

#### Article 8 [5]

Article should refer to whatever legal instruments that the affected state has to effect cooperation and not leave it merely to the remit of the instruments of international law save and except where the country is signatory to and is bound by the same.

#### Article 11(16) Part 2

The use of the word “dissemination” should be defined specifically as an activity under DRR measures. This may add burden to the affected state if it is expected that the State develop a platform of collected data – and also introduces issues of accessibility, maintenance, sharing protocols, etc.

#### Article 17 [14]

The use of the phrase “prompt and effective” could put undue burden on the affected state that may very well be operating in crisis mode with legal suspension of national legislation (such as in a state of emergency). The phrasing needs to be reconsidered.

If during such time an affected state seeks to “protect” its citizens, the onus should be on providing support as opposed to focusing on facilitation. While the foregoing does not intend that a state should erect additional bureaucracy, care must be taken that it is not implied that this be obligatory.

Part 2 presumes about the capacity of the affected states. It may be onerous to consider that a state operating in crisis should be ensuring the same. In our opinion, the duty of care rests with the responding actors.