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Decisions

DECISION No. 4

(April 13, 1928.)

EXTENSION OF TIME-LIMITS TO DISCUSS CERTAIN PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS.—
No PERMANENT MODIFICATION OF RULES OF PROCEDURE. Time-limits set by
article 37 of the Rules of Procedure are extended by the Commission in
order to enable the French Agent to reply to objections raised by Mexico. The
nature of these objections is not specified in the decision. The Com-
mison states, however, that this decision does not constitute a permanent
modification of article 37 of its Rules of Procedure.

(Text of decision omitted.)

GEORGES PINSON (FRANCE) v. UNITED MEXICAN STATES

(Decision No. 6 of April 24, 1928.)

EVIDENCE.—WITNESSES TO BE EXAMINED BY AGENTS. The French Agent applied
for the examination by the Commission of a number of witnesses. In the
Commission's opinion, however, there is no necessity for the Commission to
examine the witnesses since this may easily be done by the Agents themselves
who had already agreed to do so. Consequently, the Agents are directed to
examine the witnesses and to inform the Commission about the results thereof.

(Text of decision omitted.)

DECISION No. 9

(August 3, 1928.)

PROCEDURE.—ADMISSIBILITY OF CLAIMS.—HOMOLOGATION OF AGREEMENT
BETWEEN THE PARTIES. The French Agent requested the Commission to
admit twenty-nine claims. The Mexican Agent making no objection to this
request, the Commission, in accordance with article 45 of its Rules of Proce-
dure, declares the claims admitted. The Commission's reasons, the decision
says, will be disclosed later. 3

(Text of decision omitted.)

1 For the text of article 37 see Feller, p. 438.
2 For the text of article 45 see Feller, p. 439.
3 In the decisions which were available for publication, no such disclosure can
be found.
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