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BOURILLON, JACQUES, LÉAUTAUD AND ESMENJAUD (FRANCE) v. UNITED MEXICAN STATES

(Decision No. 2B of June 22, 1929, by Presiding Commissioner and French Commissioner only.)

Responsibility for Acts of Forces Probably Helped by Mob. Looting of storehouse by Constitutionalist forces probably helped by mob held covered by Article III of the Convention. The help probably lent by populace held a typical example of a case in which No. 5 of Article III is applicable.

(Text of decision omitted.)

JOSEPH AND AIMÉ LOMBARD v. UNITED MEXICAN STATES

(Decision No. 3B of June 22, 1929, by Presiding Commissioner and French Commissioner only.)

Responsibility for Acts of Forces Probably Helped by Mob. Looting and burning of storehouse by Constitutionalist forces probably helped by mob ... (See Decision No. 2B).

(Text of decision omitted.)

COMPANIA AZUCARERA DEL PARAISO NOVILLERO (FRENCH INTERESTS IN—) v. UNITED MEXICAN STATES

(Decision No. 4B of June 22, 1929, by Presiding Commissioner and French Commissioner only.)

Responsibility for Acts of Forces. Requisitions, forced loans and burning of sugar crop by revolutionary forces, which first were opposed to the Constitutionalist forces and then adhered to the plan of Agua Prieta held covered by Article III of the Convention.

(Text of decision omitted.)

1 See Feller, p. 157.