

**REPORTS OF INTERNATIONAL
ARBITRAL AWARDS**

**RECUEIL DES SENTENCES
ARBITRALES**

Joseph and Aimé Lombard (France) v. United Mexican States

22 June 1929

VOLUME V p. 558



NATIONS UNIES - UNITED NATIONS
Copyright (c) 2006

BOURILLON, JACQUES, LÉAUTAUD AND ESMENJAUD (FRANCE)
v. UNITED MEXICAN STATES

(Decision No. 2B of June 22, 1929, by Presiding Commissioner and French Commissioner only.)

RESPONSIBILITY FOR ACTS OF FORCES PROBABLY HELPED BY MOB. Looting of storehouse by Constitutionalist forces probably helped by mob *held* covered by Article III of the Convention. The help probably lent by populace *held* a typical example of a case in which No. 5 of Article III is applicable.

(Text of decision omitted.)

JOSEPH AND AIMÉ LOMBARD v. UNITED MEXICAN STATES

(Decision No. 3B of June 22, 1929, by Presiding Commissioner and French Commissioner only.)

RESPONSIBILITY FOR ACTS OF FORCES PROBABLY HELPED BY MOB. Looting and burning of storehouse by Constitutionalist forces probably helped by mob ... (See Decision No. 2B).

(Text of decision omitted.)

COMPANIA AZUCARERA DEL PARAISO NOVILLERO (FRENCH INTERESTS IN—) v. UNITED MEXICAN STATES

(Decision No. 4B of June 22, 1929, by Presiding Commissioner and French Commissioner only.)

RESPONSIBILITY FOR ACTS OF FORCES. Requisitions, forced loans and burning of sugar crop by revolutionary forces, which first were opposed to the Constitutionalist forces and then adhered to the plan of Agua Prieta ¹ *held* covered by Article III of the Convention.

(Text of decision omitted.)

¹ See Feller, p. 157.