J., « Between Rhetoric and Reality: Ten Years of the United Nations Human Rights Council », *Irish Studies in International Affairs*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 1–19.
- Greenhill, B., *Transmitting Rights: International Organizations and the Diffusion of Human Rights Practices* (New York, Oxford University Press, 2016), 194 pages.
- Hae-Bong, S., « Toward a Holistic Understanding and Implementation of Human Rights: Development of Norms and Practice Under the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights », *Japanese Yearbook of International Law*, vol. 59 (2016), p. 51–80.
- Hamdan, E., *The Principle of Non-Refoulement under the ECHR and the UN Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment* (Leyde et Boston, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 404 pages.
- Hennebel, L., et Tigroudja, H., *Traité de droit international des droits de l'homme* (Paris, Éditions Pedone, 2016), 1 705 pages.
- Jakubowski, A. (dir.), *Cultural Rights as Collective Rights: An International Law Perspective* (Leyde et Boston, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 364 pages.
- Kroetz, F. S., « Post-Genocide Identity Politics in Rwanda and Bosnia and Herzegovina and their Compatibility with International Human Rights Law », *International Journal on Minority and Group Rights*, vol. 23 (2016), p. 328–354.
- Landolt, L. K., et Woo, B., « NGOs Invite Attention: From the United Nations Commission on Human Rights to the Human Rights Council », *Journal of Human Rights* (2016), p. 1–21.
- Lebreton, A., « Les défis de l'entrée en vigueur du Protocole facultatif se rapportant au Pacte international relatif aux droits économiques, sociaux et culturels », *Revue trimestrielle des droits de l'homme*, vol. 105 (2016), p. 161–181.
- Lee, T., « The Rights Granted to Indigenous Peoples Under International Law: An Effective Means for Redressing Historical Wrongs? », *International Community Law Review*, vol. 18 (2016), p. 53–71.
- de Lespinay, C., « Les concepts d'autochtone (indigenous) et de minorité (minority) », *Droit et cultures*, vol. 72 (2016), p. 19–42.
- Levin, A., « The Reporting Cycle to the United Nations Human Rights Treaty Bodies: Creating a Dialogue between the State and Civil Society — the Israeli Case Study », *George Washington International Law Review*, vol. 48 (2016), p. 315–376.
- Lhotský, J., « The UN Mechanisms for Human Rights Protection: Strengthening Treaty Bodies in Light of a Proposal to Create a World Court of Human Rights », *Journal of Eurasian Law*, vol. 9 (2016), p. 109–122.
- Liefgaard, T., et Sloth-Nielsen, J. (dir.), *The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child: Taking Stock after 25 Years and Looking Ahead* (Leyde et Boston, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 938 pages.
- Linos, K., et Pegram, T., « Architects of their Own Making: National Human Rights Institutions and the United Nations », *Human Rights Quarterly*, vol. 38 (2016), p. 1109–1134.



- López Martín, A. G., et Chinchón Álvarez, J. (dir.), *Nuevos retos y amenazas a la protección de los derechos humanos en la era de la globalización* (Valence, Tirant lo Blanch, 2016), 302 pages.
- Mazzuoli, V., et Riberio, D., « The *Pro Homine* Principle as an Enshrined Feature of International Human Rights Law », *Indonesian Journal of International & Comparative Law*, vol. 3 (2016), p. 77–99.
- McGoldrick, D., « A Defence of the Margin of Appreciation and an Argument for its Application by the Human Rights Committee », *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, vol. 65 (2016), p. 21–60.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « The Development and Status of Sexual Orientation Discrimination under International Human Rights Law », *Human Rights Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 613–668.
- McGrogan, D., « Human Rights Indicators and the Sovereignty of Technique », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 385–408.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « The Problem of Casuality in International Human Rights Law », *International & Comparative Law Quarterly*, vol. 65 (2016), p. 615–644.
- Meyer, E., « Designing Women: The Definition of “Woman” in the Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination against Women », *Chicago Journal of International Law*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 553–590.
- Mwenifumbo, A. W., et Furuya, H. F., « In the Pursuit of Justice for Women and Children and the Right to Development: A Review of Concluding Observations of the United Nations Human Rights Treaty Bodies », in Kury, H., Redo, S., et Shea, E. (dir.), *Women and Children as Victims and Offenders: Background, Prevention, Reintegration: Suggestions for Succeeding Generations* (Suisse, Springer International Publishing, 2016), p. 67–105.
- Najurieta, M. S., « L’adoption internationale des mineurs et les droits de l’enfant », *Recueil des cours*, vol. 376 (2016), p. 209–493.
- Ollé Sesé, M., « La protección de las víctimas en el derecho penal internacional », in López Martín, A. G., et Chinchón Álvarez, J. (dir.), *Nuevos retos y amenazas a la protección de los derechos humanos en la era de la globalización* (Valence, Tirant lo Blanch, 2016), p. 235–253.
- Ooms, M., « International Human Rights Law and its Critics », *International Community Law Review*, vol. 18 (2016), p. 353–369.
- Petersmann, E., « Justifying “Fragmentation” and Constitutional Reforms of International Law in Terms of Justice, Human Rights and “Cosmopolitan Constitutionalism” », in Jakubowski, A., et Wierczyńska, K. (dir.), *Fragmentation v. the Constitutionalisation of International Law: A Practical Inquiry* (Londres et New York, Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group, 2016), p. 163–182.
- Polonko, K. A., Lombardo, L. X., et Bolling, I. M., « Law Reform, Child Maltreatment and the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child », *International Journal of Children’s Rights*, vol. 24 (2016), p. 29–64.
- Raley, M., « Article 33 of the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities: Broader Implications for Human Rights Law », *Dublin University Law Journal*, vol. 39 (2016), p. 157–172.

- \_\_\_\_\_. « The Drafting of Article 33 of the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities: The Creation of a Novel Mechanism », *International Journal of Human Rights*, vol. 20 (2016), p. 138–152.
- Riedel, E., « Reflections on the UN Human Rights Covenants at Fifty », *Archiv des Völkerrechts*, vol. 54 (2016), p. 132–152.
- De Sena, P., « Proportionality and Human Rights in International Law: Some ... “Utilitarian” Reflections », *Rivista di diritto internazionale*, vol. 99 (2016), p. 1009–1025.
- Shaghaji, D. R., « L'émergence des obligations *erga omnes* de protection des droits humains découlant des normes impératives et l'habilitation des États membres de la communauté internationale d'agir », *Revue de droit international et de droit comparé*, vol. 93 (2016), p. 477–499.
- Snacken, S., et Kiefer, N., « Oversight of International Imprisonment: The Committee for the Prevention of Torture », in Mulgrew, R., et Abels, D. (dir.), *Research Handbook on the International Penal System* (Cheltenham et Northampton (MA), Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016), p. 322–354.
- Subedi, S. P., « The UN Human Rights Special Rapporteurs and the Impact of their Work: Some Reflections of the UN Special Rapporteur for Cambodia », *Asian Journal of International Law*, vol. 6 (2016), p. 1–14.
- Sun, S., « The Problems of the Chinese Texts of the International Human Rights Covenants: A Revisit », *Chinese Journal of International Law*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 773–794.
- Svaček, O., « The International Criminal Court and Human Rights: Achievements and Challenges », in Vicente, D. M. (dir.), *Towards a Universal Justice? Putting International Courts and Jurisdictions into Perspective* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), p. 206–221.
- Thornberry, P., *The International Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Racial Discrimination: A Commentary* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 535 pages.
- Tiroch, K., « Modernizing the Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners — A Human Rights Perspective », *Max Planck Yearbook of United Nations Law*, vol. 19 (2016), p. 278–304.
- Tolno, S. J., « L'encadrement juridique du phénomène migratoire par les organes internationaux de contrôle des droits de l'homme », *L'Observateur des Nations Unies*, vol. 41 (2016), p. 21–48.
- Vandenbogaerde, A., *Towards Shared Accountability in International Human Rights Law* (Mortsel, Intersentia, 2016), 354 pages.
- van den Herik, L., et Harwood, C., « Commissions of Inquiry and the Charm of International Criminal Law: Between Transactional and Authoritative Approaches », in Alston, P., et Knuckey, S. (dir.), *The Transformation of Human Rights Fact-Finding* (New York, Oxford University Press, 2016), p. 233–254.
- Wang, Z., « Treaty Commitment as a Signaling Device: Explaining the Ratification of the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights », *Human Rights Review*, vol. 17 (2016), p. 193–220.
- Weissbrodt, D. S., et Mitchell, B., « The United Nations Working Group on Arbitrary Detention: Procedures and Summary of Jurisprudence », *Human Rights Quarterly*, vol. 38 (2016), p. 655–705.
- Wilson, B., « Human Rights and Maritime Law Enforcement », *Stanford Journal of International Law*, vol. 52 (2016), p. 243–320.

- Yamin, A. E., et Duger, A., « Adjudicating Health-Related Rights: Proposed Considerations for the United Nations Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, and Other Supra-National Tribunals », *Chicago Journal of International Law*, vol. 17 (2016), p. 80–120.
- Żenkiewicz, M., « Human Rights Violations by Multinational Corporations and UN Initiatives », *Review of International Law & Politics*, vol. 12 (2016), p. 121–160.

## 11. Droit administratif international

- Bignami, F., « Theories of Civil Society and Global Administrative Law: The Case of the World Bank and International Development », in Cassese, S. (dir.), *Research Handbook on Global Administrative Law* (Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016), p. 325–346.
- Cassese, S. (dir.), *Research Handbook on Global Administrative Law* (Cheltenham, Edward Elgar, 2016), 608 pages.
- Jefferson, O. A., et Epichev, I., « International Organisations as Employers: Searching for Practices of Fair Treatment and Due Process Rights of Staff », in Rubenstein, Kim, et Young, Katharine G. (dir.), *The Public Law of Gender: From the Local to the Global* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2016), p. 489–513.
- Laker, T., « The United Nations and the (Internal) Administration of Justice », in Feinäugle, C. A. (dir.), *The Rule of Law and its Application to the United Nations* (Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2016), p. 311–330.
- Marchetti, B., « The Enforcement of Global Decisions », in Cassese, S. (dir.), *Research Handbook on Global Administrative Law* (Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016), p. 242–258.
- Thévenot-Werner, A., *Le droit des agents internationaux à un recours effectif: vers un droit commun de la procédure administrative internationale* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 1 024 pages.
- Villalpando, S., « The Law of the International Civil Service », in Cogan, J. K., Hurd, I., et Johnstone, I. (dir.), *The Oxford Handbook of International Organizations* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), p. 1069–1084.

## 12. Droit international des affaires

- Alvarez, J. E., « “Beware: Boundary Crossings” — A Critical Appraisal of Public Law Approaches to International Investment Law », *Journal of World Investment and Trade*, vol. 17 (2016), p. 171–228.
- Berman, P. S., « The Inevitable Legal Pluralism within Universal Harmonization Regimes: The Case of the CISG », *Uniform Law Review*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 23–40.
- Dumberry, P., *The Formation and Identification of Rules of Customary International Law in International Investment Law* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2016), 534 pages.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « A Few Observations on the Remaining Fundamental Importance of Customary Rules in the Age of Treatyfication of International Investment Law », *ASA Bulletin*, vol. 34 (2016), p. 41–61.

- \_\_\_\_\_. « The Role and Relevance of Awards in the Formation, Identification and Evolution of Customary Rules in International Investment Law », *Journal of International Arbitration*, vol. 33 (2016), p. 269–287.
- McLachlan, C., « Is there an Evolving Customary International Law on Investment? », *ICSID Review*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 257–269.
- Mouyal, L. W., *International Investment Law and the Right to Regulate: A Human Rights Perspective* (Abingdon, Oxon et New York, Routledge, 2016), 282 pages.
- Nazzini, R., « The Law Applicable to the Arbitration Agreement: Towards Transitional Principles », *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, vol. 65 (2016), p. 681–703.
- O'Connor, E. O., « The Role of the CISG in Promoting Healthy Jurisdictional Competition for Contract Law », *Uniform Law Review*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 41–59.
- Schaffstein, S., *The Doctrine of Res Judicata before International Commercial Arbitral Tribunals* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 326 pages.
- Shreedhar, A., « Feasibility of “Covering Values” in Transnational Commercial Law: Article 79 of the CISG and the “Impediment” », *Global Journal of Comparative Law*, vol. 5 (2016), p. 183–207.
- Tripoli, L., *Towards a New CISG: The Prospective Convention on the International Sale of Goods and Services* (Leyde et Boston, Brill, 2016), 190 pages.
- Wang, L., « Non-Discrimination Treatment of State-Owned Enterprise Investors in International Investment Agreements? », *ICSID Review*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 45–57.

### 13. Droit pénal international

- Aksenova, M., *Complicity in International Criminal Law* (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 2016), 319 pages.
- Ambos, K., « Individual Criminal Responsibility for Cyber Aggression », *Journal of Conflict and Security Law*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 495–504.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « The International Criminal Justice System and Prosecutorial Selection Policy », in Ackerman, B., Ambos, K., et Sikirić, H. (dir.), *Visions of Justice: Liber Amicorum Mirjan Damaška* (Berlin, Duncker & Humblot, 2016), p. 23–49.
- Appazov, A., *Expert Evidence and International Criminal Justice* (Cham, Springer, 2016), 199 pages.
- Armenian, A. V., « Selectivity in International Criminal Law: An Assessment of the “Progress Narrative” », *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 642–672.
- Bartels, R., et Fortin, K., « Law, Justice and a Potential Security Gap: The “Organization” Requirement in International Humanitarian Law and International Criminal Law », *Journal of Conflict and Security Law*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 29–48.
- Bartłomiej, E. (dir.), *Prosecuting International Crimes: A Multidisciplinary Approach* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 313 pages.
- Bhoola, U., et Panaccione, K., « Slavery Crimes and the Mandate of the United Nations Special Rapporteur on Contemporary Forms of Slavery », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 363–373.

- Blome, K., et Markard, N., « “Contested Collisions”: Conditions for a Successful Collision Management — the Example of Article 16 of the Rome Statute », *Leiden Journal of International Law*, vol. 29 (2016), p. 551–575.
- Boister, N., « The Cooperation Provisions of the UN Convention against Transnational Organised Crime: A “Toolbox” Rarely Used? », *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 39–70.
- Briefel, C., et Tredici, I., « The United Nations Prosecution Support Cell Programme in the Democratic Republic of Congo — A Strategy to Combat Impunity for Serious Crimes », *Max Planck Yearbook of United Nations Law*, vol. 19 (2016), p. 337–362.
- Carcano, A., « Of Fragmentation and Precedents in International Criminal Law: Possible Lessons from Recent Jurisprudence on Aiding and Abetting Liability », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 771–792.
- Carlson, K. B., « Post Rule of Law: The Structural Problem of Hybridity in International Criminal Procedure », *Italian Law Journal*, vol. 2 (2016), p. 33–64.
- Casaly, P., « Al Mahdi before the ICC: Cultural Property and World Heritage in International Criminal Law », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 1199–1220.
- Chetail, V., « Is there any Blood on My Hands? Deportation as a Crime of International Law », *Leiden Journal of International Law*, vol. 29 (2016), p. 917–943.
- Cimiotta, E., « The Relevance of *Erga Omnes* Obligations in Prosecuting International Crimes », *Zeitschrift für ausländisches öffentliches Recht und Völkerrecht*, vol. 76 (2016), p. 687–713.
- Cockayne, J., « The Anti-Slavery Potential of International Criminal Justice », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 469–484.
- Comer, C. A., et Mburu D. M., « Humanitarian Law at Wits’ End: Does the Violence Arising from the “War on Drugs” in Mexico Meet the International Criminal Court’s Non-International Armed Conflict Threshold? », in Gill, T. D. (dir.), *Yearbook of International Humanitarian Law Volume 18, 2015* (La Haye, T. M. C. Asser Press, 2016), p. 67–89.
- Cryer, R., « Then and Now: Command Responsibility, The Tokyo Tribunal and Modern International Criminal Law », in Sellers K. (dir.), *Trials for International Crimes in Asia* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2016), p. 55–74.
- Doherty, J. W., et Steinberg, R. H., « Punishment and Policy in International Criminal Sentencing: An Empirical Study », *American Journal of International Law*, vol. 110 (2016), p. 49–81.
- Elphick, L. C., « State Consent and “Official Acts”: Clearing the Muddied Waters of Immunity *Ratione Materiae* for International Crimes », *The University of Western Australia Law Review*, vol. 41 (2016), p. 275–320.
- Engle, K., Miller, Z., et Davis, D. M. (dir.), *Anti-Impunity and the Human Rights Agenda* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2016), 398 pages.
- Fagan, T., Hirstein, W., et Sifferd K., « Child Soldiers, Executive Functions, and Culpability », *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 258–286.
- Galand, A. S., « Security Council Referrals to the International Criminal Court as Quasi-Legislative Acts », *Max Planck Yearbook of United Nations Law*, vol. 19 (2016), p. 142–175.

- Gillich, I., « Between Light and Shadow: The International Law against Genocide in the International Court of Justice's Judgement in *Croatia v. Serbia* (2015) », *Pace International Law Review*, vol. 28 (2016), p. 117–160.
- Gréciano, P., *Justice pénale internationale: les nouveaux enjeux de Nuremberg à La Haye* (Paris, Mare & Martin, 2016), 218 pages.
- Grey, R., « Interpreting International Crimes from a “Female Perspective”: Opportunities and Challenges for the International Criminal Court », *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 17 (2016), p. 325–350.
- Haenen, I., « Justifying a Dichotomy in Defences: The Added Value of a Distinction between Justifications and Excuses in International Criminal Law », *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 547–559.
- Hamrouni, M., « Les juridictions européennes et l'article 103 de la charte des Nations Unies : À propos de l'affaire Kadi devant la Cour de justice de l'Union européenne et de l'affaire Al-Dulimi devant la Cour européenne des droits de l'homme », *Revue générale de droit international public*, vol. 120 (2016), p. 769–794.
- Hauck, P., et Peterke, S. (dir.), *International Law and Transnational Organized Crime* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 550 pages.
- Jackson, M., « The Attribution of Responsibility and Modes of Liability in International Criminal Law », *Leiden Journal of International Law*, vol. 29 (2016), p. 879–895.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « Regional Complementarity: The Rome Statue and Public International Law », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 1061–1072.
- Jain, N., « Judicial Lawmaking and General Principles of Law in International Criminal Law », *Harvard International Law Journal*, vol. 57 (2016), p. 111–150.
- Jessberger, F., « Corporate Involvement in Slavery and Criminal Responsibility under International Law », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 327–341.
- Keenan, P. J., « The Problem of Purpose in International Criminal Law », *Michigan Journal of International Law*, vol. 37 (2016), p. 421–474.
- Kelly, M. J., *Prosecuting Corporations for Genocide* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 261 pages.
- Koh, H. H., « Civil Remedies for Uncivil Wrongs: Combatting Terrorism through Transnational Public Law Litigation », *Texas International Law Journal*, vol. 50 (2016), p. 661–698.
- Koskenniemi, M., « What is Critical Research in International Law? Celebrating Structuralism », *Leiden Journal of International Law*, vol. 29 (2016), p. 727–735.
- Lee, N., « Convert or Die: Forced Religious Conversions and the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide », *Georgetown Journal of International Law*, vol. 47 (2016), p. 573–606.
- McDermott, H., « Seeking a Stay of Proceedings for Irregular Apprehension before International Courts: Fighting a Losing Battle against the Pursuit of International Criminal Justice », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 145–169.
- McDermott, Y., *Fairness in International Criminal Trials* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 212 pages.
- McGregor, L., « An Integrated System of National and International Remedies for Crimes under International Law », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 239–251.

- Mégret, F., « The Anxieties of International Criminal Justice », *Leiden Journal of International Law*, vol. 29 (2016), p. 197–221.
- Mulgrew, R., et Abels, D. (dir.), *Research Handbook on the International Penal System* (Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016), 518 pages.
- Negri, S., « Transplant Ethics and International Crime of Organ Trafficking », *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 287–303.
- Neveu, S., « Reconnaissance mutuelle et droits fondamentaux : quelles limites à la coopération judiciaire pénale ? », *Revue trimestrielle des droits de l'homme*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 119–159.
- Novic, E., *The Concept of Cultural Genocide: An International Law Perspective* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 266 pages.
- . « From “Genocide” to “Persecution”: “Cultural Genocide” and Contemporary International Criminal Law », in Jakubowski, A. (dir.), *Cultural Rights as Collective Rights: An International Law Perspective* (Leyde et Boston, Brill, 2016), p. 313–335.
- O'Brien, M., « Don't Kill Them, Let's Choose Them as Wives: The Development of the Crimes of Forced Marriage, Sexual Slavery and Enforced Prostitution in International Criminal Law », *International Journal of Human Rights*, vol. 20 (2016), p. 386–406.
- Ouédraogo, É., « Revisiter la Convention sur le génocide ? Le débat complexe sur la question du dol spécial et le contexte de génocide », *Revue juridique et politique des États francophones*, vol. 70 (2016), p. 106–133.
- Perova, N., « Stretching the Joint Criminal Enterprise Doctrine to the Extreme: When Culpability and Liability Do Not Match », *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 761–795.
- Rafter, N., *The Crime of all Crimes: Toward a Criminology of Genocide* (New York, New York University Press, 2016), 320 pages.
- Riley, S., « Architectures of Intergenerational Justice: Human Dignity, International Law, and Duties to Future Generations », *Journal of Human Rights*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 272–290.
- Rocha Herrera, M., « Actores no estatales: grupos armados, milicias, señores de la guerra, grupos criminales organizados y paramilitares. ¿Pueden acaso estos grupos cometer crímenes internacionales conforme al Derecho penal internacional? », *Anuario iberoamericano de derecho internacional penal*, vol. 4 (2016), p. 13–38.
- Rodenhäuser, T., « Squaring the Circle? Prosecuting Sexual Violence Against Child Soldiers by their Own Forces », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 171–193.
- Rogers, S., « Sexual Violence or Rape as a Constituent Act of Genocide: Lessons from the *Ad Hoc* Tribunals and a Prescription for the International Criminal Court », *George Washington International Law Review*, vol. 48 (2016), p. 265–314.
- Sadoff, D. A., *Bringing International Fugitives to Justice: Extradition and its Alternatives* (New York, Cambridge University Press, 2016), 722 pages.
- Saslow, B., « Public Enemy: The Public Element of Direct and Public Incitement to Commit Genocide », *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, vol. 48 (2016), p. 417–449.
- Schabas, W. A. (dir.), *The Cambridge Companion to International Criminal Law* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2016), 408 pages.

- Shaghaji, D. R., « L'exercice de la compétence universelle absolue à l'encontre des crimes graves de droit international afin de protéger les intérêts généraux de la communauté internationale dans son ensemble », *Revue de droit international et de droit comparé*, vol. 93 (2016), p. 1–30.
- Siller, N., « “Modern Slavery”: Does International Law Distinguish between Slavery, Enslavement and Trafficking? », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 405–427.
- Simon, T. W., *Genocide, Torture, and Terrorism: Ranking International Crimes and Justifying Humanitarian Intervention* (New York, Palgrave Macmillan, 2016), 244 pages.
- Sirleaf, M. V. S., « Regionalism, Regime Complexes, and the Crisis in International Criminal Justice », *Columbia Journal of Transnational Law*, vol. 54 (2016), p. 699–778.
- Soma, A., « Le régionalisme africain en droit international pénal », *Revue générale de droit international public*, vol. 120 (2016), p. 515–544.
- Ssenyonjo, M., et Nakitto, S., « The African Court of Justice and Human and Peoples' Rights “International Criminal Law Section”: Promoting Impunity for African Union Heads of State and Senior State Officials? », *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 71–102.
- Temperman, J., *Religious Hatred and International Law: The Prohibition of Incitement to Violence or Discrimination* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2016), 394 pages.
- Tolbert, D., et Smith, L. A., « Complementarity and the Investigation and Prosecution of Slavery Crimes », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 429–451.
- Trinidad Núñez, N., « La función de la costumbre en el Estatuto de la Corte Penal Internacional », *Anuario Iberoamericano de derecho internacional penal*, vol. 4 (2016), p. 105–122.
- Trouille, H., « France, Universal Jurisdiction and Rwandan *génocidaires*: The Simbikangwa Trial », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 195–217.
- Üngör, U. (dir.), *Genocide: New Perspectives on its Causes, Courses and Consequences* (Amsterdam, Amsterdam University Press, 2016), 275 pages.
- van der Wilt, H., « Slavery Prosecutions in International Criminal Jurisdictions », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 269–283.
- Van Schaack, B., « The Building Blocks of Hybrid Justice », *Denver Journal of International Law and Policy*, vol. 44 (2016), p. 169–280.
- van Sliedregt, E., « International Criminal Law: Over-Studied and Underachieving? », *Leiden Journal of International Law*, vol. 29 (2016), p. 1–12.
- Vlasic, M. V., et Turku, H., « “Blood Antiquities”: Protecting Cultural Heritage Beyond Criminalization », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 1175–1197.
- Waller, J., *Confronting Evil: Engaging our Responsibility to Prevent Genocide* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 381 pages.
- Weilert, A. K., « United Nations Convention against Corruption (UNCAC) — After Ten Years of Being in Force », *Max Planck Yearbook of United Nations Law*, vol. 19 (2016), p. 216–240.
- Xavier, I., « The Incongruity of the Rome Statute Insanity Defence and International Crime », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 793–814.



Yuvaraj, J., « When does a Child “Participate Actively in Hostilities” Under the Rome Statute? Protecting Children from Use in Hostilities after *Lubanga* », *Utrecht Journal of International and European Law*, vol. 32 (2016), p. 69–93.

#### 14. Droit international économique

Alschner, W., et Skougarevskiy, D., « Mapping the Universe of International Investment Agreements », *Journal of International Economic Law*, vol. 19 (2016), p. 588.

Bartels, L., « International Economic Law and Human Rights: Friends, Enemies or Frenemies? », *European Yearbook of International Economic Law*, vol. 7 (2016), p. 485–492.

Bradlow, D. D., « Can Parallel Lines Ever Meet? The Strange Case of the International Standards on Sovereign Debt and Business and Human Rights », *Yale Journal of International Law*, vol. 41 (2016), p. 201–239.

Cottier, T., « Improving Compliance: *Jus Cogens* and International Economic Law », *Netherlands Yearbook of International Law*, vol. 46 (2016), p. 329–356.

Hindelang, S., et Krajewski, M. (dir.), *Shifting Paradigms in International Investment Law: More Balanced, Less Isolated, Increasingly Diversified* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 441 pages.

Hirsch, M., « Explaining Compliance and Non-Compliance with ICSID Awards: The Argentine Case Study and a Multiple Theoretical Approach », *Journal of International Economic Law*, vol. 19 (2016), p. 681–706.

Joseph, S., « Human Rights and International Economic Law », *European Yearbook of International Economic Law* (2016), p. 461–484.

Klabbers, J., « On Functions and Finance: Sovereign Debt Workouts and Equality in International Organizations Law », *Yale Journal of International Law*, vol. 41 (2016), p. 241–261.

Levashova, Y., Lambooy, T., et Dekker, I. F., *Bridging the Gap between International Investment Law and the Environment* (La Haye, Eleven International Publishing, 2016), 483 pages.

Mitchell, A. D., Sheargold, E., et Voon, T., « Good Governance Obligations in International Economic Law: A Comparative Analysis of Trade and Investment », *Journal of World Investment and Trade*, vol. 17 (2016), p. 7–46.

Reinisch, A., « Elements of Conciliation in Dispute Settlement Procedures Relating to International Economic Law », in Tomuschat, C., Pisillo Mazzeschi, R., et Thürer, D. (dir.), *Conciliation in International Law: The OSCE Court of Conciliation and Arbitration* (Leyde et Boston, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), p. 116–132.

Schill, S., Tams, C. J., et Hofmann, R. (dir.), *International Investment Law and Development: Bridging the Gap* (Cheltenham, Edward Elgar, 2016), 488 pages.

Schneiderman, D., « Global Constitutionalism and International Economic Law: The Case of International Investment Law », *European Yearbook of International Economic Law*, vol. 7 (2016), p. 23–43.

Shirlow, E., « Dawn of a New Era? The UNCITRAL Rules and UN Convention on Transparency in Treaty-Based Investor-State Arbitration », *ICSID Review*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 622–654.

- Tietje, C., « Problematic Relationships: Why International Economic Law is Sometimes More Complicated than it Appears », *European Yearbook of International Economic Law*, vol. 7 (2016), p. 377–389.
- Tuerk, E., et Rosert, D., « The Road towards Reform of the International Investment Agreement Regime: A Perspective from UNCTAD », *European Yearbook of International Economic Law* (2016), p. 769–786.
- Willemyns, I., « Disciplines on State-Owned Enterprises in International Economic Law: Are We Moving in the Right Direction? », *Journal of International Economic Law*, vol. 19 (2016), p. 657–680.

### 15. Terrorisme international

- Anwukah, O. J., « The Effectiveness of International Law: Torture and Counterterrorism », *Annual Survey of International and Comparative Law*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 1–28.
- Bidias, J. P., « Le recours à la légitime défense par les organisations régionales dans la lutte contre le terrorisme », *Revue de droit international et de droit comparé*, vol. 93 (2016), p. 501–535.
- Capone, F., « Countering “Foreign Terrorist Fighters”: A Critical Appraisal of the Framework Established by the UN Security Council Resolutions », *Italian Yearbook of International Law*, vol. 25 (2016), p. 227–250.
- Chesterman, S., « Dogs of War or Jackals of Terror? Foreign Fighters and Mercenaries in International Law », *ICLR International Community Law Review*, vol. 18 (2016), p. 389–399.
- Cunningham, J. L., « Is there a Hostage Dilemma?: A Game Theoretical Approach to UN Anti-Terror Financing Resolutions and State Policies on Kidnappings for Ransom », *North Carolina Journal of International Law*, vol. 42 (2016), p. 545–594.
- De Wet, E., « (Implicit) Judicial Favoring of Human Rights Over United Nations Security Council Sanctions: A Manifestation of International Constitutionalism? », in Fabbrini, F., et Jackson, V. C. (dir.), *Constitutionalism Across Borders in the Struggle Against Terrorism* (Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016), p. 35–51.
- Esbrook, L., « Citizenship Unmoored: Expatriation as a Counter-Terrorism Tool », *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Law*, vol. 37 (2016), p. 1273–1329.
- Feinberg, M., « Terrorism Inside Out: Applying the Concept of Legislating for Humanity to Cooperate Against Terrorism », *North Carolina Journal of International Law*, vol. 42 (2016), p. 505–543.
- Fidler, D. P., « Cyberspace, Terrorism and International Law », *Journal of Conflict and Security Law*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 475–493.
- Gerson, A., « Enabling or Disabling International Terrorism? The Role of the United Nations and US Courts », *Israel Yearbook on Human Rights*, vol. 46 (2016), p. 281–304.
- Gómez del Prado, J. L., « Whether the Criteria contained in the 1989 International Convention against the Recruitment, Use, Financing and Training of Mercenaries Notably Motivation Apply to Today’s Foreign Fighters? », *ICLR International Community Law Review*, vol. 18 (2016), p. 400–417.

- Hilpold, P., « The Evolving Right of Counter-Terrorism: An Analysis of SC Resolution 2249 (2015) in View of some Basic Contributions in International Law Literature », *Questions of International Law, Zoom Out* (2016), p. 15–34.
- Jayaraman, S., « International Terrorism and Statelessness: Revoking the Citizenship of ISIL Foreign Fighters (Comment) », *Chicago Journal of International Law*, vol. 17 (2016), p. 178–216.
- Jiménez García, F., « “Combatientes terroristas extranjeros” y conflictos armados: utilitarismo inmediato ante fenómenos no resueltos y normas no consensuadas », *Revista española de derecho internacional*, vol. 68 (2016), p. 277–301.
- Palomo Garrido, A., « La lucha antiterrorista y el nuevo sistema de seguridad internacional tras el 11 de septiembre: ¿una consecuencia lógica? », *Foro internacional*, vol. 56 (2016), p. 941–976.
- Stigall, D. E., « Counterterrorism, Ungoverned Spaces, and the Role of International Law », *SAIS Review of International Affairs*, vol. 36 (2016), p. 47–60.
- Taylor, L., « Foreign Terrorist Fighter Laws: Human Rights Rollbacks under UN Security Council Resolution 2178 », *ICLR International Community Law Review*, vol. 18 (2016), p. 455–482.
- Wattad, M. S., « From Rome to Nuremberg with Romanticism: On Terrorism », *Houston Journal of International Law*, vol. 38 (2016), p. 689–713.

## 16. Droit commercial international

- Choukroune, L., *Judging the State in International Trade and Investment Law: Sovereignty Modern, the Law and the Economics* (Singapour, Springer Nature, 2016), 222 pages.
- Hamamoto, S., « Le Règlement de la CNUDCI sur la transparence dans l'arbitrage entre investisseurs et États fondé sur des traités et la Convention de Maurice sur la transparence — commentaire article par article », *Journal du droit international* (2016), p. 5–59.
- He, J., « International Trade Disputes Related to Fishery Products: Time to Engage a Chinese Perspective? », *International Journal of Marine and Coastal Law*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 32–59.
- Lamp, N., « The Club Approach to Multilateral Trade Lawmaking », *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law*, vol. 49 (2016), p. 107–190.
- Riffel, C., *The Protection against Unfair Competition in the WTO TRIPS Agreement: The Scope and Prospects of Article 10bis of the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property* (Leyde, Brill, 2016), 344 pages.
- Sauvé, P., et Roy, M. (dir.), *Research Handbook on Trade in Services* (Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016), 635 pages.

## 17. Tribunaux internationaux

- Akhavan, P., « Complementarity Conundrums: The ICC Clock in Transitional Times », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 1043–1059.

- Alter, K. J., Gathii, J. T., et Helfer, L. R., « Backlash against International Courts in West, East and Southern Africa: Causes and Consequences », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 293–328.
- Ambach, P., « The “Lessons Learnt” Process at the International Criminal Court — a Suitable Vehicle for Procedural Improvements? », *Zeitschrift für Internationale Strafrechtsdogmatik*, vol. 11 (2016), p. 854–867.
- Ankumah, E. A. (dir.), *The International Criminal Court and Africa: One Decade On* (Cambridge, Intersentia, 2016), 676 pages.
- Arcari, M., « A Vetoed International Criminal Justice? Cursory Remarks on the Current Relationship between the UN Security Council and International Criminal Courts and Tribunals », *Diritti umani e diritto internazionale*, vol. 10 (2016), p. 363–374.
- Augustínová, G., et Dumbryte, A., « The Indispensable Role of Non-Governmental Organizations in the Creation and Functioning of the International Criminal Court », *Journal of Eurasian Law*, vol. 9 (2016), p. 57–75.
- Clarke, K. M., Knottnerus, A. S., et Volder, E. D., *Africa and the ICC: Perceptions of Justice* (Cambridge et New York, Cambridge University Press, 2016), 454 pages.
- Banteka, N., « Mind the Gap: A Systematic Approach to the International Criminal Court’s Arrest Warrants Enforcement Problem », *Cornell International Law Journal*, vol. 49 (2016), p. 521–563.
- Bekou, O., et Birkett, D. J. (dir.), *Cooperation and the International Criminal Court: Perspectives from Theory and Practice* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 431 pages.
- Berlin, M. S., « Why (Not) Arrest? Third-Party State Compliance and Noncompliance with International Criminal Tribunals », *Journal of Human Rights*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 509–532.
- Bernath, J., « “Complex Political Victims” in the Aftermath of Mass Atrocity: Reflections on the Khmer Rouge Tribunal in Cambodia », *International Journal of Transitional Justice*, vol. 10 (2016), p. 46–66.
- Bocchese, M., « Coercing Compliance with the ICC: Empirical Assessment and Theoretical Implications », *Michigan State International Law Review*, vol. 24 (2016), p. 357–432.
- Bodeau-Livinec, P., et Giorgetti, C., « Developing International Law at the Bar: A Growing Competition among International Courts and Tribunals », *Law & Practice of International Courts and Tribunals*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 177–189.
- Bosco, D. L., « Palestine in The Hague: Justice, Geopolitics, and the International Criminal Court », *Global Governance*, vol. 22 (2016), p. 155–171.
- de Brabandere, E., « The Use of Precedent and External Case Law by the International Court of Justice and the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea », *Law & Practice of International Courts and Tribunals*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 24–55.
- Brammertz, S., et al., « Attacks Against Cultural Heritage as a Weapon of War: Prosecutions at the ICTY », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 1143–1174.
- Branco, J., *L’ordre et le monde : Critique de la Cour pénale internationale* (Paris, Fayard, 2016), 245 pages.
- Brown, C., « Investment Treaty Tribunals and Human Rights Courts: Competitors or Collaborators? », *The Law & Practice of International Courts and Tribunals*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 287–304.

- Carter, L. E., Ellis, M. S., et Jalloh, C. (dir.), *The International Criminal Court in an Effective Global Justice System* (Cheltenham et Northampton (MA), Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016), 384 pages.
- Cataleta, M. S., *Les droits de la défense devant la Cour Pénale Internationale* (Paris, L'Harmattan, 2016), 533 pages.
- Chappell, L., *The Politics of Gender Justice at the International Criminal Court: Legacies and Legitimacy* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 276 pages.
- Chazal, N., *The International Criminal Court and Global Social Control: International Criminal Justice in Late Modernity* (New York, Routledge, 2016), 162 pages.
- Cimiotta, E., « The Specialist Chambers and the Specialist Prosecutor's Office in Kosovo: The "Regionalization" of International Criminal Justice in Context », *Georgetown Journal of International Law*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 53–72.
- Ciorciari, J. D., et Heindel, A. H., « Victim Testimony in International and Hybrid Criminal Courts: Narrative Opportunities, Challenges, and Fair Trial Demands », *Virginia Journal of International Law*, vol. 56 (2016), p. 265–338.
- Citroni, G., « The Specialist Chambers of Kosovo: The Applicable Law and the Special Challenges Related to the Crime of Enforced Disappearance », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 123–143.
- Clark, J. N., « The First Rape Conviction at the ICC: An Analysis of the *Bemba* Judgment », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 667–687.
- Combs, N. A., « Seeking Inconsistency: Advancing Pluralism in International Criminal Sentencing », *The Yale Journal of International Law*, vol. 41 (2016), p. 1–47.
- Corrie, K. L., « Could the International Criminal Court Strategically Prosecute Modern Day Slavery? », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 285–303.
- Croquet, N. A. J., « The Special Tribunal for Lebanon's Innovative Human Rights Framework: Between Enhanced Legislative Codification and Increased Judicial Law-Making », *Georgetown Journal of International Law*, vol. 47 (2016), p. 351–437.
- Cross, M. E., « Equipping the Specialist Chambers of Kosovo to Try Transnational Crimes: Remarks on Independence and Cooperation », *Georgetown Journal of International Law*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 73–100.
- Cupido, M., « Common Purpose Liability versus Joint Perpetration: A Practical View on the ICC's Hierarchy of Liability Theories », *Leiden Journal of International Law*, vol. 29 (2016), p. 897–915.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « Facing Facts in International Criminal Law: A Casuistic Model of Judicial Reasoning », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 1–20.
- Dash, A., et Sharma, D., « Arrest Warrants at the International Criminal Court: Reasonable Suspicion or Reasonable Grounds to Believe? », *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 158–176.
- Davidson, A., « Human Rights Protection before the International Criminal Court: Assessing the Scope and Application of Article 21(3) of the Rome Statute », *International Community Law Review*, vol. 18 (2016), p. 72–101.
- De Baere, G., Chané, A., et Wouters, J., « International Courts as Keepers of the Rule of Law: Achievements, Challenges, and Opportunities », *New York University Journal of International Law & Politics*, vol. 48 (Printemps 2016), p. 715–793.

- de Brouwer, A., et Smeulers, A. (dir.), *The Elgar Companion to the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda* (Northampton (MA), Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016), 544 pages.
- Deprez, C., *L'applicabilité des droits humains à l'action de la Cour pénale internationale* (Bruxelles, Bruylant, 2016), 516 pages.
- Diggelmann, O., « International Criminal Tribunals and Reconciliation: Reflections on the Role of Remorse and Apology », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 1073–1097.
- Ezennia, C. N., « The *Modus Operandi* of the International Criminal Court System: An Impartial or a Selective Justice Regime? », *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 448–479.
- Fry, E., *The Contours of International Prosecutions: As Defined by Facts, Charges, and Jurisdiction* (La Haye, Eleven International Publishing, 2016), 182 pages.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « Legal Recharacterization and the Materiality of Facts at the International Criminal Court: Which Changes are Permissible? », *Leiden Journal of International Law*, vol. 29 (2016), p. 577–597.
- Hamilton, K., « The Role of Peacekeeping Operations in International Criminal Justice: Obstacles to Assistance Following Security Council Referrals to the ICC », *Journal of International Peacekeeping*, vol. 20 (2016), p. 342–362.
- Heller, K. J., « Radical Complementarity », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 637–665.
- Hiéramente, M., et Schneider, P. (dir.), *The Defence in International Criminal Trials: Observations on the Role of the Defence at the ICTY, ICTR and ICC* (Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2016), 279 pages.
- Hobbs, P., « The Right to a Fair Trial and Judicial Economy at the International Criminal Court », *International Human Rights Law Review*, vol. 5 (2016), p. 86–118.
- Horowitz, S., « International Criminal Courts in Action: The ICTR's Effect on Death Penalty and Reconciliation in Rwanda », *George Washington International Law Review*, vol. 48 (2016), p. 505–547.
- Ingle, J., « Aiding and Abetting by Omission before the International Criminal Tribunals », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 747–769.
- Jarvis, M. J., et Tieger, A., « Applying the Genocide Convention at the ICTY: The Influence of Paradigms Past », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 857–877.
- Jones, A., « Insights into an Emerging Relationship: Use of Human Rights Jurisprudence at the International Criminal Court », *Human Rights Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 701–729.
- Kabumba, Y. H., « Le statut juridique de la victime devant la section du droit international pénal de la future Cour africaine de justice, des droits de l'homme et des peuples », *Revue de droit international et de droit comparé*, vol. 93 (2016), p. 367–406.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « Faire face au silence des textes de la Cour pénale internationale concernant les éléments contextuels des crimes contre l'humanité : avec quelles sources ? », *Revue belge de droit international*, vol. 49 (2016), p. 293–322.
- Kattan, V., « The ICC and the Saga of the Mavi Marmara », *Palestine Yearbook of International Law*, vol. 18 (2016), p. 53–91.

- Kayuni, S. W., « *Quis Custodiet Ipsos Custodes (Who is Guarding the Guardians)? — Decision Processes in the ICC's Offences Against the Administration of Justice* », *The Law & Practice of International Courts and Tribunals*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 345–384.
- Keita, X., « Disclosure of Evidence in the Law and Practice of the ICC », *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 1018–1047.
- Kersten, M., *Justice in Conflict: The Effects of the International Criminal Court's Interventions on Ending Wars and Building Peace* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 254 pages.
- Killean, R., « Procedural Justice in International Criminal Courts: Assessing Civil Parties' Perceptions of Justice at the Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia », *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 1–38.
- Kiss, A., « La responsabilidad penal del superior ante la Corte Penal Internacional », *Zeitschrift für Internationale Strafrechtsdogmatik*, vol. 11 (2016), p. 40–66.
- Kochhar, S., « Of Fallen Demons: Reflections on the International Criminal Court's Defendant », *Leiden Journal of International Law*, vol. 29 (2016), p. 223–244.
- Kuczyńska, H., « The Scope of Appeal on Complementarity Issues before the ICC: On the Example of the Appeal of Côte d'Ivoire Against the Decision of Pre-Trial Chamber I in the *Simone Gbagbo* Case », *The Law & Practice of International Courts and Tribunals*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 326–344.
- Lattmann, T., « Situations Referred to the International Criminal Court by the United Nations Security Council — “Ad Hoc Tribunalisation” of the Court and its Dangers », *Pécs Journal of International and European Law* (2016), p. 68–78.
- Longobardo, M., « Factors Relevant for the Assessment of Sufficient Gravity in the ICC: Proceedings and the Elements of International Crimes », *QIL: Questions of International Law* (November 2016), p. 21–41.
- Manley, S., « Referencing Patterns at the International Criminal Court », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 191–214.
- Martínez Alcañiz, A., « La criminalización de la barbarie: de los Tribunales Internacionales Penales *ad hoc* al Estatuto de Roma de la Corte Penal Internacional », in Amich Elías, C., et al. (dir.), *Zonas protegidas y operaciones de mantenimiento de la paz: lecciones identificadas y lecciones aprendidas en conmemoración del 20º aniversario de la masacre de Srebrenica* (Madrid, Dykinson, S.L, 2016), p. 215–291.
- McCleery, K., « Guilty Pleas and Plea Bargaining at the Ad Hoc Tribunals: Lessons from Civil Law Systems », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 1099–1120.
- Meernik, J. D., *International Tribunals and Human Security* (Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, 2016), 204 pages.
- Mégret, F., et Nabil, N., « The International Criminal Court, the “Arab Spring” and its Aftermath », *Diritti umani e diritto internazionale*, vol. 10 (2016), p. 375–404.
- Meisenberg, S. M., et Stegmiller, I. (dir.), *The Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia: Assessing their Contribution to International Criminal Law* (La Haye, T. M. C. Asser Press, 2016), 612 pages.
- Merrylees, A., « Two-Thirds and You're Out? A Discussion of the Practice of Early Release at the ICTY and ICC, and the Goals of International Criminal Justice », *Amsterdam Law Forum*, vol. 8 (2016), p. 69–76.

- Milanović, M., « Establishing the Facts about Mass Atrocities: Accounting for the Failure of the ICTY to Persuade Target Audiences », *Georgetown Journal of International Law*, vol. 47 (2016), p. 1321–1378.
- Moffett, L., « Complementarity's Monopoly on Justice in Uganda: The International Criminal Court, Victims and Thomas Kwoyelo », *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 503–524.
- Newton, M. A., « How the International Criminal Court Threatens Treaty Norms », *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law*, vol. 49 (2016), p. 371–431.
- Niv, A., « The Schizophrenia of the “No Case to Answer” Test in International Criminal Tribunals », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 1121–1138.
- O'Keefe, R., « Response: “Quid,” Not “Quantum”: A Comment on “How the International Criminal Court Threatens Treaty Norms” », *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law*, vol. 49 (2016), p. 433–441.
- Omeri, S., « Guilty Pleas and Plea Bargaining at the ICC: *Prosecutor v. Ongwen* and Beyond », *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 480–502.
- Orihuela Calatayud, E., *et al.* (dir.), *Crímenes internacionales y justicia penal. Principales desafíos* (Cizur Menor, Navarre, Thomson Reuters Aranzadi, 2016), 317 pages.
- Oriolo, A., « The “Inherent Power” of Judges: An Ethical Yardstick to Assess Prosecutorial Conduct at the ICC », *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 304–322.
- Pavlopoulos, N., « South Africa's Failure to Arrest President Al-Bashir: An Analysis of the Supreme Court of Appeal's Decision and its Implications », *Comparative and International Law Journal of Southern Africa*, vol. 49 (2016), p. 164–181.
- Peterson, I., « Open Questions regarding Aiding and Abetting Liability in International Criminal Law: A Case Study of ICTY and ICTR Jurisprudence », *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 565–612.
- Reynolds, J., et Xavier, S., « “The Dark Corners of the World”: TWAAIL and International Criminal Justice », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 959–983.
- Robinson, D., et MacNeil, G., « The Tribunals and the Renaissance of International Criminal Law: Three Themes », *American Journal of International Law*, vol. 110 (2016), p. 191–211.
- Rogers, S., « Sexual Violence or Rape as a Constituent Act of Genocide: Lessons from the *Ad Hoc* Tribunals and a Prescription for the International Criminal Court », *George Washington International Law Review*, vol. 48 (2016), p. 265–314.
- Ruys, T., « “Creeping” Advisory Jurisdiction of International Courts and Tribunals? The Case of the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea », *Leiden Journal of International Law*, vol. 29 (2016), p. 155–176.
- Sainati, T. E., « Divided We Fall: How the International Criminal Court can Promote Compliance with International Law by Working with Regional Courts », *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law*, vol. 49 (2016), p. 191–243.
- Schack, M., et Kjeldgaard-Pedersen, A., « Striking the Balance between Custom and Justice: Creative Legal Reasoning by International Criminal Courts », *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 913–934.
- Schotel, B., « Multiple Legalities and International Criminal Tribunals: Juridical Versus Political Legality », in Rajkovic, N. M., Aalberts, T. E., et Gammeltoft-Hansen, T. (dir.),



- The Power of Legality: Practices of International Law and their Politics* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2016), p. 209–232.
- Shany, Y., *Questions of Jurisdiction and Admissibility before International Courts* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2016), 174 pages.
- Song, S., « International Criminal Court-Centred Justice and its Challenges », *Melbourne Journal of International Law*, vol. 17 (2016), p. 1–14.
- Stahn, C., « Response: The ICC, Pre-Existing Jurisdictional Treaty Regimes, and the Limits of the Nemo Dat Quod Non Habet Doctrine — A Reply to Michael Newton », *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law*, vol. 49 (2016), p. 443–454.
- Steinberg, R. H. (dir.), *Contemporary Issues Facing the International Criminal Court* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 471 pages.
- Svaček, O., « The International Criminal Court and Human Rights: Achievements and Challenges », in Vicente, D. M. (dir.), *Towards a Universal Justice? Putting International Courts and Jurisdictions into Perspective* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), p. 206–221.
- Tachou Sipowo, A., *La Cour pénale internationale entre protection des secrets et impératif d'effectivité* (Paris, Pedone, 2016), 414 pages.
- Treves, T., et Hinrichs, X., « The International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea and Customary International Law », in Lijnzaad, L., et Conseil de l'Europe (dir.), *The Judge and International Custom* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), p. 25–45.
- Ullrich, L., « Beyond the “Global-Local Divide”: Local Intermediaries, Victims and the Justice Contestations of the International Criminal Court », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 543–568.
- Vagias, M., « The Territorial Jurisdiction of the ICC for Core Crimes Committee through the Internet », *Journal of Conflict and Security Law*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 523–540.
- Varaki, M., « Introducing a Fairness-Based Theory of Prosecutorial Legitimacy before the International Criminal Court », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 769–788.
- Vialle, A., et al., « Peace through Justice: International Tribunals and Accountability for Wartime Environmental Damage », in Bruch, C., Muffett, C., et Nichols, S. (dir.), *Governance, Natural Resources, and Post-Conflict Peacebuilding* (Abingdon, Oxon Earthscan, 2016), p. 665–717.
- Vicente, D. M. (dir.), *Towards a Universal Justice? Putting International Courts and Jurisdictions into Perspective* (Leyde, Brill, 2016), 572 pages.
- Viebig, P., *Illicitly Obtained Evidence at the International Criminal Court* (La Haye, T. M. C. Asser Press, 2016), 291 pages.
- Wéry, M., et Deprez, C., « La responsabilité du supérieur hiérarchique devant la Cour pénale internationale : une première synthèse à la lumière du jugement prononcé dans l'affaire Bemba », *Revue de la faculté de droit de l'Université de Liège* (2016), p. 319–341.
- Wheeler, C. H., « No Longer just a Victim: The Impact of Victim Participation on Trial Proceedings at the International Criminal Court », *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 525–546.
- Widder, E., *A Fair Trial at the International Criminal Court? Human Rights Standards and Legitimacy: Procedural Fairness in the Context of Disclosure of Evidence and the Right to have Witnesses Examined* (Francfort-sur-le-Main, Peter Lang, 2016), 257 pages.

- Williams, S., « MH17 and the International Criminal Court: A Suitable Venue », *Melbourne Journal of International Law*, vol. 17 (2016), p. 210–237.
- . « The Specialist Chambers of Kosovo: The Limits of Internationalization? », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 25–51.
- Williams, S. et Palmer, E., « Transformative Reparations for Women and Girls at the Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia », *International Journal of Transitional Justice*, vol. 10 (2016), p. 311–331.
- Wilson, R. A., « Propaganda and History in International Criminal Trials », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 519–541.
- Yanev, L., « Co-Perpetration Responsibility in the Kosovo Specialist Chambers: Staying on the Beaten Path? », *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 101–121.
- Zakerhossein, M. H., « To Bury a Situation Alive: A Critical Reading of the ICC Prosecutor’s Statement on the ISIS Situation », *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 613–641.
- Zeegers, K., *International Criminal Tribunals and Human Rights Law: Adherence and Contextualization* (La Haye, Asser Press, 2016), 434 pages.
- Zomer, C. L., « L’“insoutenable légèreté” de l’hybride : À propos de trois arrêts récents de la CPI », *Revue de science criminelle et de droit pénal comparé* (2016), p. 685–699.

## 18. Cours d’eau internationaux

- Lasserre, F., et Vega Cárdenas, Y., « L’entrée en vigueur de la “Convention de New York” sur l’utilisation des cours d’eau internationaux : quel impact sur la gouvernance des bassins internationaux ? », *Revue québécoise de droit international*, vol. 29 (2016), p. 85–106.
- Quilléré-Majzoub, F., « “Vingt fois sur le métier remettez votre ouvrage” : le problème de la définition du concept de “ressource naturelle” en droit des cours d’eau internationaux », *Revue de droit international et de droit comparé*, vol. 93 (2016), p. 409–438.

## 19. Intervention et assistance humanitaire

- Barnes, R., et Tzevelekos, V. P. (dir.), *Beyond Responsibility to Protect: Generating Change in International Law* (Cambridge, Intersentia, 2016), 468 pages.
- Bartolini, G., « Strengthening Compliance with International Humanitarian Law: The Failed Proposal for a “Meeting of States on International Humanitarian Law” », *The Italian Yearbook of International Law*, vol. 25 (2016), p. 201–225.
- Beer, Y., « Humanity Considerations Cannot Reduce War’s Hazards Alone: Revitalizing the Concept of Military Necessity », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 26 (2016), p. 801–828.
- Bellamy, A. J., et Dunne, T. (dir.), *The Oxford Handbook of the Responsibility to Protect* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 920 pages.
- Bose, S., et Thakur, R., « The UN Secretary-General and the Forgotten Third R2P Responsibility », *Global Responsibility to Protect*, vol. 8 (2016), p. 343–365.

- Bott, G., « The Operations of the Islamic State and the Relevance of International Humanitarian Law », *Australian International Law Journal*, vol. 22 (2015–2016), p. 99–111.
- Bradley, M., *Protecting Civilians in War: The ICRC, UNHCR, and their Limitations in Internal Armed Conflicts* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 221 pages.
- Breau, S., *The Responsibility to Protect in International Law: An Emerging Paradigm Shift* (Londres et New York, Routledge, 2016), 305 pages.
- Cater, C., et Malone, D. M., « The Origins and Evolution of Responsibility to Protect at the UN », *International Relations*, vol. 30 (2016), p. 278–297.
- Corten, O., « The “Unwilling or Unable” Test: Has it Been, and Could it be, Accepted? », *Leiden Journal of International Law*, vol. 29 (2016), p. 777–799.
- Crossley, N., *Evaluating the Responsibility to Protect: Mass Atrocity Prevention as a Consolidating Norm in International Society* (New York, Routledge, 2016), 248 pages.
- Ferris, E., « International Responsibility, Protection and Displacement: Exploring the Connections between R2P, Refugees and Internally Displaced », *Global Responsibility to Protect*, vol. 8 (2016), p. 390–409.
- Garwood-Gowers, A., « China’s “Responsible Protection” Concept: Reinterpreting the Responsibility to Protect (R2P) and Military Intervention for Humanitarian Purposes », *Asian Journal of International Law*, vol. 6 (2016), p. 89–118.
- Gueldich, H., « La mise en œuvre du droit international humanitaire : une effectivité mouvementée », *Rivista Ordine internazionale e diritti umani (Rivista OIDU)* (2016), p. 100–119.
- Hewitt, S., « Overcoming the Gender Gap: The Possibilities of Alignment between the Responsibility to Protect and the Women, Peace and Security Agenda », *Global Responsibility to Protect*, vol. 8 (2016), p. 3–28.
- Iyi, J., *Humanitarian Intervention and the AU-ECOWAS Intervention Treaties Under International Law: Towards a Theory of Regional Responsibility to Protect* (Suisse, Springer, 2016), 337 pages.
- Kelly, L., « The Downfall of the Responsibility to Protect: How the Libyan and Syrian Crisis Secured the Fate of the Once-Emerging Norm », *Syracuse Journal of International Law and Commerce*, vol. 43 (2016), p. 381–409.
- Kenkel, K. M., et Stefan, C. G., « Brazil and the Responsibility while Protecting Initiative: Norms and the Timing of Diplomatic Support », *Global Governance: A Review of Multilateralism and International Organizations*, vol. 22 (2016), p. 41–58.
- Kingah, S., et Seiwert, E., « The Contested Emerging International Norm and Practice of a Responsibility to Protect: Where are Regional Organizations? », *North Carolina Journal of International Law and Commercial Regulation*, vol. 42 (2016), p. 115–189.
- De Koker, C., et Ruys, T., « Foregoing *Lex Specialis*? Exclusivist v. Symbiotic Approaches to the Concurrent Application of International Humanitarian and Human Rights Law », *Revue belge de droit international*, vol. 49 (2016), p. 244–292.
- Lane, L., « Mitigating Humanitarian Crises during Non-International Armed Conflicts — The Role of Human Rights and Ceasefire Agreements », *Journal of International Humanitarian Action*, vol. 1 (2016), p. 1–19.
- Longo, C., « R2P: An Efficient Means for Intervention in Humanitarian Crises — A Case Study of ISIL in Iraq and Syria », *George Washington International Law Review*, vol. 48 (2016), p. 893–918.

- Luck, E. C., « Getting There, Being There: The Dual Roles of the Special Adviser », in Bellamy, Alex J., et Dunne, Tim (dir.), *The Oxford Handbook of the Responsibility to Protect* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), p. 288–314.
- O'Donnell, T., et Allan, C., « A Duty of Solidarity?: The International Law Commission's Draft Articles and the Right to Offer Assistance in Disasters », in Breau, S. C., et Katja, S. (dir.), *Research Handbook on Disasters and International Law* (Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016), p. 453–477.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « Identifying Solidarity the ILC Project on the Protection of Persons in Disasters and Human Rights », *George Washington International Law Review*, vol. 49 (2016), p. 53–95.
- Powers, P. M., « Unilateral Humanitarian Intervention and Reform of the United Nations Veto: A Pilot Program Aimed Towards International Peace and Increased Security Worldwide », *Homeland & National Security Law Review*, vol. 4 (2016), p. 79–102.
- Ralph, J., et Gifkins, J., « The Purpose of United Nations Security Council Practice: Contesting Competence Claims in the Normative Context Created by the Responsibility to Protect », *European Journal of International Relations* (2016), p. 1–24.
- Richmond, S., « Why is Humanitarian Intervention so Divisive? Revisiting the Debate over the 1999 Kosovo Intervention », *Journal on the Use of Force and International Law*, vol. 3 (2016), p. 234–259.
- Rodley, N. S., « R2P and International Law: A Paradigm Shift? », in Bellamy, A. J., et Dunne, T. (dir.), *The Oxford Handbook of the Responsibility to Protect* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), p. 186–207.
- Rodríguez-Villasante y Prieto, J. L., « El derecho internacional humanitario ante desafíos que plantean los actores no estatales », *Revista española de derecho internacional*, vol. 68 (2016), p. 303–312.
- Rossi, C. R., « Impaled on Morton's Fork: Kosovo, Crimea, and the Sui Generis Circumstance », *Emory International Law Review*, vol. 30 (2016), p. 353–390.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « The International Community, South Sudan, and the Responsibility to Protect », *New York University Journal of International Law and Politics*, vol. 49 (2016), p. 129–180.
- Seow, C., « Chasing the Frontier in Humanitarian Intervention Law: The Case for *Aequitas Ad Bellum* », *Asian Journal of International Law*, vol. 6 (2016), p. 297–325.
- Sezgin, Z., et Dijkzeul, D. (dir.), *The New Humanitarians in International Practice: Emerging Actors and Contested Principles* (New York, Routledge, 2016), 384 pages.
- Sharan, A., « From Non-Intervention to R2P », *Indian Journal of International Law*, vol. 56 (2016), p. 81–94.
- Sharma, S. K., *The Responsibility to Protect and the International Criminal Court: Protection and Prosecution in Kenya* (New York, Routledge, 2016), 156 pages.
- Simon, S., « 15 Jahre Responsibility to Protect: Worin Liegt Die Schutzverantwortung? », *Archiv des Völkerrechts*, vol. 54 (2016), p. 1–40.
- Tocci, N., « On Power and Norms: Libya, Syria and the Responsibility to Protect », *Global Responsibility to Protect*, vol. 8 (2016), p. 51–75.
- de Wet, E., « The Modern Practice of Intervention by Invitation in Africa and its Implications for the Prohibition of the Use of Force », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 26 (2016), p. 979–998.

## 20. Compétence

- Swan, J., « Port State Measures: From Residual Port State Jurisdiction to Global Standards », *International Journal of Marine and Coastal Law*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 395–421.
- Ziaee, S. Y., « Jurisdictional Countermeasures Versus Extraterritoriality in International Law », *Russian Law Journal*, vol. 4 (2016), p. 27–45.

## 21. Droit des conflits armés

- Alexander, A., « International Humanitarian Law, Postcolonialism and the 1977 Geneva Protocol I », *Melbourne Journal of International Law*, vol. 17 (2016), p. 15–50.
- Bellamy, A. J., « The Humanisation of Security? Towards an International Human Protection Regime », *European Journal of International Security*, vol. 1 (2016), p. 112–133.
- Bettati, M., *Le droit de la guerre* (Paris, Odile Jacob, 2016), 448 pages.
- Corten, O., *La rébellion et le droit international : le principe de neutralité en tension* (Leyde, Brill, 2016), 376 pages.
- D'Aspremont, J., « Cyber Operations and International Law: An Interventionist Legal Thought », *Journal of Conflict and Security Law*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 575–593.
- De Guttery, A., Capone, F., et Paulussen, C. (dir.), *Foreign Fighters under International Law and Beyond* (La Haye, T. M. C. Asser Instituut, 2016).
- Dörmann, K., et al. (dir.), *Commentary on the First Geneva Convention: Convention (I) for the Amelioration of the Condition of the Wounded and Sick in Armed Forces in the Field* (Cambridge, New York, Port Melbourne, Delhi et Singapour, Cambridge University Press, 2016), 1344 pages.
- Egeland, K., « Legal Autonomous Weapon Systems under International Humanitarian Law », *Nordic Journal of International Law*, vol. 85 (2016), p. 89–118.
- Fisher, K. J., et Stefan, C. G., « The Ethics of International Criminal “Lawfare” », *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 237–257.
- Greenwood, C. J., « International Humanitarian Law in Context », in Cheng, C. (dir.), *A New International Legal Order: In Commemoration of the Tenth Anniversary of the Xiamen Academy of International Law* (Leyde et Boston, Brill, 2016), p. 312–329.
- Heikkinen, T., et Faix, M., « The Use of Human Shields and the Principle of Proportionality Under Law of Armed Conflict », *Czech Yearbook of Public and Private International Law*, vol. 7 (2016), p. 224–237.
- Heintze, H., et Thielbörger, P. (dir.), *From Cold War to Cyber War: The Evolution of the International Law of Peace and Armed Conflict Over the Last 25 Years* (Suisse, Springer, 2016), 280 pages.
- Kayton, Q., « Cultural Preservation in Areas of Military Conflict: Interpreting the Shortcomings and Success of International Laws », *Boston University International Law Journal*, vol. 34 (2016), p. 383–414.
- Kittrie, O. F., *Lawfare: Law as a Weapon of War* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 504 pages.
- Kjeldgaard-Pedersen, A., « A Ghost in the Ivory Tower: Positivism and International Legal Regulation of Armed Opposition Groups », *Journal of International Humanitarian Legal Studies*, vol. 7 (2016), p. 32–62.

- Klose, F., *The Emergence of Humanitarian Intervention: Ideas and Practice from the Nineteenth Century to the Present* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2016), 373 pages.
- Koutroulis, V., « The Fight against the Islamic State and *Jus in Bello* », *Leiden Journal of International Law*, vol. 29 (2016), p. 827–852.
- Lilly, D., « The United Nations as a Party to Armed Conflict: The Intervention Brigade of MONUSCO in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) », *Journal of International Peacekeeping*, vol. 20 (2016), p. 313–341.
- Livoja, R., et McCormack, T. (dir.), *Routledge Handbook of the Law of Armed Conflict* (Londres et New York, Routledge, 2016), 665 pages.
- Marquis Bissonnette, C., « The Definition of Civilians in Non-International Armed Conflicts », *Journal of International Humanitarian Legal Studies*, vol. 7 (2016), p. 129–155.
- Martin Beringola, A., « Ensuring Protection of Child Soldiers from Sexual Violence: Relevance of the Ntaganda Decision on the Confirmation of Charges in Narrowing the Gap », *Amsterdam Law Forum*, vol. 8 (2016), p. 58–68.
- Mastorodimos, K., *Armed Non-State Actors in International Humanitarian and Human Rights Law: Foundation and Framework of Obligations, and Rules on Accountability* (Surrey, Ashgate, 2016), 320 pages.
- Maurer, T., « “Proxies” and Cyberspace », *Journal of Conflict and Security Law*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 383–403.
- Mejri, K. (dir.), *Le droit international humanitaire dans la jurisprudence internationale* (Paris, L’Harmattan, 2016), 728 pages.
- Murray, D., *Human Rights Obligations of Non-State Armed Groups* (Londres, Bloomsbury Publishing, 2016), 360 pages.
- \_\_\_\_\_. *Practitioners’ Guide to Human Rights Law in Armed Conflict* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 360 pages.
- Mutuma, W. K., « The Problem of Civilian Contractors that Directly Participate in Hostilities », *African Yearbook on International Humanitarian Law*, (2016), p. 8–45.
- Nicholson, J., « Is Targeting Naked Child Soldiers a War Crime? », *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 134–157.
- Niebergall-Lackner, H., *Status and Treatment of Deserters in International Armed Conflicts* (Leyde, Brill, 2016), 274 pages.
- Nuñez-Mietz, F. G., « Lawyering Compliance with International Law: Legal Advisers in the “War on Terror” », *European Journal of International Security*, vol. 1 (2016), p. 215–238.
- Ond-Tonye, J. D. C., « Le droit de Genève dans l’étude du C.I.C.R. sur le droit international humanitaire coutumier : approche critique », *Revue juridique et politique des États francophones*, vol. 70 (2016), p. 331–347.
- Orend, B., « The Next Geneva Convention: Filling a Law-of-War Gap with Human Rights Values », in Ohlin, J. D. (dir.), *Theoretical Boundaries of Armed Conflict and Human Rights* (New York, Cambridge University Press, 2016), p. 363–397.
- Petkis, S., « Rethinking Proportionality in the Cyber Context », *Georgetown Journal of International Law*, vol. 47 (2016), p. 1431–1458.
- Power, S. R., « Starvation by Siege: Applying the Law of Armed Conflict in Syria », *Amsterdam Law Forum*, vol. 8 (2016), p. 1–22.

- Radin, S., et Coats, J., « Autonomous Weapon Systems and the Threshold of Non-International Armed Conflict », *Temple International and Comparative Law Journal*, vol. 30 (2016), p. 133–150.
- Rose, G., et Oswald, B. (dir.), *Detention of Non-State Actors Engaged in Hostilities: The Future Law* (Leyde et Boston, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 437 pages.
- Saxon, D., « Violations of International Humanitarian Law by Non-State Actors during Cyberwarfare: Challenges for Investigations and Prosecutions », *Journal of Conflict and Security Law*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 555–574.
- Schmalenbach, K., « Ideological Warfare against Cultural Property: UN Strategies and Dilemmas », *Max Planck Yearbook of United Nations Law*, vol. 19 (2016), p. 1–38.
- Svantesson, D. J. B., « The New Phenomenon of Cyber Law », in Hobe, S. (dir.), *Air Law, Space Law, Cyber Law: The Institute of Air and Space Law at Age 90* (Cologne, Carl Heymanns Verlag, 2016), p. 123–135.
- Tabak, S., « Ambivalent Enforcement: International Humanitarian Law at Human Rights Tribunals », *Michigan Journal of International Law*, vol. 37 (2016), p. 661–715.
- Trachtman, J. P., « Integrating Lawfare and Warfare », *Boston College International and Comparative Law Review*, vol. 39 (2016), p. 267–282.
- van Sliedregt, E., « Command Responsibility and Cyberattacks », *Journal of Conflict and Security Law*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 505–521.
- Watkin, K., *Fighting at the Legal Boundaries: Controlling the Use of Force in Contemporary Conflict* (New York, Oxford University Press, 2016), 728 pages.
- Weill, S., « Building Respect for IHL through National Courts », *International Review of the Red Cross*, vol. 97897 (2016), p. 859–879.
- Wouters, J., De Man, P., et Verlinden, N. (dir.), *Armed Conflicts and the Law* (Cambridge, Intersentia, 2016), 572 pages.

## 22. Droit de la mer

- Acikgonul, Y. E., « Reflections on the Principle of Non-Cut Off: A Growing Concept in Maritime Boundary Delimitation Law », *Ocean Development and International Law*, vol. 47 (2016), p. 52–71.
- Árnadóttir, S., « Termination of Maritime Boundaries Due to a Fundamental Change of Circumstances », *Utrecht Journal of International and European Law*, vol. 32 (2016), p. 94–111.
- Azmi, K., *et al.*, « Defining a Disproportionate Burden in Transboundary Fisheries: Lessons from International Law », *Marine Policy*, vol. 70 (2016), p. 164–173.
- Barnes, R., « The Proposed “LOSC” Implementation Agreement on Areas beyond National Jurisdiction and its Impact on International Fisheries Law », *International Journal of Marine and Coastal Law*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 583–619.
- Barrett, J., et Barnes, R. (dir.), *Law of the Sea: UNCLOS as a Living Treaty* (Londres, The British Institute of International and Comparative Law, 2016), 489 pages.
- Biad, A., et Edynak, E., « L’arbitrage relatif à l’aire marine protégée des Chagos (Maurice c. Royaume-Uni) du 18 mars 2015 : une décision prudente pour un litige complexe », *Revue québécoise de droit international*, vol. 29 (2016), p. 35–84.

- Bigagli, E., « The International Legal Framework for the Management of the Global Oceans Social-Ecological System », *Marine Policy*, vol. 68 (2016), p. 155–164.
- Busch, S. V., *Establishing Continental Shelf Limits Beyond 200 Nautical Miles by the Coastal State: A Right of Involvement for Other States?* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 451 pages.
- Cannizzaro, E., « Proportionality and Margin of Appreciation in the Whaling Case: Reconciling Antithetical Doctrines? », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 1061–1069.
- . « Whaling into a Spider Web? The Multiple International Restraints to States' Sovereignty », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 1025–1026.
- Casado Raigón, R., « La investigación científica en los espacios marinos reconocidos por el Derecho internacional », *Revista española de derecho internacional*, vol. 68 (2016), p. 183–206.
- Conde Pérez, E., « Retos jurídicos de las actividades de bioprospección marina: especial referencia a las zonas polares », *Revista española de derecho internacional*, vol. 68 (2016), p. 253–275.
- Dromgoole, S., « The Legal Regime of Wrecks of Warships and Other State-Owned Ships in International Law: The 2015 Resolution of the Institut de droit international », *Italian Yearbook of International Law*, vol. 25 (2016), p. 181–200.
- Elferink, A. G. O., « Arguing International Law in the South China Sea Disputes: The Haiyang Shiyou 981 and USS Lassen Incidents and the Philippines v. China Arbitration », *International Journal of Marine and Coastal Law*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 205–241.
- . « The Delimitation of the Continental Shelf Beyond 200 Nautical Miles in the Arctic Ocean: Recent Developments, Applicable Law and Possible Outcomes », in Nordquist, M. H., Moore, J. N., et Long, R. (dir.), *Challenges of the Changing Arctic: Continental Shelf, Navigation, and Fisheries* (Leyde et Boston, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), p. 53–80.
- Fang, Y., et al., « The Progress and Situation of Extended Continental Shelf Delineation Worldwide », *China Oceans Law Review* (2016), p. 15–36.
- Ferrara, P., *Sovereignty Disputes and Offshore Development of Oil and Gas* (Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2016), 187 pages.
- Forteau, M., « Regulating the Competition between International Courts and Tribunals: The Role of *Ratione Materiae* Jurisdiction Under Part XV of UNCLOS », *The Law & Practice of International Courts and Tribunals*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 190–206.
- Fry, J. D., et Amesheva, I., « Oil Pollution, and the Dynamic Relationship between International Environmental Law and the Law of the Sea », *Georgetown Journal of International Law*, vol. 47 (2016), p. 1001–1034.
- Gavrilov, V. V., « The LOSC and the Delimitation of the Continental Shelf in the Arctic Ocean », *International Journal of Marine and Coastal Law*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 315–338.
- Guggisberg, S., *Use of CITES for Commercially-Exploited Fish Species a Solution to Overexploitation and Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated Fishing?* (Cham, Springer, 2016), 453 pages.
- Gupta, K. H., *Sustainable Development Law: The Law for the Future* (Inde, Partridge, 2016), 218 pages.



- He, T. T., « Commentary on Award on Jurisdiction and Admissibility of the Philippines-Instituted Arbitration under Annex VII to the UNCLOS: A Discussion on Fact-Finding and Evidence », *The Chinese Journal of Global Governance*, vol. 2 (2016), p. 96–128.
- Jakobsen, I. U., *Marine Protected Areas in International Law: An Arctic Perspective* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 440 pages.
- Jefferies, C. S. G., *Marine Mammal Conservation and the Law of the Sea* (New York, Oxford University Press, 2016), 424 pages.
- Jenner, C. J., et Thuy, T. T., *The South China Sea: A Crucible of Regional Cooperation Or Conflict-Making Sovereignty Claims?* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2016), 370 pages.
- Jones, H., « Lines in the Ocean: Thinking with the Sea about Territory and International Law », *London Review of International Law*, vol. 4 (2016), p. 307–343.
- Karagiannis, S., « L'obligation de notifier les (risques de) dommages environnementaux selon la Convention des Nations Unies sur le droit de la mer », *Revue belge de droit international*, vol. 49 (2016), p. 138–243.
- Klein, N., « Expansions and Restrictions in the UNCLOS Dispute Settlement Regime: Lessons from Recent Decisions », *Chinese Journal of International Law*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 403–415.
- Kopela, S., « Port-State Jurisdiction, Extraterritoriality, and the Protection of Global Commons », *Ocean Development and International Law*, vol. 47 (2016), p. 89–130.
- Lando, M., « The Advisory Jurisdiction of the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea: Comments on the Request for an Advisory Opinion Submitted by the Sub-Regional Fisheries Commission », *Leiden Journal of International Law*, vol. 29 (2016), p. 441–461.
- L'Esperance, P., « In the Wake of the Erika: Flag State Responsibility for the International Obligations under the Law of the Sea », in Chircop, A., Coffen-Smout, S., et McConnell, M. L. (dir.), *Ocean Yearbook 30* (Brill Nijhoff, 2016), p. 505–540.
- Loja, M. H., « *Status Quo Post Bellum* and the Legal Resolution of the Territorial Dispute between China and Japan over the Senkaku/Diaoyu Islands », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 979–1004.
- Martin-Nagle, R., « Transboundary Offshore Aquifers — A Search for a Governance Regime », *Brill Research Perspectives in International Water Law*, vol. 1 (2016), p. 1–79.
- Mossop, J., *The Continental Shelf Beyond 200 Nautical Miles: Rights and Responsibilities* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 278 pages.
- Nguyen, L. N., « The Chagos Marine Protected Area Arbitration: Has the Scope of LOSC Compulsory Jurisdiction been Clarified? », *International Journal of Marine and Coastal Law*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 120–143.
- Nordquist, M. H., Moore, J. N., et Long, R. (dir.), *Challenges of the Changing Arctic: Continental Shelf, Navigation, and Fisheries* (Leyde et Boston, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 636 pages.
- Nuñez Lozano, María del Carmen, *Estudios jurídicos sobre el litoral* (Valence, Tirant lo Blanch, 2016), 603 pages.
- Okonkwo, T., « Managing the Ocean Commons beyond National Jurisdiction », *China Oceans Law Review* (2016), p. 56–82.
- Pushkareva, E., « Concepts of the Legal Status of the Arctic », *Max Planck Yearbook of United Nations Law*, vol. 19 (2016), p. 363–385.

- Rao, P. S., « The South China Sea Arbitration (the Philippines v. China): Assessment of the Award on Jurisdiction and Admissibility », *Chinese Journal of International Law*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 265–307.
- Sander, G., « International Legal Obligations for Environmental Impact Assessment and Strategic Environmental Assessment in the Arctic Ocean », *International Journal of Marine and Coastal Law*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 88–119.
- Schoenbaum, T. J., « The South China Sea Decision: What Happens Next? », *The Journal of International Maritime Law*, vol. 22 (2016), p. 291–303.
- Schofield, C., et Schofield, R., « Testing the Waters: Charting the Evolution of Claims to and from Low-Tide Elevations and Artificial Islands under the Law of the Sea », *Asia-Pacific Journal of Ocean Law and Policy*, vol. 1 (2016), p. 37–67.
- Serdy, A., *The New Entrants Problem in International Fisheries Law* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2016), 485 pages.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « Implementing Article 28 of the UN Fish Stocks Agreements: The First Review of a Conservation Measure in the South Pacific Regional Fisheries Management Organisation », *Ocean Development and International Law*, vol. 47 (2016), p. 1–28.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « The Shaky Foundations of the FAO Port State Measures Agreement: How Watertight is the Legal Seal against Access for Foreign Fishing Vessels? », *International Journal of Marine and Coastal Law*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 422–441.
- Talmon, S., « The Chagos Marine Protected Area Arbitration: Expansion of the Jurisdiction of UNCLOS Part XV Courts and Tribunals », *International & Comparative Law Quarterly*, vol. 65 (2016), p. 927–951.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « The South China Sea Arbitration: Observations on the Award on Jurisdiction and Admissibility », *Chinese Journal of International Law*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 309–391.
- Tanaka, Y., « Reflections on the *Philippines/China* Arbitration: Award on Jurisdiction and Admissibility », *The Law & Practice of International Courts and Tribunals*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 305–325.
- Townsend-Gault, I., « Sustainable and Sound: First Principles for Addressing Maritime Jurisdictional Issues and Disputes », *Asia-Pacific Journal of Ocean Law and Policy*, vol. 1 (2016), p. 11–36.
- Treves, T., « The International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea and Other Law of the Sea Jurisdictions (2015) », *Italian Yearbook of International Law*, vol. 25 (2016), p. 363–387.
- Tzeng, P., « Supplemental Jurisdiction under UNCLOS », *Houston Journal of International Law*, vol. 38 (2016), p. 499–575.
- von Mühlendahl, P., *L'équidistance dans la délimitation des frontières maritimes : Étude de la jurisprudence internationale* (Paris, Pedone, 2016), 440 pages.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « Tiny Land Features in Recent Maritime Delimitation Case Law », *International Journal of Marine and Coastal Law*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 1–31.
- Whomersley, C., « The South China Sea: The Award of the Tribunal in the Case Brought by Philippines against China — A Critique », *Chinese Journal of International Law*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 239–264.
- Wolfrum, R., « Conciliation under the UN Convention on the Law of the Sea », in Tomuschat, C., Pisillo Mazzeschi, R., et Thürer, D. (dir.), *Conciliation in International Law: The OSCE Court of Conciliation and Arbitration* (Leyde et Boston, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), p. 171–192.

- Wu, S., et Zou, K., *Arbitration Concerning the South China Sea: Philippines versus China* (Londres, Routledge, 2016), 290 pages.
- Zambo Mveng, J. C., « Le droit extérieur à la Convention des Nations Unies sur le droit de la mer dans les arrêts du T.I.D.M », *Revue belge de droit international*, vol. 49 (2016), p. 376–404.

### 23. Droit des traités

- Abašidze, A., « The Process of Strengthening the Human Rights Treaty Body System », *Journal of Eurasian Law*, vol. 9 (2016), p. 1–13.
- Ascensio, H., « Article 31 of the Vienna Conventions on the Law of Treaties and International Investment Law », *ICSID Review*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 366–387.
- Berner, K., « Authentic Interpretation in Public International Law », *Zeitschrift für ausländisches öffentliches Recht und Völkerrecht (ZaöRV)*, vol. 76 (2016), p. 845–878.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « Judicial Dialogue and Treaty Interpretation: Revisiting the “Cocktail Party” of International Law », *Archiv des Völkerrechts*, vol. 54 (2016), pp. 67–90.
- Crootof, R., « Change without Consent: How Customary International Law Modifies Treaties », *Yale Journal of International Law*, vol. 41 (2016), p. 237–299.
- Distefano, G., Gaggioli, G., et Hêche, A. (dir.), *La Convention de Vienne de 1978 sur la succession d'États en matière de traités : Commentaire article par article et études thématiques* (Bruxelles, Bruylant, 2016), 2188 pages.
- Djeflal, C., *Static and Evolutive Treaty Interpretation: A Functional Reconstruction* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2016), 418 pages.
- Durkee, M. J., « The Business of Treaties », *UCLA Law Review*, vol. 63 (2016), p. 264–321.
- Hulme, M. H., « Preambles in Treaty Interpretation », *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, vol. 164 (2016), p. 1281–1343.
- Ishikawa, T., « Provisional Application of Treaties at the Crossroads between International and Domestic Law », *ICSID Review*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 270–289.
- Kitharidis, S., « The Power of Article 103 of the UN Charter on Treaty Obligations: Can the Security Council Authorise Non-Compliance of Human Rights Treaty Obligations in United Nations Peacekeeping Operations? », *Journal of International Peacekeeping*, vol. 20 (2016), p. 111–131.
- Koremenos, B., *The Continent of International Law: Explaining Agreement Design* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2016), 437 pages.
- Kowalczyk, L., « The Nuclear Option: Domestic Treaty Withdrawal Mechanisms », *Virginia Journal of International Law*, vol. 56 (2016), p. 745–764.
- Li, J., « Equal or Unequal: Seeking a New Paradigm for the Misused Theory of “Unequal Treaties” », *Houston Journal of International Law*, vol. 38 (2016), p. 465–498.
- Mbengue, M. M., « Rules of Interpretation (Article 32 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties) », *ICSID Review*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 388–412.
- Orakhelashvili, A., « Article 30 of the 1969 Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties: Application of the Successive Treaties Relating to the Same Subject-Matter », *ICSID Review*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 344–365.

- Quayle, P., « Treaties of a Particular Type: The ICJ's Interpretative Approach to the Constituent Instruments of International Organizations », *Leiden Journal of International Law*, vol. 29 (2016), p. 853–877.
- Rachovitsa, A., « Treaty Clauses and Fragmentation of International Law: Applying the More Favourable Protection Clause in Human Rights Treaties », *Human Rights Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 77–101.
- Ramaj, E., « Binding International Norms: *Jus Cogens* », *European Journal of Sustainable Development*, vol. 5 (2016), p. 318–324.
- Triantafylou, E. E., « Contemporaneity and Evolutive Interpretation under the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties », *ICSID Review*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 1–32.
- Vargas, E. S., « In Quest of the Practical Value of *Jus Cogens* Norms », *Netherlands Yearbook of International Law*, vol. 46 (2016), p. 211–239.
- Voon, T., et Mitchell, A. D., « Denunciation, Termination and Survival: The Interplay of Treaty Law and International Investment Law », *ICSID Review*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 413–433.

## 24. Adhésion et représentation

- Garcia, T., *La Palestine : d'un État non membre de l'Organisation des Nations Unies à un État souverain ?* (Paris, Pedone, 2016), 220 pages.

## 25. Clause de la nation la plus favorisée

- Šturma, P., « Goodbye, *Maffezini*? On the Recent Developments of Most-Favoured-Nation Clause Interpretation in International Investment Law », *The Law & Practice of International Courts and Tribunals*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 81–101.

## 26. Ressources naturelles

- Arden, J., « Water for All? Developing a Human Right to Water in National and International Law », *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, vol. 65 (2016), p. 771–789.
- Boisson de Chazournes, L., et Leb, C., « Political Economy and International Water Law: Political Economy Induced Changes to the Uptake of Benefit Sharing in International Water Law », in Fabbriotti, A. (dir.), *The Political Economy of International Law: A European Perspective* (Northampton (MA), Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016), p. 356–383.
- Morgera, E., et Kulovesi, K. (dir.), *Research Handbook on International Law and Natural Resources* (Cheltenham et Northampton, Edward Elgar, 2016), 584 pages.
- Morgera, E., « The Need for an International Legal Concept of Fair and Equitable Benefit Sharing », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 353–384.
- Ong, D. M., « Public Accountability for Private International Financing of Natural Resource Development Projects: The UN Rule of Law Initiative and the Equator Principles », *Nordic Journal of International Law*, vol. 85 (2016), p. 201–233.
- Querol, M., *Freshwater Boundaries Revisited: Recent Developments in International River and Lake Delimitation* (Leyde et Boston, Brill, 2016), 89 pages.

Romanin Jacur, F., Bonfanti, A., et Seatzu, F. (dir.), *Natural Resources Grabbing: An International Law Perspective* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 462 pages.

Tinker, C., « The Guarani Aquifer Accord: Cooperation in South America towards Prevention of Harm and Sustainable, Equitable Use of Underground Transboundary Water », *The Law & Practice of International Courts and Tribunals*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 249–263.

## 27. Organisations non gouvernementales

Ryngaert, C., et Noortmann, M. (dir.), *Non-State Actor Dynamics in International Law: From Law-Takers to Law-Makers* (Londres, Routledge, 2016), 222 pages.

Ryngaert, C., « Non-State Actors: Carving Out a Space in a State-Centred International Legal System », *Netherlands International Law Review*, vol. 63 (2016), p. 183–195.

## 28. Territoires non autonomes

Ryngaert, C., « Non-State Actors: Carving Out a Space in a State-Centred International Legal System », *Netherlands International Law Review*, vol. 63 (2016), p. 183–195.

## 29. Droit de l'espace

Brisibe, T., « Parliamentary Diplomacy in the United Nations and Progressive Development of Space Law », *European Journal of Law Reform*, vol. 18 (2016), p. 6–34.

Di Pippo, S., « Registration of Space Objects with the United Nations Secretary-General », *Zeitschrift für Luft und Weltraumrecht = German Journal of Air and Space Law = Revue allemande de droit aérien et spatial*, vol. 65 (2016), p. 364–374.

Kurlekar, A., « Space — The Final Frontier: Analysing Challenges of Dispute Resolution Relating to Outer Space », *Journal of International Arbitration*, vol. 33 (2016), p. 379–416.

Lefebvre, R., « Relaunching the Moon Agreement », *Air & Space Law*, vol. 41 (2016), p. 41–48.

Zhao, Y., « The Role of Bilateral and Multilateral Agreements in International Space Cooperation », *Space Policy*, vol. 36 (2016), p. 12–18.

## 30. Règlement pacifique des différends

Aust, H. P., et Nolte, G., *The Interpretation of International Law by Domestic Courts: Uniformity, Diversity, Convergence* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 384 pages.

Fontanelli, F., et Busco, P., « The Function of Procedural Justice in International Adjudication », *The Law & Practice of International Courts and Tribunals*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 1–23.

Justenhoven, H., et O'Connell, M. E., *Peace through Law: Reflections on Pacem in Terris from Philosophy, Law, Theology, and Political Science* (Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2016), 284 pages.

Lemnitzer, J. M., « International Commissions of Inquiry and the North Sea Incident: A Model for a MH17 Tribunal? », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 923–944.

- McLaughlin, R., et Nasu, H., « The Law's Potential to Break — Rather than Entrench — the South China Sea Deadlock? », *Journal of Conflict and Security Law*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 305–337.
- Mistry, H., « The International Court of Justice's Judgment in the Final Balkans Genocide Convention Case », *Human Rights Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 357–369.
- Nicolini, M., Palermo, F., et Milano, E., *Law, Territory and Conflict Resolution: Law as a Problem and Law as a Solution* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 371 pages.
- Polymenopoulou, E., « Collective Cultural Claims before the International Court of Justice », in Jakubowski, A. (dir.), *Cultural Rights as Collective Rights: An International Law Perspective* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), p. 288–312.
- Vukas, B., et al. (dir.), *Contemporary Developments in International Law: Essays in Honour of Budislav Vukas* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 916 pages.

### 31. Maintien de la paix et activités connexes

- Cahill-Ripley, A., « Reclaiming the Peacebuilding Agenda: Economic and Social Rights as a Legal Framework for Building Positive Peace — A Human Security Plus Approach to Peacebuilding », *Human Rights Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 223–246.
- Chang, K. C., « When Do-Gooders Do Harm: Accountability of the United Nations Toward Third Parties in Peace Operations », *Journal of International Peacekeeping*, vol. 20 (2016), p. 86–110.
- Foley, C., « The Human Rights Obligations of UN Peacekeepers », *Global Responsibility to Protect*, vol. 8 (2016), p. 431–450.
- Genser, J., et Garvie, C., « Contracting for Stability: The Potential Use of Private Military Contractors as a United Nations Rapid-Reaction Force », *Chicago Journal of International Law*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 439–481.
- Gray, C., « The 2015 Report on *Uniting our Strengths for Peace*: A New Framework for UN Peacekeeping? », *Chinese Journal of International Law*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 193–213.
- Grenfell, K., « Partnerships in UN Peacekeeping », *International Organizations Law Review*, vol. 13 (2016), p. 55–73.
- Hirschmann, G., « Accountability Dynamics and the Emergence of an International Rule of Law for Detentions in Multilateral Peace Operations », in Heupel, M., et Reinold, T. (dir.), *The Rule of Law in Global Governance* (Basingstoke, Palgrave Macmillan, 2016), p. 123–147.
- Kanetake, M., « Subsidiarity in the Maintenance of International Peace and Security », *Law and Contemporary Problems*, vol. 79 (2016), p. 165–187.
- Kearney, D., « The Slippery Slope of UN Peacekeeping: Offensive Peacekeeping in Congo and Beyond », *Max Planck Yearbook of United Nations Law*, vol. 19 (2016), p. 100–141.
- López Jiménez, J. A., « Srebrenica: el análisis de las responsabilidades a la luz de los diversos informes oficiales », in Tomás Morales, S.d., et al. (dir.), *Zonas protegidas y operaciones de mantenimiento de la paz: lecciones identificadas y lecciones aprendidas en conmemoración del 20º aniversario de la masacre de Srebrenica* (Madrid, Dykinson, S. L., 2016), p. 75–118.
- Murphy, R., « UN Peacekeeping in the Democratic Republic of the Congo and the Protection of Civilians », *Journal of Conflict and Security Law*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 209–246.

- Pergantis, V., « UN-AU Partnerships in International Peace and Security: Allocation of Responsibility in Case of UN Support to Regional Missions », *International Organizations Law Review*, vol. 13 (2016), p. 74–79.
- Rhoads, E. P., *Taking Sides in Peacekeeping: Impartiality and the Future of the United Nations* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 248 pages.
- Strauss, E., « A Short Story of a Long Effort: The United Nations and the Prevention of Mass Atrocities », in Rosenberg, S. P., Galis, T., et Zucker, A. (dir.), *Reconstructing Atrocity Prevention* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2016), p. 428–449.
- Tomás Morales, S. D., et al., *Zonas protegidas y operaciones de mantenimiento de la paz: lecciones identificadas y lecciones aprendidas en conmemoración del 20º aniversario de la masacre de Srebrenica* (Madrid, Dykinson, 2016), 292 pages.
- Wijesundara, N., « Who Will Guard the Guardian of the International Peace and Security? Judicial Review of Chapter VII Resolutions », *Indonesian Journal of International & Comparative Law*, vol. 3 (2016), p. 373–434.

### 32. Piraterie

- Karim, S., *Maritime Terrorism and the Role of Judicial Institutions in the International Legal Order* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 202 pages.
- Van Hespén, I., « Developing the Concept of Maritime Piracy: A Comparative Legal Analysis of International Law and Domestic Criminal Legislation », *International Journal of Maritime and Coastal Law*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 279–314.

### 33. Questions politiques et de sécurité

- Alshdaifat, S. A., et Silverburg, S. R., « Syrians Displaced by Civil Conflict: What are the Implications from International Law », *Connecticut Journal of International Law*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 141–162.
- Bartels, R., et Fortin K., « Law, Justice and a Potential Security Gap: The “Organization” Requirement in International Humanitarian Law and International Criminal Law », *Journal of Conflict and Security Law*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 29–48.
- Blois, M. D., « The Unique Character of the Mandate for Palestine », *Israel Law Review*, vol. 49 (2016), p. 365–389.
- Brereton, V., et Ayuko, B., « Negotiating Security: Sudan’s Comprehensive Peace Agreement and Kenya’s Political Accord », *Global Governance: A Review of Multilateralism and International Organizations*, vol. 22 (2016), p. 135–153.
- Cefo, E., « Corporate Human Rights Violations in the Occupied Palestinian Territories: Is there any Recourse? », *Georgetown Journal of International Law*, vol. 47 (2016), p. 793–832.
- Emery, M., « Ukraine: Analyzing the Revolution and NATO Action in Light of the U.N. Charter and Nicaragua », *Emory International Law Review*, vol. 30 (2016), p. 433–473.
- Feinäugle, C. A., « UN Smart Sanctions and the UN Declaration on the Rule of Law », in Happold, M., et Eden, P. (dir.), *Economic Sanctions and International Law* (Oxford et Portland (OR), Hart Publishing, 2016), p. 113–134.

- Happold, M., et Eden, P. (dir.), *Economic Sanctions and International Law* (Oxford et Portland (OR), Hart Publishing, 2016), 262 pages.
- Kowalski, M., et Machado, S., « The United Nations Sanctions Regimes and a Judicialized European Union Perspective », in Vicente, D. M. (dir.), *Towards a Universal Justice? Putting International Courts and Jurisdictions into Perspective* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), p. 476–494.
- Newby, V. F., « The Pieces that make the Peace: The Micro-Processes of International Security », *International Peacekeeping*, vol. 23 (2016), p. 133–157.
- Nichols, S. S., et Moumin, M. A., « The Role of Environmental Law in Post-Conflict Peacebuilding », in Bruch, C., Muffett, C., et Nichols, S. (dir.), *Governance, Natural Resources, and Post-Conflict Peacebuilding* (Londres, Earthscan from Routledge, 2016), p. 429–459.
- Ramcharan, B. G., *United Nations Protection of Humanity and its Habitat: A New International Law of Security and Protection* (Leyde, Brill, 2016), 278 pages.
- Ronzitti, N., *Coercive Diplomacy, Sanctions and International Law* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 315 pages.
- Scharf, M. P., « How the War Against ISIS Changed International Law », *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, vol. 48 (2016), p. 15–67.
- Shaw, M., « The League of Nations Mandate System and the Palestine Mandate: What did and does it say about International Law and What did and does it say about Palestine? », *Israel Law Review*, vol. 49 (2016), p. 287–308.
- Willmot, H., Mamiya, R., et Sheeran, S., *Protection of Civilians* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 452 pages.
- White Jr., T. W., « Referendum in Crimea: Developing International Law on “Territorial Realignment” Referendums », *Houston Journal of International Law*, vol. 38 (2016), p. 843–886.
- Yee, S., « The Recognition of the Existence of a Dispute regarding Sovereignty over Diaoyu Dao and some Implications for the Parties and Other States, especially the United States », *Chinese Journal of International Law*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 849–858.

### 34. Développement progressif et codification du droit international

- Bradley, C. A., *Custom's Future: International Law in a Changing World* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2016), 379 pages.
- Cançado Trindade, A. A., « The Contribution of Latin American Legal Doctrine to the Progressive Development of International Law », *Recueil des cours*, vol. 376 (2016), p. 9–92.
- Cassella, S., et Delabie, L., *Faut-il prendre le droit international au sérieux ? Journée d'études en l'honneur de Pierre Michel Eisemann* (Paris, Pedone, 2016), 274 pages.
- Chauhan, A. H., *International Law in Globalized World* (New Delhi: A.K. Publications, 2016), 216 pages.
- Collins, R., « Mapping the Terrain of Institutional “Lawmaking”: Form and Function in International Law », in Fahey, E. (dir.), *The Actors of Postnational Rule-Making: Contemporary Challenges of European and International Law* (Londres et New York, Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group, 2016), p. 27–46.



- Criddle, E. J., et Fox-Decent, E., *Fiduciaries of Humanity: How International Law Constitutes Authority* (Oxford et New York, Oxford University Press, 2016), 382 pages.
- Dellaux, J., « Contribution pour une (re)définition du concept de normativité en droit international. Questionnements autour d'instruments de *soft law* : les décisions des Conférences des Parties », *Revue québécoise de droit international* (2016), p. 135–157.
- Den Heijer, M., et van der Wilt, H., « *Jus Cogens* and the Humanization and Fragmentation of International Law », *Netherlands Yearbook of International Law*, vol. 46 (2016), p. 3–21.
- Doubbé-Billé, S., et Thouvenin, J., *Ombres et lumières du droit international : Mélanges en l'honneur du professeur Habib Slim* (Paris, Éditions Pedone, 2016), 515 pages.
- Dudley, L., « Until We Achieve Universal Peace: Implications of the International Law Commission's Draft Articles on the "Effects of Armed Conflict on Treaties" », *American University National Security Law Brief*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 13–36.
- Fassbender, B., « International Constitutional Law: Written or Unwritten? », *Chinese Journal of International Law*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 489–515.
- Fernández Arribas, G., « The Institutionalization of a Process: The Development of the Kimberley Process towards an International Organization », *International Organizations Law Review*, vol. 13 (2016), p. 308–340.
- Green, J. A., *The Persistent Objector Rule in International Law* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 270 pages.
- Hulme, K., « The ILC's Work Stream on Protection of the Environment in Relation to Armed Conflict », *Questions of International Law* (2016), p. 27–41.
- Kamto, M., « L'expulsion des étrangers en droit international à la lumière de la codification par la Commission du droit international », *Revue belge de droit international*, vol. 49 (2016), p. 103–137.
- Kilcup, J., « Proportionality in Customary International Law: An Argument against Aspirational Laws of War (Comment) », *Chicago Journal of International Law*, vol. 17 (2016), p. 244–272.
- Koh, H. H., « The Legal Adviser's Duty to Explain », *Yale Journal of International Law*, vol. 41 (2016), p. 189–211.
- Kolb, R., *Theory of International Law* (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 2016), 512 pages.
- Linderfalk, U., « Understanding the *Jus Cogens* Debate: The Pervasive Influence of Legal Positivism and Legal Idealism », *Netherlands Yearbook of International Law*, vol. 46 (2016), p. 51–84.
- Mathias, S., « The Works of the International Law Commission on Identification of Customary International Law: A View from the Perspective of the Office of Legal Affairs », *Chinese Journal of International Law*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 17–31.
- Messenger, G., « The Development of International Law and the Role of Causal Language », *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, vol. 34 (2016), p. 110–134.
- Meyer, T., « Shifting Sands: Power, Uncertainty and the Form of International Legal Cooperation », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 161–185.
- Mohamad, R., « Some Reflections on the International Law Commission Topic "Identification of Customary International Law" », *Chinese Journal of International Law*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 41–46.

- Pantazopoulos, S., « Protection of the Environment during Armed Conflicts: An Appraisal of the ILC's Work », *Questions of International Law (QIL)*, vol. 34 (2016), p. 7–26.
- Parisi, F., et Pi, D., « The Emergence and Evolution of Customary International Law », in Kontorovich, E., et Parisi, F. (dir.), *Economic Analysis of International Law* (Northampton (MA), Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016), p. 155–177.
- Perišić, P., « Some Remarks on the International Legal Personality of Individuals », *Comparative and International Law Journal of Southern Africa*, vol. 49 (2016), p. 223–246.
- Peters, A., *Beyond Human Rights: The Legal Status of the Individual in International Law* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2016), 644 pages.
- Roughan, N., « Mind the Gaps: Authority and Legality in International Law », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 329–351.
- Seatzu, F., et Pintus, E., « L'Organisation internationale de la Francophonie comme sujet du droit international public », *Revue de droit international et de droit comparé*, vol. 93 (2016), p. 31–52.
- Sinkondo, M., « Daech est-il un État ? Retour critique sur la théorie néopositiviste des éléments constitutifs de l'État à l'épreuve de l'actualité internationale », *Revue de droit international et de droit comparé*, vol. 93 (2016), p. 239–258.
- Steinbach, A., « The Trend towards Non-Consensualism in Public International Law: A (Behavioural) Law and Economics Perspective », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 643–668.
- Tomka, P., « Customary International Law in the Jurisprudence of the World Court: The Increasing Relevance of Codification », in Lijnzaad, L., et Conseil de l'Europe (dir.), *The Judge and International Custom/Le juge et la coutume internationale* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), p. 1–24.
- Tzevelekos, V. P., « *Juris Dicere*: Custom as a Matrix, Custom as a Norm, and the Role of Judges and (their) Ideology in Custom Making », in Rajkovic, N. M., Aalberts, T. E., et Gammeltoft-Hansen, T. (dir.), *The Power of Legality: Practices of International Law and their Politics* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2016), p. 188–208.
- Voyiakis, E., « A Disaggregative View of Customary International Law-Making », *Leiden Journal of International Law*, vol. 29 (2016), p. 365–388.
- Wood, M., « The Current Work of the International Law Commission and the Role of Judges in Relation to International Custom », in Lijnzaad, L., et Conseil de l'Europe (dir.), *The Judge and International Custom = Le juge et la coutume internationale* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), p. 180–189.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « The Present Position within the ILC on the Topic "Identification of Customary International Law": In Partial Response to Sienho Yee, Report on the ILC Project on "Identification of Customary International Law" », *Chinese Journal of International Law*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 3–15.
- Worster, W. T., « Relative International Legal Personality of Non-State Actors », *Brooklyn Journal of International Law*, vol. 42 (2016), p. 207–274.
- Yee, S., « A Reply to Sir Michael Wood's Response to AALCOIEG's Work and My Report on the ILC Project on Identification of Customary International Law », *Chinese Journal of International Law*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 33–40.

### 35. Reconnaissance de l'État

- Czapliński, W., « Recognition and International Legal Personality of Non-State Actors », *Pécs Journal of International and European Law* (2016), p. 7–17.
- Ingelevič-Citak, M., « International Status and Legal Capacity of Unrecognized “States” from the Standpoint of International Public Law », *Osteuropa-Recht*, vol. 62 (2016), p. 161–178.
- Mindua, A. K., « Statehood of Palestine, the United Nations and the International Criminal Court », *L'Observateur des Nations Unies*, vol. 40 (2016), p. 111–129.
- Tourme-Jouannet, E., *et al.* (dir.), *Droit international et reconnaissance* (Paris, Pedone, 2016), 370 pages.

### 36. Réfugiés et déplacés

- Abou-El-Wafa, A., « The Right to Asylum between Islamic Shari'ah and International Refugee Law: Consequences for the Present Refugee Crisis », *Max Planck Yearbook of United Nations Law*, vol. 19 (2016), p. 305–336.
- Bond, J., et Krech, M., « Excluding the Most Vulnerable: Application of Article 1 F(a) of the Refugee Convention to Child Soldiers », *International Journal of Human Rights*, vol. 20 (2016), p. 567–588.
- Cantor, D. J., et Burson, B., *Human Rights and the Refugee Definition: Comparative Legal Practice and Theory* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 412 pages.
- Carr, B., « Refugees without Borders: Legal Implications of the Refugee Crisis in the Schengen Zone », *Michigan Journal of International Law*, vol. 38 (2016), p. 137–160.
- Chetail, V., « Are Refugee Rights Human Rights? An Unorthodox Questioning of the Relations between Refugee Law and Human Rights Law », in Chetail, V. (dir.), *International Law and Migration* (Cheltenham et Northampton, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016), p. 597–650.
- Costello, C., et Foster, M., « Non-Refoulement as Custom and *Jus Cogens*? Putting the Prohibition to the Test », *Netherlands Yearbook of International Law*, vol. 46 (2016), p. 273–327.
- Durieux, J., « The Duty to Rescue Refugees », *International Journal of Refugee Law*, vol. 28 (2016), p. 637–655.
- Foster, M., et Lambert, H., « Statelessness as a Human Rights Issue: A Concept Whose Time Has Come », *International Journal of Refugee Law*, vol. 28 (2016), p. 564–584.
- Fripp, E., « Deprivation of Nationality, “The Country of His Nationality” in Article 1A(2) of the Refugee Convention, and Non-Recognition in International Law », *International Journal of Refugee Law*, vol. 28 (2016), p. 453–479.
- Gilbert, G., « UNHCR and Courts: *amicus curiae* ... *sed amica est*? », *International Journal of Refugee Law*, vol. 28 (2016), p. 623–636.
- Goodwin-Gill, G. S., « The Movements of People between States in the 21st Century: An Agenda for Urgent Institutional Change », *International Journal of Refugee Law*, vol. 28 (2016), p. 679–694.

- Hassine, K., et Leckie, S., *The United Nations Principles on Housing and Property Restitution for Refugees and Displaced Persons: A Commentary* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 402 pages.
- Hathaway, J. C., « A Reconsideration of the Underlying Premise of Refugee Law », in Chetail, V. (dir.), *International Law and Migration* (Cheltenham et Northampton, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016), p. 331–385.
- Ineli-Ciger, M., « A Temporary Protection Regime in Line with International Law: Utopia or Real Possibility? », *International Community Law Review*, vol. 18 (2016), p. 278–316.
- Kinchin, N., « The Implied Human Rights Obligations of UNHCR », *International Journal of Refugee Law*, vol. 28 (2016), p. 251–275.
- Lenzerini, F., « Sixty-Five Years and It Shows Them All: Proposals for Amending the 1951 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees », *Italian Yearbook of International Law*, vol. 25 (2016), p. 55–83.
- Lülf, C., « Non-Refoulement in International Refugee Law », Human Rights Law and Asylum Laws, in Heintze, H., et Thielbörger, P. (dir.), *From Cold War to Cyber War* (Cham, Springer, 2016), p. 167–186.
- Mann, I., *Humanity at Sea: Maritime Migration and the Foundations of International Law* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2016), 266 pages.
- McAdam, J., « Swimming Against the Tide: Why a Climate Change Displacement Treaty is Not the Answer », in Chetail, V. (dir.), *International Law and Migration* (Cheltenham et Northampton, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2016), p. 571–596.
- Ogg, K., « Protection from “Refuge”: On what Legal Grounds Will a Refugee be saved from Camp Life? », *International Journal of Refugee Law*, vol. 28 (2016), p. 384–415.
- Orchard, P., « The Contested Origins of Internal Displacement », *International Journal of Refugee Law*, vol. 28 (2016), p. 210–233.
- Scott, M., « Finding Agency in Adversity: Applying the Refugee Convention in the Context of Disasters and Climate Change », *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, vol. 35 (2016), p. 26–57.
- Seet, M., « The Origins of UNHCR’s Global Mandate on Statelessness », *International Journal of Refugee Law*, vol. 28 (2016), p. 7–24.
- Stevens, D., « Rights, Needs or Assistance? The Role of the UNHCR in Refugee Protection in the Middle East », *International Journal of Human Rights*, vol. 20 (2016), p. 264–283.
- Türk, V., et Garlick, M., « From Burdens and Responsibilities to Opportunities: The Comprehensive Refugee Response Framework and a Global Compact on Refugees », *International Journal of Refugee Law*, vol. 28 (2016), p. 656–678.

### 37. Droit d’asile

- Chetail, V., « Sovereignty and Migration in the Doctrine of the Law of Nations: An Intellectual History of Hospitality from Vitoria to Vattel », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 901–922.

### 38. État de droit

- Alvarez, J. E., « International Organizations and the Rule of Law: Challenges Ahead », in Cheng, C. (dir.), *A New International Legal Order: In Commemoration of the Tenth Anniversary of the Xiamen Academy of International Law* (Leyde et Boston, Brill, 2016), p. 145–187.
- Burci, G. L., « Enforcement versus Immunities in the United Nations: A Rule of Law Perspective », in Feinäugle, C. A. (dir.), *The Rule of Law and its Application to the United Nations* (Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2016), p. 269–296.
- Chesterman, S., « “Unqualified Human Good” Or a Bit of “Ruling Class Chatter”? The Rule of Law at the National and International Level », in Popovski, V. (dir.), *International Rule of Law and Professional Ethics* (Oxford et New York, Routledge, 2016), p. 19–48.
- Di Giovanni, A., « Making the Link between Development’s Regulation through Law and Law’s Promotion through Development », *Hague Journal on the Rule of Law*, vol. 81 (2016), p. 101–133.
- Diller, J. M., « Human Rights and the Rule of Law as Applicable to the UNSC: Implications for the Right to a Fair Hearing. A Comment on Erika De Wet », in Feinäugle, C. A. (dir.), *The Rule of Law and its Application to the United Nations* (Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2016), p. 201–210.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « The Responsibility of the UN and the International Rule of Law », in Feinäugle, C. A. (dir.), *The Rule of Law and its Application to the United Nations* (Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2016), p. 225–258.
- Dimitropoulos, G., « The International Rule of Law and the Social Legitimacy of International Dispute Resolution Mechanisms. A Comment on Thomas Laker », in Feinäugle, C. A. (dir.), *The Rule of Law and its Application to the United Nations* (Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2016), p. 331–340.
- Farrall, J., et Charlesworth, H. (dir.), *Strengthening the Rule of Law through the UN Security Council* (New York, Routledge, 2016), 320 pages.
- Feinäugle, C. A., « The International Court of Justice and the Rule of Law », in Feinäugle, C. A. (dir.), *The Rule of Law and its Application to the United Nations* (Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2016), p. 341–370.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « Theoretical Approaches to the Rule of Law and its Application to the United Nations », in Feinäugle, C. A. (dir.), *The Rule of Law and its Application to the United Nations* (Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2016), p. 29–50.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « The UN Declaration on the Rule of Law and the Application of the Rule of Law to the UN: A Reconstruction from an International Public Authority Perspective », *Goettingen Journal of International Law*, vol. 7 (2016), p. 157–185.
- Grasten, M., « Whose Legality? Rule of Law Missions and the Case of Kosovo », in Rajkovic, N. M., Aalberts, T. E., et Gammeltoft-Hansen, T. (dir.), *The Power of Legality: Practices of International Law and their Politics* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2016), p. 320–342.
- Happold, M., « The Responsibility of the UN and the International Rule of Law. A Comment on Janelle Diller », in Feinäugle, C. A. (dir.), *The Rule of Law and its Application to the United Nations* (Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2016), p. 259–268.

- \_\_\_\_\_. « United Nations Sanctions and the Rule of Law », in Feinäugle, C. A. (dir.), *The Rule of Law and its Application to the United Nations* (Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2016), p. 75–98.
- Harris, W. R., « A World of Peace and Justice Under the Rule of Law: From Nuremberg to the International Criminal Court », *Washington University Global Studies Law Review*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 593–607.
- Hestermeyer, H. P., « A Rights-Based Approach to the Rule of Law in International Law. A Comment on Stephen Mathias », in Feinäugle, C. A. (dir.), *The Rule of Law and its Application to the United Nations* (Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2016), p. 131–148.
- Kanetake, M., et Nollkaemper, A., *The Rule of Law at the National and International Levels: Contestations and Deference* (Oxford et Portland (OR), Hart Publishing, 2016), 474 pages.
- Mathias, S., « The Application of the Rule of Law to United Nations Peacekeeping Operations », in Feinäugle, C. A. (dir.), *The Rule of Law and its Application to the United Nations* (Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2016), p. 107–130.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « Ensuring Respect for the Rule of Law in the Elaboration and Implementation of UN Sanctions. A Comment on Matthew Happold », in Feinäugle, C. A. (dir.), *The Rule of Law and its Application to the United Nations* (Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2016), p. 99–106.
- McCorquodale, R., « Defining the International Rule of Law: Defying Gravity? », *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, vol. 65 (2016), p. 277–304.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « The Rule of Law Internationally », in Feinäugle, C.A (dir.), *The Rule of Law and its Application to the United Nations* (Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2016), p. 51–74.
- Pazartzis, P., et al. (dir.), *Reconceptualising the Rule of Law in Global Governance, Resources, Investment and Trade* (Oxford et Portland (OR), Hart Publishing, 2016), 501 pages.
- Reinold, T., « The United Nations Security Council and the Politics of Secondary Rule-Making », in Heupel, M., et Reinold, T. (dir.), *The Rule of Law in Global Governance* (New York, Springer, 2016), p. 95–119.
- Seious, E., « The Rule of Law and the Debate on it in the United Nations », in Feinäugle, C. A. (dir.), *The Rule of Law and its Application to the United Nations* (Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2016), p. 13–28.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « The Rule of Law, Development and the United Nations », in Feinäugle, C. A. (dir.), *The Rule of Law and its Application to the United Nations* (Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2016), p. 211–224.
- Tschoepke, E. U., « The UN Administration of Territories: The Mission in Kosovo and the Rule of Law », in Feinäugle, C. A. (dir.), *The Rule of Law and its Application to the United Nations* (Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2016), p. 149–170.
- Wagner, E., « Cholera in Haiti: Lethal to UN's Absolute Jurisdictional Immunity? A Comment on Gian Luca Burci », in Feinäugle, C. A. (dir.), *The Rule of Law and its Application to the United Nations* (Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2016), p. 297–310.
- de Wet, E., « Human Rights and the Rule of Law as Applicable to the UNSC: Implications for the Right to a Fair Hearing », in Feinäugle, C. A. (dir.), *The Rule of Law and its Application to the United Nations* (Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2016), p. 181–200.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « Lip-Service to the Rule of Law in the Administration of Kosovo: The Limited Accountability of UNMIK for Human Rights Violations. A Comment on Ernst

Tschoepke », in Feinäugle, C. A. (dir.), *The Rule of Law and its Application to the United Nations* (Baden-Baden, Nomos, 2016), p. 171–180.

### 39. Légitime défense

- Lobo de Souza, I. M., « Revisiting the Right of Self-Defence against Non-State Armed Entities », *Canadian Yearbook of International Law*, vol. 53 (2016), p. 202–243.
- Paddeu, F. I., « Self-Defence as a Circumstance Precluding Wrongfulness: Understanding Article 21 of the Articles on State Responsibility », *British Yearbook of International Law*, vol. 85 (2016), p. 90–132.
- Picone, P., « L'insostenibile leggerezza dell'art. 51 della Carta dell'ONU », *Rivista di diritto internazionale*, vol. 99 (2016), p. 7–31.
- Rao, P. S., « Non-State Actors and Self-Defence: A Relook at the UN Charter Article 51 », *Indian Journal of International Law*, vol. 56 (2016), p. 127–171.

### 40. Autodétermination

- Anderson, G., « A Post-Millennial Inquiry into the United Nations Law of Self-Determination: A Right to Unilateral Non-Colonial Secession? », *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law*, vol. 49 (2016), p. 1183–1254.
- Dattani, B., « Populism and the International Law of Self-Determination: Charting the Emergence of Populist Legal Movements from South Africa to Palestine », *Palestine Yearbook of International Law*, vol. 18 (2016), p. 92–114.
- Devia Garzón, C. A., « Problemáticas de autodeterminación en Timor Oriental y Sahara Occidental: Los contextos que propiciaron la intervención internacional », *Estudios internacionales*, vol. 48 (2016), p. 75–101.
- Hofbauer, J. A., *Sovereignty in the Exercise of the Right to Self-Determination* (Leyde et Boston, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 365 pages.
- Kassoti, E., « The Sound of One Hand Clapping: Unilateral Declarations of Independence in International Law », *German Law Journal*, vol. 17 (2016), p. 215–236.
- Lanovoy, V., « Self-Determination in International Law: A Democratic Phenomenon or an Abuse of Right? », *Cambridge Journal of International and Comparative Law*, vol. 4 (2016), p. 388–404.
- Nikouei, M., et Zamani, M., « The Secession of Crimea: Where does International Law Stand? », *Nordic Journal of International Law*, vol. 85 (2016), p. 37–64.
- Pronto, A. N., « Irredentist Secession in International Law », *Fletcher Forum of World Affairs*, vol. 40 (2016), p. 103–122.
- Quadros, N. M., « Secession: The Contradicting Provisions of the United Nations Charter — A Direct Threat to the Current World Order », *Santa Clara Journal of International Law*, vol. 14 (2016), p. 461–487.
- Rossmann, G., « Extremely Loud and Incredibly Close (but Still So Far): Assessing Liberia's Claim of Statehood (Comment) », *Chicago Journal of International Law*, vol. 17 (2016), p. 306–339.

- Shikova, N., « Practicing Internal Self-Determination Vis-a-Vis Vital Quests for Secession », *German Law Journal*, vol. 17 (2016), p. 237–264.
- Wheatley, S., « The Emergence of New States in International Law: The Insights from Complexity Theory », *Chinese Journal of International Law*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 579–606.
- Whitehall, D., « A Rival History of Self-Determination », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 719–743.

#### 41. Immunité de l'État

- Abrisketa Uriarte, J., « Al Bashir: ¿Excepción a la inmunidad del Jefe de Estado de Sudán y cooperación con la Corte Penal Internacional? », *Revista española de derecho internacional*, vol. 68 (2016), p. 19–47.
- Caban, P., « Immunity of State Officials from Foreign Criminal Jurisdiction — Exceptions to Immunity: *Ratione Materiae* », *Czech Yearbook of Public and Private International Law*, vol. 7 (2016), p. 306–329.
- Juratowitch, B., « Waiver of State Immunity and Enforcement of Arbitral Awards », *Asian Journal of International Law*, vol. 6 (2016), p. 199–232.
- Moustafa, H. A., et Molle, A., « Waivers of Sovereign Immunity: An Eroding Concept? », *World Bank Legal Review*, vol. 7 (2016), p. 145–164.
- Olásolo Alonso, H., Martínez Vargas, J. R., et Rodríguez Polanía, A. M., « La inmunidad de jurisdicción penal por crímenes internacionales de los Jefes de Estado, los Jefes de Gobierno y los Ministros de Asuntos Exteriores », *Revista chilena de derecho*, vol. 43 (2016), p. 251–281.
- Ramsden, M., et Yeung, I., « Head of State Immunity and the Rome Statute: A Critique of the PTC's Malawi and DRC Decisions », *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 703–729.
- Schultze, S. J., « Hacking Immunity: Computer Attacks on United States Territory by Foreign Sovereigns », *American Criminal Law Review*, vol. 53 (2016), p. 861–895.
- Webb, P., « The Immunity of States, Diplomats and International Organizations in Employment Disputes: The New Human Rights Dilemma? », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 745–767.

#### 42. Responsabilité de l'État

- Ballesteros Moya, V., *Actores no estatales y responsabilidad internacional del Estado* (Barcelona, Bosch, 2016), 494 pages.
- Boisson de Chazournes, L., « Responsibility of States: Which Way Forward? », *Anuário Português de Direito Internacional*, vol. 2014–2015 (2016), p. 131–138.
- Buchan, R., « Cyberspace, Non-State Actors and the Obligation to Prevent Transboundary Harm », *Journal of Conflict and Security Law*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 429–453.
- Casolari, F., « The EU's Hotspot Approach to Managing the Migration Crisis: A Blind Spot for International Responsibility? », *Italian Yearbook of International Law*, vol. 25 (2016), p. 109–134.



- Gattini, A., « International Responsibility of the State and International Responsibility of Juridical Persons for Environmental Damage: Where Do We Stand? », in Levashova, Y., Lambooy, T., Dekker, I. (dir.), *Bridging the Gap between International Investment Law and the Environment* (La Haye, Eleven International Publishing, 2016), p. 115–141.
- Jørgensen, N. H. B., « The Concept of State Crimes in the Context of the Syrian Crisis », *Palestine Yearbook of International Law*, vol. 18 (2016), p. 173–202.
- Keitner, C. I., « Categorizing Acts by State Officials: Attribution and Responsibility in the Law of Foreign Official Immunity », *Duke Journal of Comparative & International Law*, vol. 26 (2016), p. 451–478.
- Liwanga, R., « Extraterritorial Responsibility of States for Human Rights Violations under International Jurisprudence: Case Study of DRC v. Uganda », *Suffolk Transnational Law Review*, vol. 39 (2016), p. 329–359.
- Mačák, K., « Decoding Article 8 of the International Law Commission's Articles on State Responsibility: Attribution of Cyber Operations », *Journal of Conflict & Security Law*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 405–428.
- McIntyre, J., « The Declaratory Judgment in Recent Jurisprudence of the ICJ: Conflicting Approaches to State Responsibility? », *Leiden Journal of International Law*, vol. 29 (2016), p. 177–195.
- Mileva, N., « State Responsibility in Peacekeeping », *Utrecht Law Review*, vol. 12 (2016), p. 122–138.
- Payne, T., « Teaching Old Law New Tricks: Applying and Adapting State Responsibility to Cyber Operations », *Lewis & Clark Law Review*, vol. 20 (2016), p. 683–715.
- Picone, P., « La responsabilità degli Stati tra codificazione e sviluppo progressivo della materia », *Rivista di diritto internazionale*, vol. 99 (2016), p. 749–762.
- Proulx, V., *Institutionalizing State Responsibility* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2016), 440 pages.
- Saganek, P., *Unilateral Acts of States in Public International Law* (Leyde et Boston, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 662 pages.
- Savadogo, L., « Déni de justice et responsabilité internationale de l'État pour les actes de ses juridictions », *Journal du droit international*, vol. 143 (2016), p. 827–876.
- Tsagourias, N., « Non-State Actors, Ungoverned Spaces and International Responsibility for Cyber Acts », *Journal of Conflict and Security Law*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 455–474.
- Vidmar, J., « Some Observations on Wrongfulness, Responsibility and Defences in International Law », *Netherlands International Law Review*, vol. 63 (2016), p. 335–353.

### 43. Souveraineté de l'État

- Ben Achour, R., « Changements anticonstitutionnels de gouvernement et droit international », *Recueil des cours*, vol. 379 (2016), p. 397–548.
- Doig, E., « What Possibilities and Obstacles does International Law Present for Preserving the Sovereignty of Island States? », *Tilburg Law Review*, vol. 21 (2016), p. 72–97.
- Frulli, M., « On the Consequence of a Customary Rule Granting Functional Immunity to State Officials and its Exceptions: Back to Square One », *Duke Journal of Comparative & International Law*, vol. 26 (2016), p. 479–502.

- Hunter, C. O., « The Submission of the Sovereign: An Examination of the Compatibility of Sovereignty and International Law », *Denver Journal of International Law and Policy*, vol. 44 (2016), p. 521–536.
- Pandiaraj, S., « Sovereignty as Responsibility: Reflections on the Legal Status of the Doctrine of Responsibility to Protect », *Chinese Journal of International Law*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 795–815.
- Powell, E. J., « Islamic Law States and the Authority of the International Court of Justice: Territorial Sovereignty and Diplomatic Immunity », *Law and Contemporary Problems*, vol. 79 (2016), p. 209–236.
- Wilson, E. A., « “People Power” and the Problem of Sovereignty in International Law », *Duke Journal of Comparative & International Law*, vol. 26 (2016), p. 551–633.

#### 44. Succession d'États

- Costelloe, D., « Treaty Succession in Annexed Territory », *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, vol. 65 (2016), p. 343–378.
- Gordon, A., « New States: Chained to Old Treaty Obligations or Clean Slate? », *North Carolina Journal of International Law and Commercial Regulation*, vol. 41 (2016), p. 533–571.
- Sarvarian, A., « Codifying the Law of State Succession: A Futile Endeavour? », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 789–812.
- Šturma, P., « State Succession in Respect of International Responsibility », *George Washington International Law Review*, vol. 48 (2016), p. 653–678.
- Tams, C. J., « State Succession to Investment Treaties: Mapping the Issues », *ICSID Review*, vol. 31 (2016), p. 314–343.

#### 45. Justice transitionnelle

- Arnould, V., « Transitional Justice in Peacebuilding: Dynamics of Contestation in the DRC », *Journal of Intervention and Statebuilding*, vol. 10 (2016), p. 321–338.
- Ben Saad, S., « L'internationalisation de la justice transitionnelle en questions », *Revue juridique et politique des États francophones*, vol. 70 (2016), p. 134–153.
- Blum, Y. Z., *Will “Justice” Bring Peace?* (Leyde, Brill Nijhoff, 2016), 497 pages.
- Camins, E. L., « Needs or Rights? Exploring the Limitations of Individual Reparations for Violations of International Humanitarian Law », *International Journal of Transitional Justice*, vol. 10 (2016), p. 126–145.
- Clark, J. N., « Transitional Justice as Recognition: An Analysis of the Women’s Court in Sarajevo », *International Journal of Transitional Justice*, vol. 10 (2016), p. 67–87.
- Durocher, M., « United Nations Mission to Kosovo: In Violation of the Right to Life? », *Criminal Law Forum*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 393–415.
- Fischer, M., et Simić, O. (dir.), *Transitional Justice and Reconciliation: Lessons from the Balkans* (New York, Routledge, 2016), 286 pages.
- Fletcher, L. E., « A Wolf in Sheep’s Clothing? Transitional Justice and the Effacement of State Accountability for International Crimes », *Fordham International Law Journal*, vol. 39 (2016), p. 447–531.

- Lai, D., « Transitional Justice and its Discontents: Socioeconomic Justice in Bosnia and Herzegovina and the Limits of International Intervention », *Journal of Intervention and Statebuilding*, vol. 10 (2016), p. 361–381.
- Matanzi, G. M., *La justice transitionnelle en RDC : Quelle place pour la commission vérité et réconciliation ?* (Paris, Éditions L’Harmattan, 2016), 168 pages.
- McDorman, T. L., « Thinking the Unthinkable », *Korean Journal of International and Comparative Law*, vol. 4 (2016), p. 74–84.
- McGonigle Leyh, B., « National and Hybrid Tribunals: Benefits and Challenges », in Malcontent, P. (dir.), *Facing the Past: Amending Historical Injustices through Instruments of Transitional Justice* (Cambridge, Intersentia, 2016), p. 115–137.
- \_\_\_\_\_. « Nuremberg’s Legacy within Transitional Justice: Prosecutions are here to Stay », *Washington University Global Studies Law Review*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 559–574.
- Moffett, L., « Reparations for “Guilty Victims”: Navigating Complex Identities of Victim–Perpetrators in Reparation Mechanisms », *International Journal of Transitional Justice*, vol. 10 (2016), p. 146–167.
- Nylund, B. V., *Child Soldiers and Transitional Justice: Protecting the Rights of Children Involved in Armed Conflicts* (Antwerp, Intersentia, 2016), 272 pages.
- Oomen, B., « From Gacaca to Mato Oput: Pragmatism and Principles in Employing Traditional Dispute Resolution Mechanisms », in Malcontent, P. (dir.), *Facing the Past: Amending Historical Injustices through Instruments of Transitional Justice* (Cambridge, Intersentia, 2016), p. 167–185.
- Rees, M., et Chinkin, C., « Exposing the Gendered Myth of Post Conflict Transition: The Transformative Power of Economic and Social Rights », *New York University Journal of International Law and Politics*, vol. 48 (2016), p. 1211–1226.
- Rodman, K. A., « Pacting the Law within Politics: Lessons from the International Criminal Court’s First Investigations », in Malcontent, P. (dir.), *Facing the Past: Amending Historical Injustices through Instruments of Transitional Justice* (Cambridge, Intersentia, 2016), p. 91–114.
- Sullo, P., « Justice for Darfur: The ICC and Domestic Justice Initiatives Eleven Years after the UN Security Council Referral », *International Criminal Law Review*, vol. 16 (2016), p. 885–912.
- Weiner, A. S., « Ending Wars, Doing Justice: Colombia, Transitional Justice, and the International Criminal Court », *Stanford Journal of International Law*, vol. 52 (2016), p. 211–242.
- Zyberi, G., « United Nations — Related Criminal Courts and Tribunals: Fleeting Mirages of Transitional Justice or a Piecemeal Approach to Cosmopolitan Justice? », *Transnational Legal Theory*, vol. 7 (2016), p. 114–132.

#### 46. Emploi de la force

- Flasch, O., « The Legality of the Air Strikes against ISIL in Syria: New Insights on the Extraterritorial Use of Force against Non-State Actors », *Journal of the Use of Force and International Law*, vol. 3 (2016), p. 37–69.

- Fraser, A., « From the Kalashnikov to the Keyboard: International Law's Failure to Define a "Cyber Use of Force" is Dangerous and May Lead to a Military Response to a "Cyber use of Force" », *Hibernian Law Journal*, vol. 15 (2016), p. 86–113.
- Gray, C., « The Limits of Force », *Recueil des cours*, vol. 376 (2016), p. 93–197.
- Hakimi, M., et Cogan, J. K., « The Two Codes on the Use of Force », *European Journal of International Law*, vol. 27 (2016), p. 257–291.
- Heyns, C., *et al.*, « The International Law Frameworks Regulating the Use of Armed Drones », *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, vol. 65 (2016), p. 791–827.
- Mathias, S., « The Use of Force: The General Prohibition and its Exceptions in Modern International Law and Practice », in Cheng, C. (dir.), *A New International Legal Order: In Commemoration of the Tenth Anniversary of the Xiamen Academy of International Law* (Leyde et Boston, Brill, 2016), p. 73–98.
- O'Connor, L., « Legality of the Use of Force in Syria against Islamic State and the Khorasan Group », *Journal on the Use of Force and International Law*, vol. 3 (2016), p. 70–96.
- Pozo Serrano, P., « El uso de la fuerza contra el Estado Islámico en Irak y Siria, problemas de fundamentación jurídica », *Anuario español de derecho internacional*, vol. 32 (2016), p. 141–188.



# Le système des Nations Unies

## ORGANES PRINCIPAUX

**ASSEMBLÉE GÉNÉRALE**

**CONSEIL DE SÉCURITÉ**

**CONSEIL ÉCONOMIQUE ET SOCIAL**

**SECRETARIAT**

**COUR INTERNATIONALE DE JUSTICE**

**CONSEIL DE TUTELLE<sup>6</sup>**

### Organes subsidiaires

- Comités permanents et organes ad hoc
- Commission du désarmement
- Commission du droit international
- Conseil des droits de l'homme
- Corps commun d'inspection (CCI)
- Grandes commissions et autres comités de session

### Fonds et programmes<sup>1</sup>

- FNUAP** Fonds des Nations Unies pour la population
- ONU-Habitat<sup>8</sup>** Programme des Nations Unies pour les établissements humains (ONU/FAO)
- PAM** Programme alimentaire mondial (ONU/FAO)
- PNUD** Programme des Nations Unies pour le développement
  - **FENU** Fonds d'équipement des Nations Unies
  - **VNU** Volontaires des Nations Unies
- PNUE<sup>8</sup>** Programme des Nations Unies pour l'environnement
- UNICEF** Fonds des Nations Unies pour l'enfance

### Organes subsidiaires

- Comité contre le terrorisme
- Comités des sanctions (ad hoc)
- Comité d'état-major
- Comités permanents et organes ad hoc

### Commissions techniques

- Condition de la femme
- Développement social
- Forum des Nations Unies sur les forêts
- Population et développement
- Prévention du crime et justice pénale
- Science et technique au service du développement
- Statistique
- Stupéfiants

### Commissions régionales<sup>8</sup>

- CEA** Commission économique pour l'Afrique
- CEE** Commission économique pour l'Europe
- CEPALC** Commission économique pour l'Amérique latine et les Caraïbes
- CESAO** Commission économique et sociale pour l'Asie occidentale
- CESAP** Commission économique et sociale pour l'Asie et le Pacifique

### Départements et bureaux<sup>9</sup>

- EOSG** Cabinet du Secrétaire général
- BCAD** Bureau de la coordination des activités de développement
- BLT** Bureau de lutte contre le terrorisme
- BSCI** Bureau des services de contrôle interne
- Bureau des affaires de désarmement
- Bureau des affaires spatiales
- Bureau des Nations Unies pour les partenariats<sup>2</sup>
- Bureau du (de la) Conseiller(ère) spécial(e) pour l'Afrique
- Bureau du (de la) Haut(e)-Représentant(e) pour les pays les moins avancés, les pays en développement sans littoral et les petits États insulaires en développement
- Bureau du (de la) Représentant(e) spécial(e) du Secrétaire général chargé(e) de la question de la violence contre les enfants

- Bureau du (de la) Représentant(e) spécial(e) du Secrétaire général chargé(e) de la question des violences sexuelles commises en période de conflit
- Bureau du (de la) Représentant(e) spécial(e) du Secrétaire général pour la question des enfants et des conflits armés
- DCG** Département de la communication globale
- DESA** Département des affaires économiques et sociales
- DGACM** Département de l'Assemblée générale et de la gestion des conférences
- DMSPC** Département des stratégies et politiques de gestion et de la conformité
- DOS** Département de l'appui opérationnel
- DPO** Département des opérations de paix
- DPPA** Département des affaires politiques et de la consolidation de la paix

## Recherche et formation

École des cadres du système des Nations Unies

**UNIDIR** Institut des Nations Unies pour la recherche sur le désarmement

**UNITAR** Institut des Nations Unies pour la formation et la recherche

**UNU** Université des Nations Unies

## Autres organismes

**CNUCED<sup>1,8</sup>**

**HCR<sup>1</sup>** Haut-Commissariat des Nations Unies pour les réfugiés

**ITC** Centre du commerce international (ONU/OMC)

**ONU-Femmes<sup>1</sup>** Entité des Nations Unies pour l'égalité des sexes et l'autonomisation des femmes

**UNOPS<sup>1</sup>** Bureau des Nations Unies pour les services d'appui aux projets

**UNRWA<sup>1</sup>** Office de secours et de travaux des Nations Unies pour les réfugiés de Palestine dans le Proche-Orient

## Organisations apparentées

**AIEA<sup>1,3</sup>** Agence internationale de l'énergie atomique

**AIFM** Autorité internationale des fonds marins  
Commission préparatoire de l'Organisation du Traité d'interdiction complète des essais nucléaires

**CPI** Cour pénale internationale

**OIAC<sup>3</sup>** Organisation pour l'interdiction des armes chimiques

**OIM<sup>1</sup>** Organisation internationale pour les migrations

**OMC<sup>1,4</sup>** Organisation mondiale du commerce  
Tribunal international du droit de la mer

## Commission de consolidation de la paix

## Forum politique de haut niveau pour le développement durable

- Mécanisme international appelé à exercer les fonctions résiduelles des Tribunaux pénaux
- Opérations de maintien de la paix et missions politiques

## Institutions spécialisées<sup>1,5</sup>

**FAO** Organisation des Nations Unies pour l'alimentation et l'agriculture

**FIDA** Fonds international de développement agricole

**FMI** Fonds monétaire international

### GROUPE DE LA BANQUE MONDIALE<sup>7</sup>

- **BIRD** Banque internationale pour la reconstruction et le développement

- **IDA** Association internationale de développement

- **SFI** Société financière internationale

**OACI** Organisation de l'aviation civile internationale

**OIT** Organisation internationale du Travail

**OMI** Organisation maritime internationale

**OMM** Organisation météorologique mondiale

**OMPI** Organisation mondiale de la propriété intellectuelle

**OMS** Organisation mondiale de la Santé

**OMT** Organisation mondiale du tourisme

**ONUUDI** Organisation des Nations Unies pour le développement industriel

**UIT** Union internationale des télécommunications

**UNESCO** Organisation des Nations Unies pour l'éducation, la science et la culture

**UPU** Union postale universelle

## Autres organes<sup>10</sup>

- Comité chargé des organisations non gouvernementales
- Comité des politiques de développement
- Comité d'experts de l'administration publique
- Instance permanente sur les questions autochtones

**GENUNG** Groupe d'experts des Nations Unies pour les noms géographiques

**ONUSIDA** Programme commun des Nations Unies sur le VIH/sida

**UNGGIM** Comité d'experts sur la gestion de l'information géospatiale à l'échelle mondiale

## Recherche et formation

**UNICRI** Institut interrégional de recherche des Nations Unies sur la criminalité et la justice

**UNRISD** Institut de recherche des Nations Unies pour le développement social

**DSS** Département de la sûreté et de la sécurité

**HCDH** Haut-Commissariat des Nations Unies aux droits de l'homme

**OCHA** Bureau de la coordination des affaires humanitaires

**OLA** Bureau des affaires juridiques

**ONUDC** Office des Nations Unies contre la drogue et le crime

**ONUG** Office des Nations Unies à Genève

**ONUN** Office des Nations Unies à Nairobi

**ONUV** Office des Nations Unies à Vienne

**UNDRR** Bureau des Nations Unies pour la prévention des catastrophes

### Notes :

**1** Membres du Conseil des chefs de secrétariat des organismes des Nations Unies pour la coordination (CCS).

**2** Le Bureau des Nations Unies pour les partenariats sert de coordonnateur pour la Fondation pour les Nations Unies.

**3** L'AIEA et l'OIAC font rapport au Conseil de sécurité et à l'Assemblée générale.

**4** L'OMC n'a pas obligation de faire rapport à l'Assemblée générale, mais elle contribue à titre spécial à ses travaux et à ceux du Conseil économique et social, notamment en ce qui concerne les finances et les questions de développement.

**5** Les institutions spécialisées sont des organisations autonomes dont le travail est coordonné par le Conseil économique et social (au niveau intergouvernemental) et par le CCS (au niveau intersecrétariat).

**6** Le Conseil de tutelle a suspendu ses activités le 1<sup>er</sup> novembre 1994, suite à l'indépendance des Palaos, dernier territoire sous tutelle des Nations Unies, le 1<sup>er</sup> octobre 1994.

**7** Le Centre international pour le règlement des différends relatifs aux investissements (CIRDI) et l'Agence multilatérale de garantie des investissements (AMGI) ne sont pas des institutions spécialisées au sens des articles 57 et 63 de la Charte, mais font partie du Groupe de la Banque mondiale.

**8** Les secrétariats de ces organes font partie du Secrétariat.

**9** Font également partie du Secrétariat : le Bureau de la déontologie, le Bureau des services d'ombudsman et de médiation des Nations Unies, et le Bureau de l'administration de la justice.

**10** Consultez la liste complète des organes subsidiaires du Conseil économique et social à cette adresse : [www.un.org/ecosoc/fr](http://www.un.org/ecosoc/fr).

Ce tableau reflète l'organisation fonctionnelle du système des Nations Unies, à seule fin d'information. Il n'inclut pas tous les bureaux et organismes des Nations Unies.