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a sum of 31,000 pesos, which will enable her to purchase an annuity of 2,000 pesos.

7. The Commission decide that the Government of the United Mexican States is obligated to pay to the British Government, on behalf of Mrs. Leonor Buckingham, the sum of $31,000 (thirty-one thousand pesos) Mexican gold, or an equivalent amount in gold.

JAMES RICHARD ANTHONY STEVENS AND MRS. GIBB (GREAT BRITAIN) v. UNITED MEXICAN STATES

(Decision No. 110, August 3, 1931. Page 328. See also decision No. 66.)

RESPONSIBILITY FOR ACTS OF FORCES.—EVIDENCE BEFORE INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNALS. In absence of evidence enabling tribunal to classify, under the compromis, the forces for whose acts claim was made, claim disallowed.

(Text of decision omitted.)

F. S. WHITE (GREAT BRITAIN) v. UNITED MEXICAN STATES

(Decision No. 111, August 3, 1931. Pages 329-330.)

DIRECT SETTLEMENT OF CLAIM BETWEEN AGENTS. Direct settlement of claim by agreement between British and Mexican Agents approved by tribunal.

(Text of decision omitted.)

DENNIS J. AND DANIEL SPILLANE (GREAT BRITAIN) v. UNITED MEXICAN STATES

(Decision No. 112, August 3, 1931. Pages 330-332. See also decision No. 42.)

AMENDMENT OF CLAIM. Amendment of claim by substituting, as claimants, Dennis J. and Daniel Spillane to Messrs. D. J. and D. Spillane and Company allowed.

DAMAGES, PROOF OF.—EQUITY AS A BASIS FOR AWARD. Where valuation of items of damage appears exaggerated, tribunal will, in accordance with the principles of justice and equity, fix amount of damages.

1. As regards the facts on which the claim is based, the Commission refer to their Decision No. 42.

2. Following that decision, the British Agent asked leave to amend the Memorial originally filed on behalf of Messrs D. J. and D. Spillane and Company, by substituting, as claimants, Dennis J. Spillane and Daniel Spillane. The Commission having allowed this amendment, now consider the claim as falling within the terms of the Convention.